

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ACC	2013	Firoozi, Maryam	16	56.3%	3.89	3.78	3.78	4.11	4.00	4.00	3.93	4.33	3.78	4.00	3.78	4.33	4.00	4.04
	5003	Firoozi, Maryam	17	58.8%	4.10	3.60	4.30	4.10	3.90	4.10	4.02	4.10	3.80	4.50	4.00	4.22	4.30	4.14
	6003	Firoozi, Maryam	12	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.40	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.50
	6003	Zhang, Yu	9	55.6%	4.00	4.20	3.60	3.20	3.80	3.80	3.77	4.00	3.80	4.40	3.80	4.20	3.80	4.00
	2023	Tuo, Ling	7	85.7%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.69
ARC	1012	Schwartz, Martin	50	76.0%	4.55	4.61	4.58	4.47	4.18	4.47	4.48	4.87	4.68	4.71	4.55	4.87	4.82	4.75
	1213	Bales, Fernando	14	100.0%	4.50	4.29	4.57	4.64	4.57	4.57	4.52	4.50	4.43	4.64	4.64	4.36	4.79	4.56
	1213	Crutcher, Kenneth	11	72.7%	3.75	3.88	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.00	3.94	4.38	3.50	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.08
	1213	Dineen, Mark	9	100.0%	4.25	3.88	4.38	3.88	4.50	4.38	4.21	4.33	3.89	4.22	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.19
	1213	Haam, Songho	9	44.4%	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.42
	1213	Zmuda, Frank	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.72	4.67	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.89
	1223	Bales, Fernando	13	69.2%	3.22	3.33	3.67	3.22	3.56	3.67	3.44	3.33	3.22	3.33	3.44	3.44	3.22	3.33
	2116	Bales, Fernando	9	77.8%	3.57	3.14	3.71	2.71	3.71	3.43	3.38	3.71	3.57	3.86	3.14	3.57	3.57	3.57
	2116	Burdulis, Benediktas	9	33.3%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.17
	2116	Dean, Kristen	7	71.4%	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.73	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.60	4.00	4.20	3.90
	2116	Dewhurst, Hannah	9	66.7%	4.17	4.50	4.33	3.67	3.83	4.17	4.11	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33
	2116	Dineen, Mark	7	57.1%	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.75	4.29	4.75	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.80
	2116	Frederiksen, Jhana	16	62.5%	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.30	4.50	4.23	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.33	4.60	4.30	4.45
	2116	Haam, Songho	7	71.4%	4.00	4.00	3.40	3.40	3.80	3.80	3.73	4.60	3.20	3.40	3.00	3.00	3.40	3.43
	2116	Osler, Peter	9	33.3%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	2116	Wong, Margaret	9	77.8%	4.00	4.00	4.29	3.29	4.00	3.86	3.90	4.57	4.29	4.29	3.57	4.71	4.29	4.29
	2313	O'Geen, Charles	11	63.6%	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.43	3.71	3.14	3.50	3.71	3.86	3.71	3.86	3.43	3.57	3.69
	2313	Ragheb, Ashraf	24	91.7%	4.64	4.45	4.41	4.50	4.55	4.45	4.50	4.59	4.59	4.68	4.73	4.82	4.86	4.71
	2313	Tiseo, Benedetto	12	83.3%	4.00	3.60	3.70	3.00	3.50	3.40	3.53	4.30	3.90	4.20	2.80	4.20	3.60	3.83
	2313	Ward, Eric	11	63.6%	4.14	4.57	4.71	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.31	5.00	4.71	5.00	4.43	5.00	4.71	4.81
	2323	Ward, Eric	7	85.7%	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.69	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2513	Faoro, Daniel	10	50.0%	3.60	3.80	4.20	4.20	3.20	3.80	3.80	4.40	3.80	4.20	4.20	3.20	3.80	3.93
	2513	Frederiksen, Jhana	12	75.0%	2.56	2.67	3.00	2.56	2.56	2.67	2.67	2.44	2.11	2.89	2.67	3.11	2.33	2.59
	2513	Shih, Kelchen	13	92.3%	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.75	3.42	3.33	3.65	4.25	3.42	4.08	4.00	4.25	3.75	3.96
	2813	AbuSeir, Zain	12	66.7%	3.25	3.13	3.25	2.00	3.00	2.86	2.92	3.88	2.63	3.25	3.13	3.00	3.00	3.14
	2813	Dean, Kristen	14	78.6%	3.64	3.73	3.82	3.45	3.73	3.55	3.65	4.09	3.64	4.00	3.27	4.00	3.73	3.79
	2813	Perez, Joshua	11	72.7%	4.63	4.38	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.58	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.85
	2813	Roberson, Pierre	13	53.8%	4.29	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.45	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.55
	2843	Grant, Janice	7	71.4%	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.80	5.00	4.87
	3011	Orlowski, Edward	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
	3116	Blendowski, Aaron	14	71.4%	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.10	3.80	4.10	3.70	4.10	3.80	3.90	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.00
	3116	Craig, Jack	12	75.0%	3.44	4.13	4.11	3.33	3.56	3.78	3.70	4.78	4.11	4.22	3.89	4.67	4.44	4.35
	3116	Elkin, Daniel	14	35.7%	3.00	3.60	3.60	3.20	3.40	3.60	3.40	4.60	3.20	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.80	3.77
	3116	Gaqi, Pandush	14	42.9%	3.50	3.83	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.83	3.75	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.28
	3116	Kamath, Ayodh	13	84.6%	3.64	3.55	3.64	3.64	3.18	3.45	3.52	3.82	4.00	4.18	4.00	4.45	3.91	4.06
	3116	O'Geen, Charles	14	78.6%	3.73	3.82	4.18	4.00	3.91	3.91	3.92	4.00	3.00	2.82	3.27	3.45	3.45	3.33
	3116	Schneemann, Steven	12	66.7%	3.38	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.88	3.71	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.38	4.38	4.42
	3116	Sutherland, Timothy	13	76.9%	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.50	3.50	3.60	3.67	4.30	3.80	3.90	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.93
	3126	Bejo, Irsida	7	85.7%	3.33	3.50	3.67	2.67	2.83	3.33	3.22	3.17	3.67	4.17	3.50	4.17	3.33	3.67
	3126	Lancaster, Mary	7	85.7%	3.17	3.50	3.50	2.33	2.67	3.00	3.03	3.33	3.50	3.50	2.83	3.50	3.33	3.33
	3213	Oltrogge, Brian	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3423	McKale, Dennis	10	90.0%	3.22	3.38	3.33	3.22	3.11	2.78	3.14	4.44	4.11	4.44	3.89	3.89	4.00	4.13
3423	Means, Janice	15	86.7%	3.77	3.85	3.46	3.77	3.46	3.77	3.68	4.08	3.62	3.62	3.31	4.08	3.62	3.72	
3513	Habba, Faris	20	95.0%	4.26	4.21	4.00	4.00	4.11	4.21	4.13	4.63	4.42	4.32	4.47	4.68	4.42	4.49	
3513	Shih, Kelchen	12	91.7%	3.91	4.09	4.18	3.45	3.73	3.82	3.86	4.36	3.82	4.27	4.00	4.45	4.09	4.17	
3613	Gyure, Dale	48	68.8%	4.27	4.12	4.12	4.09	4.06	4.12	4.13	4.61	4.27	4.22	4.12	4.33	4.21	4.29	
3623	Hennebury, Deirdre	22	81.8%	4.56	4.28	4.44	4.39	3.89	3.78	4.22	4.78	4.50	4.53	4.61	4.67	4.56	4.61	
3823	Chidester, Jacob	14	57.1%	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.77	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.83	
3823	Kamath, Ayodh	11	81.8%	3.89	3.56	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.85	4.33	4.00	4.44	4.11	4.22	4.20	
3823	Oltrogge, Brian	14	78.6%	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.64	4.53	4.91	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.64	4.73	4.64	

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ARC	3843	Hall, Alan	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4114	Nashlen, Thomas	9	66.7%	3.00	3.50	3.83	3.20	3.60	3.40	3.33	4.17	4.00	4.33	3.17	3.83	3.67	3.86
	4116	Kelly, Erin	13	84.6%	3.73	4.18	3.82	3.55	3.73	3.91	3.82	4.36	3.45	4.18	3.82	3.82	4.00	3.94
	4116	Kim, Joongsu	9	88.9%	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.13	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.88	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.60
	4116	Moore, Dorian	9	77.8%	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.31	5.00	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.67
	4116	Orlowski, Edward	12	91.7%	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.59	4.91	4.73	4.64	4.82	4.82	4.64	4.76
	4116	Plowright, Philip	13	76.9%	4.20	4.56	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.50	4.30	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.70	4.60	4.63
	4116	Styczynski, Michael	12	91.7%	4.45	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.36	4.45	4.53	4.73	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.61
	4126	Mosley, Michael	8	37.5%	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.78
	4126	Nashlen, Thomas	8	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.46	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.88
	4126	Reeves, Randall	8	50.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.00	4.75	4.63	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.83
	4183	Gyure, Dale	18	72.2%	4.46	4.62	4.54	4.23	4.38	4.62	4.47	4.77	4.62	4.54	3.69	4.69	4.77	4.51
	4183	Swift, Amy	19	68.4%	3.46	3.92	3.92	3.69	3.92	3.92	3.81	4.31	3.77	3.67	3.38	4.23	4.00	3.87
	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	24	70.8%	4.00	4.00	4.18	3.88	3.71	3.75	3.91	4.12	3.88	3.82	4.06	3.59	3.65	3.85
	4443	Paterson, David	24	75.0%	3.88	3.88	4.12	4.00	3.88	3.88	3.94	4.41	3.88	4.00	4.06	4.18	4.00	4.07
	4543	Faoro, Daniel	8	100.0%	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.25	3.75	3.88	4.00	4.63	4.13	4.50	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.35
	4543	Makkawy, Abdel	25	76.0%	3.95	3.95	3.84	3.84	3.74	3.89	3.87	4.58	4.11	3.84	4.21	4.47	4.05	4.21
	4813	Grant, Janice	16	87.5%	4.64	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.64	4.71	4.69	4.79	4.79	4.71	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.77
	4843	Marji, Jessica	14	71.4%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.10	4.40	4.20	4.22	4.22	4.30	4.10	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.27
	4993	Roberson, Pierre	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5013	Adhya, Anirban	13	61.5%	3.75	3.75	3.88	3.75	4.00	3.63	3.79	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.63	3.75	3.75	3.81
	5013	Bejo, Irsida	13	69.2%	3.78	3.89	4.11	4.33	4.00	3.67	3.96	4.56	4.11	4.44	4.11	4.44	4.11	4.30
	5013	Hoekstra, Ross	11	54.5%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.72
	5034	Elkin, Daniel	2	50.0%														
	5202	Oltrogge, Brian	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5423	Allen, William	16	50.0%	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.81	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.73
	5423	Nunez, Ralph	12	83.3%	4.70	4.30	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.57	4.80	4.90	5.00	4.90	5.00	4.90	4.92
	5622	Keslacy, Elizabeth	11	100.0%	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.59	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.36	4.18	4.45	4.42
