

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ACC	3023	Petro, Louis	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.39	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.78
ACC	5003	Firoozi, Maryam	12	75.0%	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.54	5.00	5.00	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.93
ACC	6003	Kirkwood, Virginia	26	46.2%	4.58	4.42	4.67	4.33	4.25	4.33	4.43	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.38
ARC	1012	Rudy, Gretchen	18	72.2%	4.46	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.23	4.38	4.44	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.69	4.67
ARC	1213	Lichowski, Peter	5	40.0%	5.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.75
ARC	1213	Maricak, Gretchen	8	87.5%	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.43	4.86	4.71	4.74	4.86	4.29	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.62
ARC	1223	Bales, Fernando	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.25	3.75	4.50	4.00	4.08	3.75	4.00	3.50	3.25	4.00	4.00	3.75
ARC	1223	Crutcher, Kenneth	8	87.5%	3.86	4.00	4.29	4.00	3.86	4.14	4.02	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.14	4.12
ARC	1223	Dineen, Mark	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.52	4.71	4.71	4.29	4.57	4.86	4.57	4.62
ARC	1223	Maricak, Gretchen	14	71.4%	4.40	4.70	4.70	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.55	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.80	4.80	4.67
ARC	1223	Zmuda, Frank	15	60.0%	4.89	4.78	5.00	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.93	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	2116	Bales, Fernando	9	33.3%	3.00	3.00	4.33	3.33	4.00	3.33	3.50	3.67	3.33	4.67	3.67	4.33	3.33	3.83
ARC	2116	Haam, Songho	9	33.3%	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.61	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	3.83
ARC	2126	Blendowski, Aaron	12	75.0%	3.67	3.67	3.89	3.78	3.67	3.78	3.74	4.44	4.00	3.89	4.00	4.56	4.11	4.17
ARC	2126	Burdulis, Benediktas	15	53.3%	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.40	4.38	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.25
ARC	2126	Elkin, Daniel	12	66.7%	3.88	3.88	3.38	3.63	3.38	3.88	3.67	3.75	3.13	2.88	3.38	3.25	2.88	3.21
ARC	2126	Feng, Jin	13	69.2%	3.56	3.56	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.78	3.65	3.56	3.78	3.67	3.44	3.67	3.56	3.61
ARC	2126	Gagi, Pandush	12	75.0%	3.75	4.11	4.00	3.56	3.67	3.67	3.78	4.00	3.78	3.44	3.89	3.67	3.67	3.74
ARC	2126	Orlowski, Edward	11	81.8%	4.44	3.89	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.44	4.19	4.67	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.56
ARC	2126	Schmidt, Ingrid	12	75.0%	3.89	3.44	3.89	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.76	3.00	3.22	3.22	2.89	3.56	3.22	3.19
ARC	2126	Schwartz, Martin	15	53.3%	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.42	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.54
ARC	2126	Stevens, James	13	69.2%	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.33
ARC	2126	Swanson, Karen	11	81.8%	3.67	3.63	3.56	3.44	4.00	3.89	3.70	4.44	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.22	3.89	4.04