	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	14	78.6%	4.82	4.27	4.73	4.91	4.73	4.73	4.70	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91
	5643	Plowright, Philip	13	46.2%	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.31	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.56
	5643	Roberts, Laura	13	69.2%	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.00	4.11	4.20	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.37
	5714	Kim, Joongsu	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	5814	Abela, John	5	100.0%	4.60	4.75	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.57	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.53
	5814	Adhya, Anirban	6	83.3%	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.17	4.60	4.00	4.40	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.33
	5814	Blendowski, Aaron	8	25.0%	3.50	4.00	4.50	3.00	4.50	4.00	3.92	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.67
	5814	Colon, Jason	7	57.1%	4.25	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.63
	5814	Deines, Amy	8	12.5%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.33
	5814	Farlow, Mark	7	100.0%	4.57	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.14	4.29	4.26	4.86	4.29	4.86	4.14	4.71	4.71	4.60
	5814	Jones, Aaron	13	30.8%	4.00	4.25	4.25	3.50	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.25	3.50	4.25	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.04
	5814	Shall, Scott	9	33.3%	4.33	3.67	3.67	3.33	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.56
	5814	Stevens, James	9	44.4%	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.67	4.50	4.75	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.42
	5814	Wang, Paul	11	90.9%	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.56	4.60	4.52	4.70	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.58
	5913	Bohde, Matthew	13	30.8%	3.00	2.75	3.25	3.00	2.75	3.25	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.00	3.25	3.25	3.25
	5913	Harmala, John	13	46.2%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.42	4.67	4.33	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.61
	5942	Herzog, Ronald	14	92.9%	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.31	4.31	4.46	4.45	4.69	4.23	4.38	4.31	4.54	4.31	4.41
	5952	Harmala, John	9	44.4%	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.17
	6002	Orlowski, Edward	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
	6003	Roberson, Pierre	6	83.3%	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.73
	6882	Salah, Rana	6	83.3%	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80
	6884	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	12	91.7%	4.00	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.02	4.11	3.89	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.00	4.08
	6884	Bebawy, Mena	12	83.3%	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
	6884	Karidis, George	12	75.0%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.52
	6884	Nelson, Ralph	12	91.7%	4.80	4.90	4.90	4.70	4.90	4.90	4.85	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64
	6884	Nowicki, Michael	12	75.0%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.38	4.33
	6912	Ward, Eric	9	66.7%	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.83	4.33	3.67	3.83	3.83	3.97
	6883	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	11	90.9%	3.50	3.90	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.50	3.72	3.70	3.90	4.20	4.10	4.20	4.10	4.03

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ATD	2816	Cooper, Stuart	7	42.9%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.83
	2816	Cowles, Paul	7	42.9%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.33	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.72
	2816	Crowley, Joseph	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
	2816	English, Derek	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89
	2816	Falenski, Jason	7	42.9%	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.72
	2832	Nagara, Keith	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3616	Channey, Royce	7	42.9%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
	3616	Hanzel, Andrew	20	70.0%	3.69	3.92	3.85	3.62	3.85	3.85	3.79	4.62	3.46	4.23	3.77	4.15	3.92	4.03
	3616	Huacuja, Roberto	20	75.0%	4.36	4.50	4.50	4.15	4.29	4.36	4.37	4.71	4.57	4.79	4.46	4.79	4.71	4.68
	3616	Zhang, Jing	13	69.2%	2.56	2.56	2.78	2.33	2.33	2.22	2.46	2.89	2.44	2.88	2.63	3.00	2.44	2.66
	3716	Bonathan, Colin	10	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.33	4.60	4.50	4.51	4.60	4.30	3.80	4.33	3.90	3.90	4.11
	3716	Nichols, Christopher	10	100.0%	4.78	4.67	5.00	4.63	4.78	4.67	4.76	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.75	4.56	4.78	4.77
	4414	Mitchell, Douglas	14	64.3%	3.22	3.22	3.44	3.33	2.67	2.78	3.11	3.78	3.67	3.78	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.59
	4414	Sims, Russell	14	64.3%	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.67	3.78	3.89	3.83	4.56	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.33	4.22	4.31
	4513	Hanzel, Andrew	14	57.1%	3.00	3.63	3.50	3.38	3.00	3.25	3.29	3.63	2.88	3.50	3.13	3.38	3.13	3.27
	4516	Feliciano, Emilio	11	72.7%	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.63	4.13	4.25	4.04	4.38	4.13	4.25	3.63	4.13	4.25	4.13
	4516	Gaffka, Douglas	11	72.7%	3.63	3.88	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.88	3.77	4.38	3.88	4.25	3.88	3.88	4.00	4.04
4516	Kuljanov, Alikhan	11	72.7%	4.00	4.13	4.13	3.50	4.13	4.25	4.02	4.38	4.13	4.13	3.50	4.13	4.13	4.06	
4524	Palazzolo, Joseph	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	
BIO	1153	Siddiq, Fauzia	12	66.7%	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.12	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.36
	1213	Morrisette, Jeffery	44	63.6%	4.61	4.57	4.61	4.54	4.39	4.54	4.54	4.79	4.57	4.54	4.68	4.64	4.54	4.63
	1221	Grewal - Karwa, Jaswinder	16	68.8%	3.27	3.91	3.91	3.27	3.50	3.55	3.57	3.64	2.82	3.27	3.50	4.09	3.27	3.44
	1221	Morrisette, Jeffery	8	75.0%	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.78
	1221	Siddiq, Fauzia	24	70.8%	4.47	4.41	4.29	4.59	4.41	4.24	4.40	4.76	4.24	4.76	4.38	4.65	4.53	4.56
	2313	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	19	68.4%	4.62	4.62	4.23	4.38	4.46	4.62	4.49	4.69	4.54	4.62	4.38	4.62	4.69	4.59
	2321	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	18	72.2%	4.54	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.62	4.54	4.53	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.74
3613	Morrisette, Jeffery	11	72.7%	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.81	
BME	2101	Aviles, Gladys	18	72.2%	4.15	4.23	4.31	4.00	4.23	4.23	4.19	4.38	3.92	4.23	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.12
	2103	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	18	72.2%	4.54	4.31	4.46	4.15	4.46	4.58	4.40	4.92	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.85	4.77	4.77
	3002	Nasir, Mansoor	7	57.1%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.42
	3223	Nasir, Mansoor	8	50.0%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.08	4.50	4.00	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.54
	3301	Meyer, Eric	17	58.8%	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.32	4.50	4.30	4.60	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.45
	3303	Meyer, Eric	14	57.1%	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.00	4.25	4.38	4.27	4.38	4.13	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.38
	4013	Nasir, Mansoor	17	23.5%	4.00	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.75	4.50	4.21	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.46
	4103	LeCarpentier, Gerald	21	42.9%	2.78	2.78	2.78	2.67	2.33	2.44	2.63	3.78	2.56	3.78	3.22	3.78	3.11	3.37
	4801	Bou-Akl, Therese	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.44
	4801	Li, Yawen	8	25.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4803	Li, Yawen	16	31.3%	5.00	4.40	4.60	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.73
	4903	Nasir, Mansoor	13	30.8%	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.33
	1202	LeCarpentier, Gerald	20	75.0%	2.73	3.53	3.53	3.33	3.07	2.73	3.16	3.93	2.47	3.60	3.50	3.13	2.87	3.20
	1201	Seta, Joseph	19	73.7%	4.07	4.07	4.07	4.07	3.64	3.92	3.97	4.29	3.43	4.50	4.21	4.14	4.00	4.10
	3703	Li, Yawen	14	71.4%	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.52	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.55
6303	Meyer, Eric	4	75.0%	3.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.67	3.89	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.33	4.33	4.00	3.89	
CHM	1154	Holubka, Joseph	17	58.8%	3.50	3.50	3.50	2.80	2.90	2.90	3.18	3.50	3.30	3.60	3.30	4.00	3.30	3.50
	1154	Karian, Harutun	20	50.0%	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.50	3.70	3.50	3.72	4.00	3.60	3.50	3.90	3.80	3.60	3.73
	1154	Sharath, Anusha	18	38.9%	4.29	4.00	4.00	3.86	3.86	4.14	4.02	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.50	4.35
	1154	Villeneuve, Nicole	15	46.7%	4.29	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.17	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.17
	1154	Woodworth, James	20	40.0%	3.25	3.38	3.88	3.38	3.38	3.25	3.42	3.75	3.25	3.63	3.38	3.25	3.00	3.38
	1213	Holubka, Joseph	22	40.9%	3.67	3.44	3.56	3.56	3.78	3.56	3.59	4.00	3.56	3.89	3.56	3.89	3.56	3.74
	1213	Madden, William	45	46.7%	3.76	3.71	4.10	4.00	3.76	3.71	3.84	4.38	3.76	3.95	4.14	4.05	3.81	4.02
	1213	Villeneuve, Nicole	23	21.7%	4.20	3.60	4.20	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.97	4.40	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.03
	1213	Woodworth, James	18	61.1%	3.18	3.45	3.80	3.64	3.70	3.36	3.51	3.82	3.45	3.55	3.64	3.55	3.45	3.58
	1221	Appleby, Lavetta	18	33.3%	3.50	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.53	4.00	3.17	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.53

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor						Instructor Average	
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.		Overall, the instructor was effective.
COM	6463	Stavish, Corinne	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
	6523	Stavish, Corinne	2	100.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33
CRW	2513	Lamers, Sara	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.00	4.75	4.75	4.63	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.71
EAE	1081	Ozzello, Elizabeth	18	44.4%	3.63	3.50	3.50	3.50	2.88	2.88	3.31	4.00	3.50	3.63	4.13	3.50	3.38	3.69
	3113	Annis-Alajaj, Nicole	12	83.3%	3.80	4.10	4.40	3.90	4.10	4.10	4.07	4.40	3.50	4.10	4.00	4.20	3.90	4.02
	4014	Nelson, Ralph	11	90.9%	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.62	4.70	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.80	4.70	4.62
	4113	Nowicki, Michael	11	100.0%	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.45	4.36	4.64	4.52	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.73	4.64
ECE	1011	Lobbestael, Adam	15	60.0%	4.56	4.44	4.22	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.46	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.69
	1013	Livernois, Michael	17	70.6%	4.17	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.08	4.08	4.18	4.50	4.17	3.83	4.33	4.08	4.25	4.19
	1101	Petz, Jennifer	18	66.7%	4.00	3.92	4.33	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.96	4.08	3.58	3.33	3.75	2.92	3.42	3.51
	1102	Lobbestael, Adam	10	50.0%	4.80	4.80	4.40	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.77	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2103	Henson, Susan	6	50.0%	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.83
	3013	Jensen, Elin	22	72.7%	4.56	4.50	4.50	4.56	4.31	4.38	4.47	4.81	4.50	4.69	4.56	4.81	4.50	4.65
	3213	Karatas, Aslihan	12	66.7%	3.63	3.38	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.58	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.13	3.75	3.94
	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	5	100.0%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.80
	3424	Lobbestael, Adam	6	100.0%	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.36	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.58
	3523	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	13	92.3%	4.58	4.33	4.25	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.24	4.75	4.08	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.25	4.43
	3723	Bebawy, Mena	15	100.0%	4.47	4.53	4.73	4.67	4.47	4.53	4.57	4.87	4.73	4.60	4.80	4.67	4.73	4.73
	3823	Bandara, Nishantha	16	93.8%	4.27	4.27	4.33	4.13	4.27	4.27	4.26	4.33	4.13	4.33	4.20	4.20	4.33	4.26
	4022	Henson, Susan	10	90.0%	3.00	3.89	4.44	3.22	4.11	4.33	3.83	3.89	3.78	4.44	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.11
	4051	Tocco, John	6	83.3%	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80
	4243	Tocco, John	15	80.0%	3.92	4.17	4.42	3.00	4.17	4.25	3.99	4.67	3.75	3.58	3.25	4.08	4.00	3.89
	4263	Karatas, Aslihan	13	69.2%	3.67	3.78	3.78	4.00	3.33	3.56	3.69	4.22	3.33	3.89	4.22	3.89	3.67	3.87
	4443	Stoops, Jason	8	87.5%	4.57	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.55	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.69
	4544	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	5	100.0%	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.57	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.93
	4563	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.53	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.86
	4743	Kowalkowski, Keith	8	100.0%	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.54	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.83
	4843	Bandara, Nishantha	13	92.3%	4.67	4.67	4.58	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.65	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.81
	5113	Karatas, Aslihan	24	58.3%	3.79	3.79	3.93	3.62	3.57	3.62	3.71	3.64	3.50	4.00	3.57	3.86	3.64	3.70
	5213	Tocco, John	21	42.9%	4.44	4.78	4.78	4.44	4.56	4.89	4.65	4.89	4.78	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.69
	5233	Judnic, Victor	25	88.0%	4.64	4.77	4.82	4.77	4.64	4.82	4.74	4.86	4.77	4.86	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.83
	5433	Lobbestael, Adam	10	80.0%	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.63	4.25	4.40	4.25	4.75	4.63	4.13	4.50	4.38	4.44
	5713	Bebawy, Mena	25	100.0%	4.52	4.40	4.56	4.32	4.28	4.52	4.43	4.60	4.48	4.52	4.40	4.56	4.56	4.52
	5293	Bilinski, Jake	25	52.0%	4.00	3.92	4.08	3.62	3.85	4.08	3.92	4.00	4.15	4.23	4.00	4.23	4.00	4.10
	5923	Cassar, Catherine	19	94.7%	4.33	4.56	4.56	4.39	4.39	4.44	4.44	4.39	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.46
5323	Huang, Mao	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.56	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	
6743	Kowalkowski, Keith	17	100.0%	4.76	4.50	4.65	4.65	4.35	4.65	4.59	4.71	4.65	4.65	4.71	4.65	4.65	4.67	
4753	Kowalkowski, Keith	7	100.0%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.48	4.71	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.14	4.36	
5243	Kosniak, Donald	24	45.8%	4.27	4.09	4.36	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.35	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.73	4.36	4.27	4.42	
EEE	1001	Olivier, Philip	15	46.7%	2.00	2.00	2.86	1.57	2.57	2.14	2.19	3.43	2.71	2.57	2.67	3.43	2.86	2.94
	2111	AlGhamdi, Ali	11	45.5%	3.60	3.40	3.40	3.80	3.20	3.00	3.40	3.60	3.80	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.83
	2111	Foster, Ronald	7	85.7%	4.33	4.00	4.33	3.83	4.00	4.33	4.14	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.83	3.17	3.67	3.50
	2114	Cloud, Michael	15	60.0%	5.00	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.87	5.00	5.00	4.89	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.93
	2123	AlGhamdi, Ali	21	71.4%	3.93	3.80	4.20	4.27	3.93	3.87	4.00	4.27	3.67	4.43	4.33	4.13	3.80	4.10
	2123	Naserian, Mohammad	14	50.0%	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.29	4.71	4.43	4.50	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.43	3.86	4.14	4.24
	2123	Walker, Lewis	26	73.1%	3.26	3.32	3.50	3.47	3.42	2.94	3.35	4.00	2.74	3.00	3.05	3.05	2.63	3.09
	2123	Xia, Jinjun	8	100.0%	3.13	3.38	3.50	3.50	3.25	3.50	3.38	3.75	2.50	4.38	4.00	4.13	3.13	3.65
	2214	Cloud, Michael	18	50.0%	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.11	3.44	4.22	4.30	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.78	4.76
	2214	Jaber, Nabih	18	72.2%	4.08	3.92	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.00	4.04	4.23	3.77	4.08	4.08	4.54	4.23	4.15
	3011	Jaber, Nabih	22	40.9%	3.67	3.63	3.43	3.75	3.67	3.63	3.67	4.44	4.33	4.00	4.25	4.22	4.22	4.25
	3121	Rehnan, Bridget	18	50.0%	3.00	3.22	3.67	3.44	3.56	3.22	3.35	4.67	4.00	4.11	3.56	4.13	4.11	4.10
	3124	AlGhamdi, Ali	6	50.0%	2.67	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.11	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.00	3.00	3.33	3.28

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EGE	2233	Reimer, Donald	59	57.6%	4.06	3.97	4.03	3.85	4.06	4.00	4.00	4.44	4.15	4.24	4.06	4.21	4.18	4.21
	3003	Brown, Jeffrey	31	54.8%	3.94	4.12	4.12	4.18	4.06	4.00	4.07	4.65	4.06	4.24	4.41	4.47	4.24	4.34
	3003	Fletcher, Robert	24	45.8%	4.27	4.00	4.18	4.09	3.91	4.09	4.09	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.64	4.55	4.58
	3003	Frasch, Lewis	14	57.1%	3.88	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.13	3.88	4.10	4.88	4.00	4.88	4.63	4.75	4.38	4.58
	3012	Mullin, Thomas	32	59.4%	3.79	3.95	4.16	4.11	3.68	3.89	3.93	4.42	4.26	4.32	4.16	4.32	4.26	4.29
	3012	Pawlowski, Roger	24	70.8%	4.47	4.41	4.41	4.35	4.35	4.35	4.39	4.59	4.53	4.41	4.53	4.47	4.41	4.49
	3012	Yee, Kingman	26	100.0%	4.50	4.23	4.54	4.38	4.46	4.35	4.41	4.65	4.62	4.58	4.35	4.12	4.31	4.44
	3361	Reimer, Donald	15	20.0%	3.00	3.67	3.33	2.67	3.67	3.33	3.28	4.67	3.33	4.33	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.78
EIE	2012	Ali, Sk	2	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.17
	2012	Mullin, Thomas	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
	3023	Murray, Neil	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3653	Ogundu, Joseph	9	66.7%	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	3.33	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.50	3.83	3.97
	6653	Ali, Sk	37	56.8%	4.76	4.81	4.81	4.76	4.71	4.76	4.77	4.71	4.62	4.57	4.48	4.76	4.71	4.64
	3753	Ogundu, Joseph	9	55.6%	3.60	4.20	4.40	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.07	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.80	4.20	3.80	3.80
EME	2011	Yee, Kingman	27	85.2%	4.39	4.35	4.30	4.22	4.13	4.26	4.28	4.52	4.48	4.43	4.26	4.13	4.52	4.39
	2012	Ali, Sk	25	48.0%	3.17	3.58	3.75	3.00	3.83	3.33	3.44	3.42	2.42	3.00	3.17	3.42	2.92	3.06
	2012	Mullin, Thomas	69	53.6%	4.42	4.25	4.50	4.47	4.22	4.33	4.37	4.47	4.36	4.50	4.44	4.36	4.42	4.43