ARC	2313	Ward, Eric	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	2323	Abernethy, James	11	36.4%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.08
ARC	2323	Herzog, Ronald	12	91.7%	4.64	4.73	4.55	4.36	4.55	4.55	4.56	4.82	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.82	4.73	4.68
ARC	2323	Ragheb, Ashraf	20	90.0%	4.39	4.17	4.44	4.33	4.28	4.44	4.34	4.61	4.39	4.56	4.44	4.72	4.65	4.55
ARC	2323	Tiseo, Benedetto	6	83.3%	4.00	4.00	4.20	3.20	3.60	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.60	3.80	3.00	3.60	3.60	3.60
ARC	2513	Faoro, Daniel	12	91.7%	3.18	3.45	3.64	3.73	3.18	3.36	3.42	4.45	3.00	3.55	3.55	3.18	3.45	3.53
ARC	2513	Shih, Kelchen	11	100.0%	4.55	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.18	4.36	4.32	4.55	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.55	4.36	4.44
ARC	2813	AbuSeir, Zain	10	50.0%	2.80	3.40	3.40	2.40	3.20	2.60	2.97	3.40	2.40	4.20	3.00	4.00	2.80	3.28
ARC	2813	Dean, Kristen	12	66.7%	4.13	4.00	4.38	3.88	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.00	4.25	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.23
ARC	2843	Grant, Janice	15	73.3%	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.55	4.36	4.45	4.61	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.76
ARC	3012	Kim, Joongsub	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
ARC	3013	Coy, Steven	2	50.0%	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67
ARC	3013	Evans, Bryce	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	3013	Feng, Jin	5	60.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.06
ARC	3013	Wang, Paul	5	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.92	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.08
ARC	3116	Craig, Jack	10	90.0%	3.56	3.88	4.22	3.00	4.22	4.11	3.83	4.56	3.78	4.56	3.50	4.78	4.33	4.27
ARC	3116	O'Geen, Charles	10	90.0%	3.11	3.78	4.00	2.75	3.33	3.89	3.49	4.13	3.22	2.78	3.22	4.11	3.89	3.52
ARC	3126	Adhya, Anirban	16	81.3%	3.85	3.85	3.69	3.23	3.54	3.54	3.62	4.46	3.62	4.08	3.62	3.92	3.77	3.91
ARC	3126	Bejo, Irsida	7	57.1%	3.50	4.25	4.25	3.00	3.75	4.00	3.79	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.75	4.42
ARC	3126	Chelaidite, Alina	16	81.3%	3.31	3.23	3.08	2.92	3.46	2.92	3.15	3.69	3.08	3.46	3.08	3.77	3.31	3.40
ARC	3126	Frederiksen, Jhana	7	57.1%	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.25	4.25	4.00	3.96	4.50	4.25	4.50	3.50	4.50	4.50	4.29
ARC	3126	Nunez, Ralph	15	86.7%	3.62	4.15	3.54	3.23	3.54	3.54	3.60	4.31	3.77	4.15	3.69	4.31	3.92	4.03
ARC	3126	Plowright, Philip	15	80.0%	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.38	5.00	4.58	4.17	4.08	4.50	4.25	4.43
ARC	3126	Roberts, Laura	15	80.0%	4.33	4.33	4.08	4.08	4.17	4.50	4.25	4.33	4.17	4.25	3.83	4.33	4.08	4.17
ARC	3126	Wong, Margaret	15	86.7%	3.62	4.23	3.69	3.54	3.31	3.46	3.64	4.46	4.08	4.31	3.62	4.38	3.85	4.12
ARC	3233	Stevens, James	4	75.0%	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67
ARC	3423	Bryan, Keith	6	50.0%	2.33	3.33	2.33	3.67	2.00	2.33	2.67	2.33	2.00	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.00	2.89

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor						Instructor Average	
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally and respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.		Overall, the instructor was effective.
ARC	3423	Means, Janice	8	87.5%	3.71	3.57	3.57	3.14	3.14	2.83	3.33	3.86	3.57	3.43	3.71	3.71	3.50	3.62
ARC	3513	Habba, Faris	9	66.7%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.89
ARC	3513	Shih, Kelchen	13	100.0%	3.46	3.38	3.23	3.31	3.00	3.15	3.26	4.08	3.08	3.62	3.46	3.85	3.00	3.51
ARC	3613	Alkhabbaz, Mohammed	19	68.4%	2.38	3.08	2.38	2.46	2.69	2.38	2.57	3.15	1.92	2.31	2.31	2.54	1.92	2.36
ARC	3623	Hennebury, Deirdre	69	71.0%	4.45	4.38	4.35	4.41	4.33	4.29	4.36	4.65	4.51	4.49	4.31	4.65	4.49	4.52
ARC	3823	Chidester, Jacob	10	70.0%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.83
ARC	3823	Lindsay, Erika	13	69.2%	3.33	3.13	3.11	2.89	2.56	2.89	2.96	3.44	3.11	3.22	2.78	3.67	2.67	3.15
ARC	3823	Oltrogge, Brian	16	68.8%	4.45	4.27	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.45	4.48	4.82	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.73	4.76
ARC	3823	Roberson, Pierre	16	50.0%	4.13	4.14	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.00	4.14	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.60
ARC	3843	Hall, Alan	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	3993	Massie, William	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.83	5.00	5.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.33
ARC	3993	O'Geen, Charles	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	4.50
ARC	4114	Abela, John	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	4114	Nashlen, Thomas	8	87.5%	4.14	4.14	4.29	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.14	4.14	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.14
ARC	4114	Stevens, Tod	5	60.0%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.83
ARC	4116	Orlowski, Edward	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.17	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.56
ARC	4116	Styczynski, Michael	3	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67
ARC	4126	Abela, John	7	100.0%	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.17	4.29	4.17	4.38	4.33	4.67	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.39
ARC	4126	Frederiksen, Jhana	13	53.8%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.57	4.43	4.86	4.74	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.83
ARC	4126	Mosley, Michael	6	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
ARC	4126	Nashlen, Thomas	8	62.5%	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.71
ARC	4126	Reeves, Randall	8	62.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	4126	Schneemann, Steven	13	53.8%	4.57	4.43	4.14	4.00	4.43	4.29	4.31	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.57
ARC	4126	Stevens, Tod	6	66.7%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
ARC	4126	Swartz, Natalie	7	100.0%	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.02	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.14
ARC	4183	Swift, Amy	42	71.4%	3.57	3.77	3.90	3.47	3.62	3.80	3.69	4.30	3.50	3.43	3.63	4.23	3.77	3.81
ARC	4224	Styczynski, Michael	9	88.9%	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.44	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.58
ARC	4234	Lammers, Barbara	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	4284	Wang, Paul	6	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.08
ARC	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	30	60.0%	3.89	3.94	3.83	4.00	3.39	3.94	3.82	4.11	3.39	3.82	3.82	3.17	3.44	3.60
ARC	4443	Paterson, David	30	63.3%	4.22	4.22	4.22	4.06	4.17	4.00	4.15	4.56	4.17	4.39	4.17	4.56	4.33	4.36
ARC	4543	Faoro, Daniel	14	64.3%	3.67	3.78	4.11	4.11	4.00	3.89	3.93	3.89	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.89	3.74
ARC	4543	Makkawy, Abdel	19	73.7%	2.79	2.92	3.21	3.43	3.00	3.07	3.07	4.21	2.79	3.36	3.64	3.86	3.29	3.52
ARC	4543	Sitaram, Pattabhi	11	45.5%	2.80	3.00	2.80	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.77	4.00	2.60	2.40	2.60	2.80	1.80	2.70
ARC	4813	Grant, Janice	13	61.5%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ARC	4843	Hall, Alan	7	100.0%	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.12	4.71	4.14	3.86	4.43	4.50	4.29	4.30
ARC	4843	Marji, Jessica	16	56.3%	4.00	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.22	4.00	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.22	4.11	4.11	4.15
ARC	5013	Ferguson, Justin	15	100.0%	4.80	4.57	4.73	4.67	4.73	4.60	4.68	4.87	4.80	4.80	4.93	4.73	4.87	4.82
ARC	5013	Siegel, Jennifer	15	40.0%	3.83	4.00	4.00	3.83	4.17	3.83	3.94	4.40	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.17