	3013	Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	30	43.3%	4.08	4.00	3.92	4.08	3.85	4.00	3.99	4.46	4.23	4.31	4.23	4.62	4.15	4.33
	3013	Yee, Kingman	12	100.0%	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.08	4.17	4.08	4.21	4.50	4.42	4.25	4.08	3.75	4.17	4.19
	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	36	47.2%	3.88	4.12	4.06	3.88	3.76	3.82	3.92	4.24	4.12	4.24	4.12	4.12	4.24	4.18
	3023	Murray, Neil	27	85.2%	4.39	4.52	4.52	4.48	4.17	4.30	4.40	4.74	4.61	4.70	4.57	4.70	4.39	4.62
	3033	Fernandez, Vernon	20	60.0%	3.92	3.92	3.75	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.83	4.33	4.00	3.92	4.08	4.25	4.17	4.13
	3033	Pawlowski, Roger	25	52.0%	3.69	3.54	3.62	3.69	3.54	3.38	3.58	4.31	4.08	4.08	4.25	4.00	3.92	4.11
	3043	Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram	32	56.3%	3.94	3.83	4.06	4.06	3.94	3.89	3.95	4.22	4.06	4.11	4.28	4.17	4.17	4.17
	3043	Riedel, Chris	19	63.2%	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.75	4.58	4.50	4.61	4.83	4.92	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.81	4.81
	3123	Gerhart, Andrew	8	37.5%	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67
	3123	Liu, Liping	25	84.0%	4.71	4.62	4.52	4.57	4.57	4.67	4.61	4.81	4.67	4.76	4.81	4.71	4.67	4.74
	3133	Stuart, Charles	45	68.9%	4.48	4.71	4.61	4.68	4.45	4.52	4.58	4.81	4.71	4.74	4.68	4.81	4.77	4.75
	3214	Mynderse, James	10	90.0%	4.00	3.67	4.11	4.33	4.00	3.56	3.94	4.89	3.89	3.33	4.33	3.78	3.22	3.91
	3214	Patel, Krunal	13	76.9%	4.10	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.10	4.12	4.60	4.10	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.40	4.50
	3223	Nasir, Mansoor	8	50.0%	3.00	2.50	2.75	3.50	2.75	2.75	2.88	3.50	3.00	4.00	2.75	4.00	2.75	3.33
	4003	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	24	70.8%	3.35	3.65	3.29	3.24	3.35	3.41	3.38	4.00	3.13	3.13	3.00	3.19	3.12	3.25
	4013	Arslan, Selin	34	73.5%	4.68	4.64	4.68	4.64	4.56	4.68	4.65	4.84	4.80	4.80	4.84	4.80	4.80	4.81
	4013	Liu, Liping	14	92.9%	4.62	4.77	4.85	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.72	4.92	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.62	4.85	4.79
	4143	Nasser, Mohamed	24	75.0%	4.11	4.06	4.00	3.89	3.83	3.89	3.96	4.18	4.11	4.28	4.11	3.83	3.89	4.05
	4163	Williams, John	9	88.9%	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.50	4.50	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.38	4.88	4.88	4.77
	4212	Yee, Kingman	34	79.4%	3.89	3.81	3.70	3.74	3.70	3.70	3.76	4.22	4.07	4.30	4.15	4.15	4.19	4.18
	4252	Yee, Kingman	34	91.2%	4.23	3.86	3.84	4.06	4.06	4.00	4.02	4.19	4.13	4.35	4.23	3.97	4.16	4.17
	4333	Gill, Preetinder	32	81.3%	4.58	4.62	4.50	4.58	4.42	4.42	4.52	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.65	4.58	4.62	4.64
	4402	Fernandez, Vernon	16	50.0%	2.38	3.13	3.38	2.38	3.00	2.50	2.79	3.13	2.38	2.63	2.63	3.13	2.75	2.77
	4412	Gerhart, Andrew	16	75.0%	4.92	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.78	4.83	4.92	4.83	4.92	4.92	4.92	4.89
	4603	Huff, John	28	78.6%	3.45	3.55	3.41	3.18	3.00	3.14	3.29	3.50	3.27	3.45	3.45	3.45	2.86	3.30
	4613	Pawlowski, Roger	16	62.5%	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.90	4.68	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.60	4.90	5.00	4.90
	5143	Yassine, Mahmoud	34	82.4%	4.36	4.32	4.50	4.25	3.89	4.21	4.26	4.29	4.29	4.19	4.14	3.89	4.07	4.15
	5153	Arslan, Selin	35	88.6%	4.71	4.81	4.81	4.77	4.65	4.74	4.75	4.71	4.68	4.74	4.65	4.77	4.74	4.72
	5153	Sun, Richard	35	68.6%	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.21	4.30	4.39	4.29	4.33	4.63	4.63	4.46	4.38	4.45
	5213	Grace, Ihab	31	74.2%	4.26	4.17	4.26	4.39	4.13	4.22	4.24	4.43	4.13	4.09	4.22	4.30	4.30	4.25
	5253	Makki, Imad	34	73.5%	4.00	4.08	4.36	3.84	4.08	3.92	4.03	4.16	4.04	4.24	4.12	3.88	4.04	4.08
	5253	Sun, Richard	36	69.4%	4.44	4.40	4.52	4.48	4.32	4.24	4.40	4.28	4.36	4.52	4.52	4.56	4.36	4.43
	5363	Liu, Liping	32	87.5%	4.54	4.50	4.61	4.54	4.57	4.54	4.55	4.64	4.61	4.68	4.61	4.61	4.68	4.64
5373	Fletcher, Robert	27	59.3%	4.19	4.13	4.13	4.19	3.94	4.13	4.11	4.69	4.44	4.50	4.19	4.50	4.44	4.46	
5433	DeRose, Giuseppe	30	73.3%	4.73	4.73	4.68	4.68	4.73	4.68	4.70	4.77	4.82	4.68	4.68	4.73	4.82	4.75	
5453	Sitaram, Pattabhi	34	79.4%	3.96	4.04	4.19	4.08	3.93	4.00	4.00	4.15	3.93	4.07	4.15	3.89	4.04	4.04	
5983	Fletcher, Robert	27	44.4%	4.50	4.42	4.08	4.09	4.25	3.75	4.17	4.50	4.58	4.33	4.08	4.58	4.27	4.38	

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EME	6333	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	31	67.7%	4.38	4.40	4.48	4.43	4.55	4.33	4.41	4.57	4.43	4.52	4.38	4.43	4.33	4.44
	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	17	76.5%	4.54	4.46	4.46	4.46	4.31	4.54	4.46	4.62	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.50
	6623	Makki, Imad	27	66.7%	4.44	4.72	4.61	4.22	4.50	4.39	4.48	4.78	4.39	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.56	4.51
	7213	Schihl, Peter	33	66.7%	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.82	4.59	4.64	4.67	4.77	4.82	4.77	4.73	4.73	4.77	4.77
	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	13	69.2%	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.35	4.56	4.33	4.22	4.50	4.33	4.44	4.40
	6233	Jawad, Badih	33	78.8%	4.65	4.73	4.69	4.58	4.73	4.69	4.68	4.65	4.54	4.62	4.52	4.65	4.73	4.62
	5333	Riedel, Chris	29	79.3%	4.61	4.39	4.57	4.43	4.48	4.48	4.49	4.57	4.43	4.48	4.48	4.57	4.43	4.49
	6383	Shih, Shan	35	85.7%	4.70	4.53	4.73	4.67	4.40	4.60	4.61	4.77	4.60	4.73	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.71
	6493	Sitaram, Patabhi	22	45.5%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.55	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.58
EMS	5603	Ali, Sk	34	64.7%	4.50	4.68	4.73	4.67	4.55	4.68	4.63	4.82	4.73	4.77	4.50	4.77	4.73	4.72
	6703	Alwerfalli, Daw	40	85.0%	4.74	4.53	4.68	4.59	4.65	4.82	4.67	4.79	4.74	4.82	4.65	4.74	4.79	4.75
	7403	Nasser, Mohamed	12	75.0%	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.43	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.56
ERE	3014	Kerns, James	10	100.0%	3.70	3.80	4.20	3.70	4.00	4.10	3.92	4.20	3.70	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.40	4.33
	3114	Kfoury, Giscard	11	72.7%	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.13	3.88	4.13	4.08	4.63	4.00	3.88	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.15
	4014	Kfoury, Giscard	7	100.0%	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.00	3.86	4.43	4.07	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.17
	4113	Fernandez, Vernon	5	100.0%	2.60	3.40	2.80	2.00	2.60	2.80	2.70	3.40	2.60	3.80	3.40	3.80	3.20	3.37
ESL	112	Lampis, Giulia	10	70.0%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.52	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.52
	132	Bauer, Emily	10	60.0%	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.75	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
	132	Brodermann, Caroline	7	57.1%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.54	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58
	152	Brodermann, Caroline	7	100.0%	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.71	4.59	4.71	4.57	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.74
	152	Finlay, Suzanne	8	62.5%	4.80	4.80	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.77	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.73
	212	Edward, Pauline	8	50.0%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	232	Corp, Scott	19	63.2%	4.67	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	232	Edward, Pauline	14	50.0%	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.69
	252	Bennett, Douglas	12	58.3%	4.71	4.57	5.00	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.64	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.62
	252	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	9	55.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
	312	Jawad, Megdieh	9	55.6%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.07	4.20	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.60	3.87
	332	Revels, Teresa	12	41.7%	3.60	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.60	3.57	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	332	Theisen, Mary Lou	15	53.3%	3.75	4.00	4.13	4.25	3.75	3.88	3.96	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.23
	352	Corp, Scott	16	81.3%	4.92	4.92	4.85	4.77	4.92	4.92	4.88	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85	4.85
	352	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	30	80.0%	4.54	4.74	4.50	4.54	4.42	4.33	4.50	4.58	4.57	4.58	4.50	4.46	4.58	4.54
	432	Abunasr, Mazen	9	55.6%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.63	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60
	432	Mirza, Megan	15	53.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.02	4.13	4.13	4.00	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.04
	452	Mirza, Megan	16	93.8%	4.80	4.73	4.87	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.71	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.80	4.79
	452	Theisen, Mary Lou	16	62.5%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.90	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.90	5.00	4.90	4.90	4.92
	512	Revels, Teresa	10	50.0%	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.17
	532	Lampis, Giulia	10	50.0%	5.00	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.53
	532	Revels, Teresa	9	77.8%	4.86	4.71	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90
	552	Berri, Sarah	8	50.0%	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.79
	552	Brodermann, Caroline	22	86.4%	4.32	4.42	4.16	4.11	4.11	4.21	4.22	4.21	4.26	4.21	4.11	4.16	4.05	4.17
	612	Berri, Sarah	9	55.6%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.93	3.40	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.03
	632	Dilley, Lara	10	40.0%	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.46
652	Jawad, Megdieh	22	77.3%	4.53	4.59	4.82	4.53	4.41	4.24	4.52	4.35	4.47	4.47	4.53	4.47	4.59	4.48	
412	Mirza, Megan	8	37.5%	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	19	47.4%	4.44	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.26	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.33	4.22	4.26
FSC	1214	Bozin, Keith	17	58.8%	3.70	3.70	4.00	4.00	3.90	3.70	3.83	4.20	3.50	3.70	3.70	4.00	3.70	3.80
	1214	Williams, Antionette	19	47.4%	3.33	3.44	3.44	3.33	3.33	3.11	3.33	3.33	2.89	3.00	3.38	3.33	3.11	3.13
GAM	2123	Ashton, Marshall	5	80.0%	3.75	4.25	4.50	2.67	4.50	4.50	4.11	4.25	4.25	4.25	2.67	4.50	4.25	4.09
	2213	Ashton, Marshall	15	80.0%	3.50	3.92	4.00	3.17	3.67	3.75	3.67	4.58	3.83	4.58	4.08	4.75	4.33	4.36
	3143	Evans, Bryce	6	100.0%	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.33	4.83	4.83	4.69	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.33	4.83	4.83	4.72

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor								
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average	
GAM	4514	Ashton, Marshall	9	88.9%	4.13	3.88	4.25	4.00	4.38	4.00	4.10	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.19	
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	24	62.5%	4.53	4.20	4.60	4.47	4.47	4.60	4.48	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.80	4.73	4.70	
HRM	3023	Swiantek, Cynthia	13	61.5%	4.25	4.00	4.13	3.88	3.88	4.13	4.04	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.00	4.13	
IDD	1113	Nagara, Keith	16	62.5%	4.50	4.20	4.50	4.30	4.90	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.30	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.48	
	1114	English, Derek	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	1114	Falenski, Jason	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.79	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.67	
	1114	Heyne, David	7	57.1%	4.25	4.25	4.50	3.25	4.25	4.50	4.17	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.75	
	1114	Levich, Michael	7	57.1%	4.00	4.50	4.50	3.25	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.75	4.50	4.25	4.33	
	2213	Graf, Benjamin	6	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.61	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.78	
	2216	English, Derek	6	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	
	2216	Falenski, Jason	6	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.82	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.50	4.50	5.00	4.43	
	2216	Kijanka, Rob	6	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	
	2216	Palazzolo, Joseph	6	33.3%	4.50	3.00	4.50	3.00	4.50	4.50	4.12	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.67	
	2216	Vida, Asha	6	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.50	5.00	4.58	
	2216	Zubrickas, Paul	6	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	
	3316	Kuljanov, Alikhan	6	50.0%	4.33	4.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.22	5.00	3.67	4.67	3.67	5.00	4.33	4.39	
	3316	Nagara, Keith	6	50.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.39	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.61	
	3316	Preuss, Kevin	6	50.0%	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.78	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.78	
	4516	McMillan, Andrew	5	40.0%	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	5.00	5.00	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42	
	4516	Mikaluskas, Christopher	5	40.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	3.00	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	
INT	2114	Grembi, Jason	9	77.8%	4.14	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.40	4.86	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.64	
	3103	Alexandris, Athanasios	19	68.4%	4.85	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.73	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.62	4.71	
	3603	Montgomery, Robert	23	91.3%	4.52	4.48	4.43	4.38	4.48	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.52	4.62	4.57	4.62	4.48	4.56	
	3803	Ku, Chih	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	4203	Makar, Andrew	12	58.3%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.76	
	4303	Neary, John	6	66.7%	4.75	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.54	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	5024	Grembi, Jason	22	68.2%	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.40	4.47	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.53	4.60	4.53	4.60	
	6043	Kohnke, Anne	34	50.0%	4.65	4.47	4.41	4.35	4.47	4.35	4.45	4.59	4.47	4.53	4.41	4.41	4.53	4.49	
	6113	Caushaj, Eralda	6	100.0%	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.14	
	6113	Ku, Chih	32	100.0%	4.56	4.59	4.72	4.56	4.59	4.69	4.62	4.59	4.47	4.78	4.56	4.53	4.59	4.59	
	6123	Caushaj, Eralda	18	66.7%	4.42	4.42	4.50	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.44	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.57	
	6123	Kohnke, Anne	17	82.4%	4.36	4.14	4.43	4.36	4.29	4.36	4.32	4.36	4.43	4.50	4.43	4.50	4.50	4.45	
	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	15	93.3%	4.71	4.64	4.71	4.57	4.79	4.71	4.69	4.79	4.64	4.71	4.50	4.71	4.57	4.65	
	6253	Paryani, Kiumars	13	100.0%	4.08	4.00	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.96	3.69	3.46	3.85	3.77	3.85	3.69	3.72	
	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	24	100.0%	4.54	4.58	4.63	4.67	4.54	4.58	4.59	4.58	4.50	4.54	4.63	4.67	4.54	4.58	
	7223	Kohnke, Anne	12	75.0%	4.56	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.00	4.44	4.39	4.33	3.89	4.11	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.07	
	7513	Padalino, Anthony	18	61.1%	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.73	4.55	4.64	4.65	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.64	4.64	
	7593	Allen, Victor	24	62.5%	4.47	4.40	4.40	4.33	4.33	4.40	4.39	4.53	4.60	4.60	4.33	4.47	4.47	4.50	
		2134	Dada, Amanullah	16	56.3%	3.44	3.22	3.44	3.89	3.56	3.11	3.44	3.33	3.89	3.56	3.89	3.89	3.67	3.70
		7263	Dillard, Terrance	19	84.2%	4.56	4.63	4.56	4.63	4.75	4.50	4.60	4.63	4.63	4.69	4.63	4.63	4.56	4.64
	4023	Meier, Wesley	10	60.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
LDR	2001	Craigo, Brian	31	61.3%	3.05	3.44	3.68	2.84	2.89	2.84	3.14	4.11	3.37	3.79	3.67	4.47	3.68	3.84	
	2001	Duranceau, Claudia	38	73.7%	3.86	3.89	3.75	3.86	3.39	3.57	3.72	4.26	4.07	4.43	4.11	4.50	4.14	4.25	
	2001	Faulkner, Ty	27	59.3%	4.56	4.44	4.44	4.25	4.19	4.31	4.36	4.31	4.25	4.44	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.41	
	2001	Jolly, Katherine	20	65.0%	4.15	4.08	4.15	3.92	3.92	3.92	4.03	3.92	3.92	3.92	3.92	4.00	3.92	3.92	
	2001	Maiseloff, Stephen	17	64.7%	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.70	4.56	4.73	4.55	4.82	4.73	4.91	4.82	4.76	
	2001	Nagara, Keith	19	57.9%	3.36	3.64	3.55	2.91	3.18	3.18	3.30	3.55	3.64	3.82	3.27	3.55	3.27	3.52	
	2001	Nation, Christopher	43	55.8%	4.46	4.42	4.54	4.50	4.21	4.38	4.42	4.79	4.79	4.75	4.75	4.79	4.92	4.80	
	2001	Randall, Matthew	8	75.0%	3.83	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.17	3.00	3.78	4.00	4.50	4.83	4.80	4.33	4.33	4.44	
	3000	Craigo, Brian	218	53.7%	4.04	3.90	3.87	3.98	3.79	3.54	3.84	4.12	4.13	4.03	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.10	
	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	51	68.6%	3.64	3.72	3.81	3.79	3.36	3.33	3.59	4.10	4.26	4.43	4.35	4.26	4.13	4.16	

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course					The Instructor						Instructor Average		
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.		The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.