ARC	5126	Abela, John	2	100.0%	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.50	5.00	4.00	3.90	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.58
ARC	5212	Stevens, James	4	75.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.61	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	5423	Allen, William	17	70.6%	4.08	4.42	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.17	4.24	4.58	4.58	4.09	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.39
ARC	5423	Bodurow, Constance	8	37.5%	5.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.78	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
ARC	5423	Nunez, Ralph	17	70.6%	4.64	4.36	4.55	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.44	4.36	4.36	4.36	4.30	4.55	4.36	4.39
ARC	5543	Sitaram, Pattabhi	3	66.7%	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	3.50	3.67	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.92	3.92
ARC	5592	Ragheb, Ashraf	5	100.0%	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.60	5.00	5.00	4.87
ARC	5622	Keslacy, Elizabeth	10	60.0%	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.25
ARC	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	15	53.3%	4.88	4.63	5.00	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.85
ARC	5643	Plowright, Philip	9	88.9%	4.63	4.50	4.63	5.00	5.00	4.63	4.73	4.88	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.63
ARC	5724	Kim, Joongsub	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	5752	Linn, Robert	7	85.7%	4.33	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.64	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.92
ARC	5762	Goodwin, Malik	4	75.0%	4.00	4.00	4.67	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.11	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.78

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ARC	5782	Adhya, Anirban	8	75.0%	4.17	4.67	4.50	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.28	5.00	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.83	4.83	4.64
ARC	5824	Abela, John	7	71.4%	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.27	4.60	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.00	4.23
ARC	5824	Bodurow, Constance	7	14.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	5824	Colon, Jason	8	75.0%	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.20	4.50	4.33	4.30	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.40	4.67	4.50	4.54
ARC	5824	Dineen, Mark	7	71.4%	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.27	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.33
ARC	5824	Farlow, Mark	7	71.4%	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.73	5.00	4.80	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.93
ARC	5824	Jones, Aaron	7	85.7%	3.50	4.33	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.33	3.97	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.17	4.33	4.17	3.94
ARC	5824	Kamath, Ayodh	7	42.9%	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.44	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.00
ARC	5824	Osler, Peter	7	28.6%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.58	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.83
ARC	5824	Shall, Scott	7	42.9%	3.33	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.39	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.67	3.67
ARC	5824	Swift, Amy	7	71.4%	4.00	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.13	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.30
ARC	5824	Torres, Juan	8	37.5%	3.33	4.00	4.67	3.33	4.67	4.67	4.11	4.67	3.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.00	4.11
ARC	5824	Wang, Paul	9	44.4%	2.75	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.50	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.25	4.50	4.00	3.92
ARC	5912	Kim, Joongsub	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.58	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83
ARC	5913	Bohde, Matthew	10	80.0%	3.63	3.00	3.63	3.75	3.38	3.25	3.44	4.13	3.63	4.25	4.25	3.38	3.88	3.92
ARC	5913	Harmala, John	11	18.2%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	4.92
ARC	5913	Ward, Eric	12	66.7%	4.25	4.38	4.13	4.13	4.00	3.75	4.10	4.88	4.38	4.88	4.38	4.63	4.38	4.58
ARC	5942	Herzog, Ronald	15	93.3%	4.64	4.71	4.50	4.43	4.50	4.57	4.56	4.79	4.64	4.57	4.50	4.43	4.57	4.58
ARC	5952	Harmala, John	16	37.5%	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.11	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.11
ARC	6003	Chidester, Jacob	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	6003	Coy, Steven	8	75.0%	4.33	4.67	4.17	4.00	4.83	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.33	5.00	4.83	4.56