LDR	4000	Jolly, Katherine	23	60.9%	3.46	3.46	3.69	3.62	3.17	2.92	3.39	4.00	3.92	3.79	3.92	3.92	3.85	3.89
	4000	Nation, Christopher	35	60.0%	4.15	3.68	3.55	4.05	3.85	3.30	3.77	4.42	4.55	4.45	4.50	4.45	4.45	4.47
LLT	1213	Finkelman, Louis	36	38.9%	4.43	4.21	4.43	4.21	4.14	4.07	4.25	4.50	4.29	4.43	4.36	4.36	4.29	4.37
	1213	Weinstein, Melinda	60	55.0%	4.45	4.48	4.42	4.18	4.12	4.15	4.30	4.61	4.45	4.61	4.25	4.70	4.45	4.51
	1213	Wilhelm, Stephanie	30	50.0%	3.80	4.13	4.33	4.00	3.80	3.93	4.00	4.53	3.93	3.73	4.00	3.93	3.87	4.00
	1223	Brinker, Ludger	15	60.0%	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.11	4.44	4.11	4.43	4.78	4.56	4.22	3.89	4.78	4.56	4.46
	1223	Jausen, Paul	30	86.7%	4.35	4.27	4.46	4.38	4.38	4.46	4.38	4.65	4.58	4.69	4.58	4.69	4.54	4.62
	1223	Lamers, Sara	29	65.5%	4.05	4.22	4.37	4.37	4.26	4.11	4.24	4.58	4.37	4.47	4.47	4.37	4.32	4.43
	1223	Schantz, Randy	19	68.4%	4.08	3.85	4.08	3.77	3.62	3.46	3.81	4.54	4.38	3.77	3.77	4.15	3.85	4.08
	1223	Weiss, Daniel	18	61.1%	3.82	3.73	3.91	3.91	3.91	3.82	3.85	4.55	4.27	3.91	4.18	4.55	4.27	4.29
	1223	Yezbick, Denise	28	39.3%	4.27	4.27	4.36	4.00	4.09	3.91	4.15	4.64	4.27	4.45	4.18	4.55	4.36	4.41
	3543	Jausen, Paul	18	83.3%	4.67	4.80	4.67	4.47	4.60	4.80	4.67	5.00	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.87	4.80	4.81
	4523	Lamers, Sara	11	81.8%	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.44	4.78	4.89	4.78	5.00	4.78	4.78	4.56	4.89	4.89	4.81
	4993	Weinstein, Melinda	2	100.0%	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33
	3453	Brinker, Ludger	16	62.5%	4.50	4.40	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.35	4.90	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.80	4.50	4.62
	MBA	5013	Cole, Matthew	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
6013		Castelli, Patricia	11	63.6%	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.21	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.43	4.33
6013		Love, Danielle	22	40.9%	3.44	3.67	3.44	3.56	3.67	3.44	3.54	3.00	3.00	3.44	3.44	3.56	3.11	3.26
6023		Ford, Janet	16	56.3%	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.00	3.78	3.94	4.56	4.00	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.56	4.43
6023		Marx, Thomas	8	62.5%	5.00	4.20	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
6023		Teolis, David	12	100.0%	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.79	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.92
6033		Tsebro, Pavlo	25	48.0%	4.08	4.17	4.08	4.33	4.00	3.92	4.10	4.42	4.25	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.08	4.31
6043		Castelli, Patricia	27	70.4%	4.79	4.74	4.74	4.89	4.74	4.74	4.77	4.84	4.89	4.84	4.68	4.84	4.84	4.82
6043		Marx, Thomas	7	28.6%	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.40	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.67
6053		Kiani, Isar	13	92.3%	4.33	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.75	4.50	4.58	4.75	4.25	4.83	4.75	5.00	4.67	4.71
6063		Raghavan, Srikant	9	77.8%	4.14	3.86	3.86	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.02	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.40
6073		Stavros, Jacqueline	32	62.5%	4.65	4.80	4.75	4.85	4.75	4.85	4.78	4.80	4.85	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.88
7033		Cole, Matthew	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.83
7063		Allen, Victor	23	34.8%	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.88	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.86	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.68
7063		Drommi, Antonio	28	64.3%	4.28	4.22	4.44	4.39	4.11	4.17	4.27	4.44	4.56	4.44	4.61	4.33	4.56	4.49
7103		Kalia, Atul	9	100.0%	2.89	3.88	3.67	2.67	3.44	3.56	3.34	4.00	3.22	3.56	2.67	4.11	3.44	3.50
7173		Taleb, Hassan	36	91.7%	4.73	4.67	4.70	4.82	4.64	4.73	4.71	4.82	4.85	4.85	4.79	4.85	4.85	4.83
5011T		Browne, Warren	13	53.8%	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.33	4.14	4.09	4.86	3.57	4.14	3.57	3.86	4.14	4.02
5021T		Browne, Warren	12	66.7%	4.25	4.63	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.33	4.63	4.38	4.25	4.50	4.13	4.38	4.38
5031T		Kiani, Isar	18	88.9%	4.44	4.63	4.31	4.25	4.06	4.31	4.33	4.38	4.38	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.48
5041T	Kiani, Isar	16	43.8%	4.29	4.43	4.14	4.00	3.86	4.29	4.17	4.00	3.43	3.29	4.00	3.57	3.43	3.62	
7003	Zhang, Yu	9	44.4%	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.25	4.46	
MCO	1003	Gaber, Jody	10	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.97
	2003	Swantek, Beth	9	44.4%	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.00	3.83
	2543	Swantek, Beth	11	27.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
	2563	Raj, Roop	13	38.5%	4.80	4.60	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.77
	3303	Swantek, Phillip	15	53.3%	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.38	4.17	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.38
	3633	Helterhoff, Holly	10	70.0%	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.79	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.81
	3643	Anderanin, Paula	9	55.6%	3.60	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.80	3.97	4.80	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.30
	4073	Schlegel, Nicholas	18	55.6%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.68
	4073	Ward, Michael	12	41.7%	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.53	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.50
	MCS	35	Zendeli, Bashkim	21	66.7%	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.69	4.57	4.64	4.52	4.50	4.43	4.57	4.69	4.43	4.50
44		Coval, Brad	11	54.5%	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.33	4.39	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.56
51		Jadoun, Naela	32	37.5%	3.92	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.83	3.92	3.89	4.17	4.08	3.92	4.00	4.08	4.08	4.06
54		Ahmadi, Parviz	17	58.8%	4.00	3.89	4.40	4.00	4.00	3.90	4.01	4.40	4.20	3.90	4.20	4.30	4.00	4.17
54		Morris, John	20	55.0%	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.70	4.55	4.59	4.73	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.64	4.27	4.47
74		Liddy, Richard	28	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.79	3.64	3.64	3.85	4.21	3.93	4.00	4.00	3.64	3.86	3.94

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	83	Timbre, Keith	14	64.3%	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.33	3.89	4.22	4.15	4.22	4.11	4.00	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.13
	93	Liddy, Richard	16	37.5%	4.17	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.50	4.00	4.08	3.83	4.17	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.11
	93	Timbre, Keith	9	77.8%	4.57	3.86	4.71	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.36	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.45
	1003	Shaba, Amal	36	86.1%	4.65	4.58	4.65	4.65	4.47	4.52	4.58	4.61	4.61	4.65	4.58	4.55	4.65	4.61
	1113	Husseini, Ghassan	13	46.2%	4.50	4.67	3.83	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.00	4.25
	1113	Jadoun, Naela	17	41.2%	3.29	3.29	3.43	3.43	3.14	3.43	3.33	4.29	3.29	3.43	3.71	4.14	3.43	3.71
	1113	Mills, Hans	10	40.0%	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1123	Coval, Brad	15	53.3%	3.63	3.75	3.63	4.00	3.63	3.63	3.71	3.88	3.88	3.50	3.63	3.63	3.13	3.60
	1142	Beyer, Cameron	12	41.7%	3.60	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.80	3.73	4.20	3.60	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.60	3.83
	1142	Goulding, Thomas	20	70.0%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.93	4.68	4.93	4.86	4.86	4.79	4.93	4.93	4.88
	1142	Mills, Hans	18	77.8%	4.29	3.86	4.43	4.43	4.07	4.29	4.23	4.71	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.64	4.43	4.51
	1142	Shaba, Amal	20	80.0%	4.19	4.31	4.06	3.88	3.56	3.94	3.99	4.44	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.44	4.00	4.23
	1142	Zurawski, Erik	18	38.9%	3.29	2.71	3.43	3.29	3.00	3.00	3.12	3.86	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.43	3.43	3.57
	1203	Vaynberg, Yelena	25	56.0%	4.29	4.50	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.50	4.57	4.64	4.71	4.71	4.64	4.63
	1214	Rosen, Michael	10	20.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.83	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	5.00	4.50	4.42
	1214	Zendeli, Bashkim	27	59.3%	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.31	4.19	3.75	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.31	4.25	4.31	4.13	4.27
	1224	Macany, Montaha	12	66.7%	3.25	3.88	3.63	3.13	3.63	3.25	3.46	4.63	3.00	3.38	3.25	3.50	3.25	3.50
	1224	Manna, Wafa	20	45.0%	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.22	3.89	3.89	4.09	4.00	3.44	4.56	4.56	4.67	3.89	4.19
	1414	Bazzi, Yehia	23	56.5%	4.46	4.38	4.46	4.38	4.38	4.31	4.40	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.54	4.58
	1414	Bukaita, Wisam	48	85.4%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.73	4.51	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.71	4.78	4.71	4.76	4.83	4.75
	1414	Dabkowski, Michael	27	51.9%	4.07	3.57	4.00	4.46	4.43	4.00	4.07	4.86	4.21	4.46	4.14	4.29	3.86	4.30
	1414	Yu, Na	26	61.5%	4.13	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.19	4.24	4.31	4.13	4.25	4.31	4.13	4.19	4.22
	1414	Zhu, Guang-Chong	16	100.0%	4.44	4.06	4.38	4.06	4.31	4.31	4.26	4.88	4.13	4.19	3.88	4.75	4.25	4.34
	1424	Arlinghaus, Frank	26	38.5%	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.30	4.37	4.80	3.80	4.40	4.40	3.40	4.10	4.15