ARC	6003	Grant, Janice	10	50.0%	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	6003	Hall, Alan	4	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.25	4.75	3.75	4.00	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
ARC	6003	Hanna, Vance	7	100.0%	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.98	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	4.90
ARC	6003	Massie, William	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	6003	O'Geen, Charles	3	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARC	6833	Jones, Aaron	6	50.0%	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.94	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.44
ARC	6883	Feng, Jin	6	50.0%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	4.00	3.67	3.50	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.75
ARC	6883	Means, Janice	9	88.9%	2.33	2.83	3.17	2.83	2.50	2.50	2.69	3.00	2.50	2.67	3.17	3.50	2.50	2.89
ARC	6883	Nowicki, Michael	6	100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
ARC	6884	Bebawy, Mena	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
ARC	6884	Nelson, Ralph	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
ARC	6903	DiLaura, Lenard	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ARI	3123	DiLaura, Lenard	13	53.8%	4.29	4.29	4.57	4.14	4.14	4.43	4.31	4.71	4.57	3.71	4.14	4.43	4.57	4.36
ARI	3124	Swanson, Karen	8	62.5%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.20	3.40	4.00	3.70	4.40	4.00	3.60	3.20	4.20	4.20	3.93
ARI	4123	Kim, Joongsub	12	83.3%	4.60	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.40	4.47	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.72
ARI	4134	Phillips, Keith	5	80.0%	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.81	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.92
ARI	4134	Swanson, Karen	4	50.0%	3.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.58	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42
ARI	4922	DiLaura, Lenard	5	60.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ARI	5083	Kim, Joongsub	4	100.0%	4.00	3.50	3.75	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.71	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.92
ARI	5123	Swanson, Karen	2	100.0%	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.33	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.50
ARI	5124	Phillips, Keith	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
ARI	5143	Feng, Jin	15	73.3%	4.10	4.60	4.40	4.10	4.40	4.30	4.32	4.55	4.36	4.45	4.40	4.45	4.45	4.43
ARI	5824	Feng, Jin	10	70.0%	4.14	4.14	4.14	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.10	4.43	3.86	4.14	3.71	4.43	4.43	4.17
ART	1113	Welch, Elizabeth	6	100.0%	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.92
ART	1133	Craig, Jack	16	50.0%	4.25	4.38	4.63	3.63	4.63	4.63	4.35	4.75	4.50	4.50	3.75	4.63	4.50	4.44
ART	1133	Dilaura, Vicki	14	50.0%	3.86	3.67	4.00	3.00	3.86	4.00	3.74	3.86	3.57	3.57	3.14	3.43	3.57	3.52
ART	1133	Hanna, Vance	10	90.0%	3.89	4.11	4.33	3.44	4.00	3.89	3.94	4.67	3.89	4.00	3.78	4.67	4.00	4.17
ART	1133	Ross, Shannon	9	66.7%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.64	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.75

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						Instructor Average
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	
ART	1133	Rudy, Gretchen	14	57.1%	4.38	4.00	4.38	3.38	4.50	4.25	4.15	4.88	4.00	4.50	3.63	4.63	4.50	4.35
ART	1133	Storm, Dean	12	66.7%	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.71	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.73
ART	2113	Craig, Jack	10	70.0%	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.86	4.74	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.79
ART	2223	Hanna, Vance	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ART	2413	Bush, Mary	15	60.0%	3.22	3.56	3.56	3.11	3.67	3.44	3.43	4.00	3.44	3.56	3.22	3.67	3.33	3.54
ART	2523	Crum, Lilian	9	77.8%	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.14	4.43	4.71	4.55	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.64
ART	2623	Collins, Francesco	7	57.1%	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ART	2813	Crum, Lilian	9	55.6%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.53	4.80	4.60	5.00	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.83
ART	2813	Kayganich, Robert	11	54.5%	2.33	3.00	3.33	2.50	2.67	2.83	2.76	3.50	2.00	3.17	2.00	3.00	2.67	2.72