	1424	Bazzi, Yehia	19	57.9%	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.09	4.20	3.91	4.08	4.27	4.36	4.00	4.18	4.09	4.09	4.17
	1424	Carter, Sharon	15	73.3%	4.36	4.27	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.26	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.53
	1424	Turfe, Atallah	14	21.4%	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.33	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.00	3.61
	1424	Vaynberg, Yelena	22	63.6%	3.93	4.07	4.14	4.21	4.00	4.00	4.06	4.07	3.57	3.79	4.07	3.93	3.64	3.85
	1514	Azar, Ghassan	23	39.1%	4.00	4.22	4.33	3.78	4.11	4.11	4.09	4.89	3.67	4.11	4.11	4.56	4.13	4.23
	1524	Alyass, Kussiy	5	40.0%	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.50	4.25
	1623	Zurawski, Erik	3	100.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	1643	Mills, Hans	36	61.1%	4.55	4.18	4.41	4.36	4.05	4.41	4.33	4.59	4.45	4.73	4.41	4.55	4.59	4.55
	2193	Evans, Bryce	4	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.00	4.50	4.25	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.58
	2313	Husseini, Ghassan	25	48.0%	3.67	3.83	3.92	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.78	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.58	3.83	3.75	3.78
	2414	Alyass, Kussiy	27	55.6%	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.40	4.00	3.80	4.10	4.07	3.27	3.73	3.87	3.53	3.27	3.62
	2414	Mersch, Michael	29	62.1%	4.17	4.12	4.12	3.94	4.17	4.17	4.11	4.56	3.94	4.06	4.06	3.94	4.06	4.10
	2414	Turfe, Atallah	14	35.7%	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.17	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.33
	2414	Yu, Na	23	52.2%	4.58	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.58	4.58	4.63	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.58
	2414	Zhu, Guang-Chong	22	86.4%	4.26	4.26	4.26	4.11	4.47	4.32	4.28	4.74	4.21	4.16	4.32	4.58	4.42	4.40
	2423	Arlinghaus, Frank	29	65.5%	4.47	4.37	4.53	4.26	4.42	4.42	4.41	4.74	4.53	4.58	4.32	4.53	4.53	4.54
	2423	Nelson, Patrick	12	66.7%	4.38	4.50	4.38	3.88	3.75	4.00	4.15	4.75	4.13	4.00	4.00	3.88	4.25	4.17
	2423	Zhu, Guang-Chong	16	68.8%	4.70	4.50	4.70	4.60	4.50	4.30	4.55	4.82	4.73	4.64	4.73	5.00	4.73	4.77
	2514	Wang, Yin	30	53.3%	4.25	3.69	4.38	4.13	4.00	4.06	4.08	4.69	3.88	4.00	4.38	4.06	4.13	4.19
	2523	Cartwright, Christopher	16	68.8%	4.64	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.36	4.55	4.59	4.55	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.55	4.71
	2523	Zendeli, Bashkim	8	100.0%	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.23	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.21
	2534	Fawcett, David	10	80.0%	3.75	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.00	3.88	4.02	4.38	4.13	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.35
	3403	Carter, Sharon	23	47.8%	4.18	3.73	4.36	3.91	3.64	3.91	3.95	4.45	4.00	4.45	4.27	4.64	4.36	4.36
	3403	Mustafa, Margaret	15	80.0%	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.50
	3403	Nelson, Patrick	28	78.6%	4.05	3.91	4.05	3.91	3.95	3.95	3.97	4.36	4.00	4.14	4.18	4.33	4.05	4.18
	3403	Zalzali, Michael	25	48.0%	3.75	4.08	3.83	3.92	3.67	3.73	3.83	4.25	3.83	3.92	4.00	4.17	3.75	3.99
	3413	Dabkowski, Michael	17	52.9%	4.33	3.89	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.33	4.26	4.56	4.00	4.44	4.33	4.56	4.33	4.37
	3543	Miller, John	13	46.2%	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.72	4.33	3.33	3.67	3.83	4.17	3.83	3.86
	3563	Ashton, Marshall	18	66.7%	3.92	4.00	3.92	3.75	4.17	4.09	3.97	4.50	4.00	4.58	3.83	4.50	4.17	4.26
	3603	Al Hamando, Mazin	8	62.5%	4.60	4.40	3.60	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.30	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.47
	3633	Farrehi, Khashayar	10	40.0%	4.25	4.00	4.75	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.21
	3663	Farrehi, Khashayar	10	60.0%	3.83	3.50	4.17	4.67	4.33	3.83	4.06	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.44

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	3673	Haddad, Kamel	6	16.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	3683	Brown, David	8	75.0%	4.17	4.00	3.67	4.17	4.00	3.83	3.97	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.36
	3863	Cartwright, Christopher	25	40.0%	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.44	3.89	4.11	4.15	3.80	3.70	4.00	3.90	3.90	3.90	3.87
	3863	Mustafa, Margaret	23	52.2%	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.43	4.67	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.54
	4613	Brown, David	9	44.4%	4.00	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.79	4.50	4.00	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.00	4.13
	4633	Ostrowski, David	7	57.1%	3.75	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.50	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.25	4.00
	4643	Faust, Eric	8	62.5%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.07	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20
	4653	Cally, John	11	63.6%	3.57	2.71	3.29	3.57	2.57	2.86	3.10	3.17	3.33	3.14	3.71	2.57	3.00	3.12
	4663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	13	38.5%	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.03	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.03
	4813	Cartwright, Christopher	4	100.0%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.58
	4833	Shamir, Lior	13	69.2%	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.44	4.56	4.67	4.57	4.88	4.78	5.00	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.87
	4993	Dillard, Terrance	3	100.0%	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.22	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.33	3.33	4.00	3.78
	5403	Chung, Chan-Jin	3	100.0%	4.00	4.33	4.67	3.33	4.33	4.67	4.22	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
	5703	El-Bathy, Mohammed	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25
	5993	Dillard, Terrance	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	6123	Givental, Gary	9	100.0%	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.57
	6323	Al Hamando, Mazin	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	7013	Shamir, Lior	14	50.0%	4.14	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.31
	7033	Shamir, Lior	6	66.7%	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.79
	5243	Cally, John	2	50.0%	3.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	2.50
	2124	Lowry, Pamela	25	76.0%	3.26	3.63	3.79	3.21	3.11	3.00	3.33	3.74	3.26	3.74	3.11	3.11	3.05	3.33
	2124	Zalzali, Michael	23	56.5%	4.00	3.85	3.92	3.46	3.77	3.85	3.81	4.08	4.00	3.69	3.85	3.85	3.85	3.88
	4983	Nelson, Patrick	3	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.89	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
4623	Sweet, Benjamin	2	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
5723	Wang, Yin	4	50.0%	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.12	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	
MET	6243	Lowry, Pamela	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33
MGT	2113	Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia	18	50.0%	4.00	3.78	3.67	4.22	3.78	3.78	3.87	3.89	4.11	4.00	4.22	4.11	3.89	4.04
	2113	Yeaster, Eric	13	46.2%	4.17	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.67	3.83	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.17	4.33
	2203	Stavros, Jacqueline	17	58.8%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.97	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90
	3013	Evans, Karen	12	83.3%	4.20	4.00	4.30	4.10	4.00	4.20	4.13	4.50	4.50	4.70	4.10	4.60	4.30	4.45
	3033	Browne, Warren	15	80.0%	4.42	4.58	4.83	4.33	4.58	4.67	4.57	4.83	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.64
	3103	Paryani, Kioumars	22	100.0%	4.32	4.32	4.23	4.23	4.18	4.27	4.26	4.59	4.00	3.95	3.95	4.27	4.14	4.15
	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	20	85.0%	4.00	3.71	3.71	3.88	3.88	3.82	3.83	4.18	3.82	4.12	4.00	4.18	3.88	4.03
	4213	Omrani, Massood	7	85.7%	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.97	
	8083	Bush, Richard	8	75.0%	4.83	4.33	4.33	4.83	4.00	4.00	4.39	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.75
	4023	Taj, Shahram	15	100.0%	4.67	4.53	4.40	4.53	4.40	4.53	4.51	4.53	4.40	4.47	4.53	4.53	4.67	
MKT	2013	Deska, Thomas	23	52.2%	4.75	4.67	4.42	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.56	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.58	4.75	4.75	4.74
	3063	Kiani, Isar	19	100.0%	4.42	4.47	4.53	4.37	4.63	4.37	4.46	4.42	4.42	4.53	4.47	4.58	4.47	4.48
MSE	5183	Mynderse, James	16	37.5%	4.83	4.67	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
	6293	Verma, Mukul	14	42.9%	4.33	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
PHY	1154	Akbarian, Fathali	21	42.9%	4.56	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.11	4.56	4.46	4.56	4.33	4.56	4.00	4.44	4.56	4.41
	1154	Bozin, Keith	19	57.9%	3.36	3.73	3.36	3.36	4.00	3.45	3.55	4.09	3.18	3.55	3.27	3.91	3.45	3.58
	1213	Schneider, Scott	18	83.3%	4.33	4.53	4.60	4.47	4.33	4.53	4.47	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.87	4.80	4.72
	1221	Schneider, Scott	9	88.9%	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.40	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.69
	2213	Rands, Marilyn	16	62.5%	4.00	3.80	4.30	4.30	4.10	3.80	4.05	4.30	4.00	4.30	4.20	4.20	3.90	4.15
	2213	Schneider, Scott	23	69.6%	4.69	4.56	4.69	4.75	4.69	4.69	4.68	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	2221	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	7	100.0%	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.50	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.69
	2221	Greuer, Royce	2	50.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
	2221	Karian, Harutun	2	50.0%	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.33
	2221	Moschelli, George	7	57.1%	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.29	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.75	4.00	3.92
	2221	Rands, Marilyn	12	66.7%	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.77	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.52

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Participation		The Course						The Instructor								
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average	
PHY	2221	Schneider, Scott	6	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	
	2221	Zhou, Changgong	3	66.7%	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.25	
	2223	Gonderinger, Matthew	19	63.2%	3.25	3.50	3.42	3.50	3.17	2.83	3.28	4.08	3.25	3.92	3.58	3.58	3.17	3.60	
	2223	Pokharel, Rajendra	5	80.0%	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.88	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92
	2223	Woodworth, James	9	77.8%	3.14	3.71	3.71	3.00	3.43	3.43	3.40	2.86	3.29	3.29	3.57	3.00	2.86	3.14	
	2231	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	17	82.4%	4.38	4.46	4.46	4.54	4.46	4.54	4.47	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.36	4.36	4.21	4.25	
	2231	Gonderinger, Matthew	17	58.8%	4.00	3.90	3.40	3.90	3.90	3.70	3.80	4.20	4.10	4.20	4.00	3.90	4.10	4.08	
	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	18	33.3%	4.17	4.00	3.83	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.03	4.50	3.67	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.04	
	2413	Moschelli, George	33	51.5%	4.29	4.35	4.41	4.35	4.35	4.06	4.30	4.76	4.53	4.59	4.41	4.76	4.35	4.57	
	2413	Tobos, Valentina	56	67.9%	4.58	4.54	4.66	4.50	4.32	4.37	4.50	4.79	4.50	4.68	4.53	4.55	4.61	4.61	
	2413	Zhou, Changgong	17	70.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.47	4.83	4.08	4.58	4.25	4.42	4.17	4.39	
	2421	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	11	81.8%	3.88	4.25	4.25	3.88	3.63	3.56	3.81	3.78	3.22	2.89	3.44	3.33	3.11	3.30	
	2421	Gonderinger, Matthew	16	43.8%	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.10	4.29	4.14	4.14	4.14	3.71	4.29	4.12	
	2421	Grewer, Royce	18	66.7%	4.50	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.25	4.67	4.56	4.75	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.75	4.58	4.64	
	2421	Karian, Harutun	13	84.6%	4.00	4.18	4.09	4.18	3.82	3.73	4.00	3.09	3.27	3.73	3.73	3.55	3.36	3.45	
	2421	Moschelli, George	12	75.0%	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.19	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.54	
	2421	Rands, Marilyn	26	57.7%	4.53	4.60	4.47	4.67	4.20	4.33	4.47	4.33	4.53	4.64	4.67	4.27	4.53	4.50	
	2421	Schneider, Scott	13	84.6%	4.64	4.70	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.65	4.82	4.55	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.91	4.79	
	2421	Zhou, Changgong	17	52.9%	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.41	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.37	
	2423	Pokharel, Rajendra	42	71.4%	4.00	3.90	4.07	4.13	4.07	3.77	3.99	4.07	3.37	4.00	3.73	4.10	3.70	3.83	
	2423	Zhou, Changgong	15	73.3%	4.09	3.82	4.09	3.91	4.00	4.00	3.98	4.27	3.82	4.00	3.82	4.18	3.82	3.98	
	2431	Pokharel, Rajendra	33	78.8%	4.19	4.08	4.08	4.15	4.00	4.04	4.09	4.23	3.81	4.15	4.08	4.19	3.69	4.03	
	2431	Zhou, Changgong	18	77.8%	3.93	3.93	3.79	3.57	3.71	3.71	3.77	4.43	3.79	3.93	3.93	4.14	4.07	4.05	
PSY	1213	Delogu, Franco	21	71.4%	3.60	4.07	3.73	3.87	3.80	3.80	3.81	4.60	3.87	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.24	
	1213	Molloy, Sara	13	38.5%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.73	
	1213	Watson, Donna	18	38.9%	3.86	4.14	3.57	4.14	4.29	3.71	3.95	4.57	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.07	
	2113	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	11	81.8%	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.24	4.44	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.33	4.33	
	2613	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	11	54.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	3413	Delogu, Franco	13	61.5%	3.50	4.25	3.88	3.63	3.88	4.00	3.85	4.50	4.13	4.63	3.88	4.63	4.38	4.35	
	3623	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.67	4.60	4.71	4.53	4.73	4.60	4.64	
	4213	Munevar, Gonzalo	14	57.1%	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.35	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.48	
	3313	Molloy, Sara	17	47.1%	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.00	4.38	4.33	4.25	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.52	
	4912	Delogu, Franco	2	50.0%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	3.00	2.50	
RES	7043	Cole, Matthew	9	77.8%	4.00	4.57	4.43	4.14	3.71	4.29	4.19	4.86	4.57	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.71	4.86	
SSC	2303	Mulder, Daniel	31	41.9%	4.31	4.54	4.54	4.69	4.31	4.46	4.47	4.62	4.31	4.31	4.69	4.85	4.54	4.55	
	2303	Sase, John	26	57.7%	3.47	3.80	3.20	3.47	3.27	3.29	3.41	4.53	3.53	4.00	3.60	4.33	3.47	3.90	
	2413	Mansour, Malinda	20	65.0%	4.46	4.15	4.23	4.08	4.23	4.08	4.21	4.77	4.46	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.71	
	2413	Powell, Jeffrey	20	70.0%	4.21	4.36	4.21	4.14	3.71	4.07	4.12	4.50	4.36	4.21	4.21	4.57	4.36	4.37	
	2413	Shargel, Daniel	38	52.6%	3.79	3.79	4.00	3.84	3.79	3.74	3.82	4.25	3.75	4.00	3.90	4.15	4.00	4.01	
	2413	Sledge, James	20	45.0%	4.67	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.56	4.78	4.80	5.00	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.93	
	2413	Vogt, Philip	30	56.7%	4.35	4.35	4.41	4.00	4.24	3.76	4.19	4.71	4.35	4.41	4.18	4.18	4.06	4.32	
	2413	Wirth, Daniel	39	56.4%	4.50	4.59	4.67	4.50	4.45	4.45	4.51	4.59	4.59	4.55	4.32	4.64	4.50	4.53	
	2423	Mansour, Malinda	12	50.0%	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.31	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.94	
	2423	McGillvray, Christy	14	57.1%	4.38	4.25	4.50	3.75	4.13	4.25	4.21	4.88	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.88	4.75	4.67	
	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	38	71.1%	4.04	4.15	4.15	4.11	4.11	4.00	4.09	4.56	4.22	4.26	4.30	4.44	4.37	4.36	
	2423	Sledge, James	20	80.0%	4.81	4.75	4.73	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.71	4.94	4.88	4.81	4.81	4.94	4.88	4.88	
	2423	Wilhelm, Stephanie	14	64.3%	3.89	4.11	4.22	4.25	4.00	4.11	4.07	4.67	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.37	
	2423	Wirth, Daniel	16	62.5%	4.70	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.80	4.70	4.78	4.50	4.70	4.40	4.62	
	3723	Shargel, Daniel	21	76.2%	3.56	4.13	4.00	3.81	3.63	3.53	3.76	4.69	4.13	4.36	4.00	4.44	4.06	4.26	
	4133	Barrett, Jason	21	71.4%	4.33	4.53	4.60	4.53	4.00	4.53	4.42	4.60	4.47	4.40	4.40	4.67	4.53	4.51	
	4743	Vogt, Philip	4	50.0%	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.95	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	
	6013	Shamir, Mirit	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	
	3583	Sase, John	12	58.3%	3.71	3.71	3.71	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.64	4.43	4.14	4.14	4.50	4.43	4.14	4.26	

Fall 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
TAS	1013	Nelson, Robert	13	53.8%	4.14	4.71	4.43	4.14	4.29	4.71	4.40	4.86	4.57	4.86	4.29	4.71	4.57	4.64
	2033	Blau, Ben	15	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.33	3.33	4.00	4.33	4.17	5.00	4.17	4.67	3.83	4.67	4.83	4.53
	3013	Blau, Ben	6	66.7%	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.54	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.71
	4133	Giese, Dieter	5	100.0%	3.60	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.37	4.80	4.40	5.00	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.73
	3034	Giese, Dieter	5	80.0%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	5.00	4.71	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.83
TCE	2073	Lamus, Christopher	12	50.0%	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.08	4.50	4.17	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.19
	2143	Hammond, Jason	12	66.7%	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.44	5.00	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.90
	4093	Jones-Williams, Tawanesha	8	87.5%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.69	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
	4113	Hammond, Jason	13	84.6%	4.45	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.36	4.45	4.52	4.82	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.64
	4213	Jones-Williams, Tawanesha	10	90.0%	4.44	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.11	4.33	4.35	4.78	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
TEE	2033	Power, Joseph	7	57.1%	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.71	4.75	3.75	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.17
	2093	Cook, Kenneth	5	100.0%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.80	4.60	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.40	5.00	4.80	4.73
	3103	Cook, Kenneth	12	66.7%	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.33	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.46
	4224	Power, Joseph	17	82.4%	3.57	3.71	3.57	3.71	3.50	3.79	3.64	4.50	3.64	3.79	4.07	4.29	3.71	4.00