ART	3033	Haley, Richard	9	66.7%	3.00	3.50	3.17	3.17	3.33	2.50	3.11	3.33	3.17	3.67	3.17	3.67	2.83	3.31
ART	3043	Rost, Steven	14	71.4%	3.70	4.10	4.30	3.80	4.00	4.40	4.05	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.30
ART	3323	Evans, Bryce	2	100.0%	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.17	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80
ART	3343	Haley, Michael	8	62.5%	4.00	4.00	3.80	4.20	3.80	3.80	3.93	3.60	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.20	4.20	4.03
ART	3413	Taylor, George	7	85.7%	3.33	3.83	3.50	2.67	3.83	3.83	3.50	4.17	3.33	3.83	2.67	4.00	3.83	3.64
ART	3513	Taylor, George	9	66.7%	3.17	3.17	3.83	2.33	3.17	3.50	3.19	4.17	3.17	4.00	2.83	4.17	3.50	3.64
ART	3633	Ross, Shannon	11	100.0%	4.73	4.45	4.36	4.55	4.18	4.18	4.41	4.55	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.73	4.64
ART	3643	Moore, Jeanne	13	38.5%	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20
ART	3993	Safiouline, Maxim	5	80.0%	4.00	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.50	4.08	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.71
ART	4113	Rudy, Gretchen	8	100.0%	4.00	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.13	3.63	3.77	4.75	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.88	4.38	4.44
ART	4513	Kleist, William	14	57.1%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.42	4.63	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.88	4.63
ART	4524	Crum, Lilian	7	100.0%	4.00	4.00	4.43	3.29	4.00	4.29	3.99	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.57	4.14	4.29
ART	4524	Rost, Steven	7	85.7%	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.50	3.83	4.50	4.01	3.67	3.17	4.00	3.40	4.33	3.33	3.60
ART	4622	Crum, Lilian	14	78.6%	3.82	3.64	3.45	3.36	3.00	3.27	3.42	4.36	3.91	4.36	4.11	4.55	4.36	4.28
ART	4622	Rost, Steven	14	64.3%	3.56	3.67	3.44	3.33	3.11	3.22	3.39	4.11	3.44	3.89	3.86	4.22	3.78	3.88
ART	4624	Crum, Lilian	3	66.7%	3.00	2.00	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.50	2.75	4.50	4.00	4.50	2.50	4.50	4.00	4.00
ART	4624	Rost, Steven	3	66.7%	2.50	2.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.50	2.42	3.50	2.50	3.00	2.50	2.50	3.00	2.83
ART	5532	DuBois, Brian	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	1924	English, Derek	7	57.1%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.54	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.50
ATD	1924	Falenski, Jason	7	42.9%	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.28
ATD	1924	Gaffka, Douglas	7	42.9%	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.17	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.89
ATD	1924	Mikalauskas, Christopher	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	1924	Nagara, Keith	7	42.9%	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.00	3.89	3.67	3.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.83
ATD	2823	Eash, Matthew	14	64.3%	4.44	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.89	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.85
ATD	2823	Robie, Kyle	14	64.3%	4.33	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.61	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.20
ATD	2826	Cooper, Stuart	14	78.6%	4.73	4.82	4.64	4.45	4.00	4.36	4.50	4.91	4.82	4.82	4.45	4.82	4.45	4.71
ATD	2826	Cowles, Paul	14	71.4%	3.89	4.33	4.22	4.22	3.78	3.60	3.75	4.70	3.50	4.40	3.80	4.60	3.70	4.12
ATD	2826	Crowley, Joseph	14	71.4%	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	4.90	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	2826	English, Derek	14	71.4%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78
ATD	2826	Falenski, Jason	14	71.4%	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.70	4.80	4.70	4.75	4.70	4.60	4.40	4.30	4.60	4.60	4.53
ATD	2933	Lessard, Jesse	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	2933	Vandenbrink, Lloyd	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	3626	Farcas, Cristian	5	40.0%	4.50	5.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.53	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50
ATD	3626	Hanzel, Andrew	8	62.5%	2.20	2.60	3.40	2.60	3.00	2.80	2.77	4.20	2.80	3.80	3.20	4.20	3.80	3.67
ATD	3626	Huacuja, Roberto	13	38.5%	3.40	3.20	3.60	3.00	2.80	3.40	3.23	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.40	5.00	4.60	4.67
ATD	3626	Zhang, Jing	8	37.5%	2.33	2.00	3.00	3.33	3.00	3.00	2.78	4.67	3.67	3.67	2.67	4.33	2.67	3.61
ATD	3626	Zingalie, Anthony	5	20.0%	4.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	3726	Cha, Jeeho	10	50.0%	3.20	3.50	4.00	3.40	4.20	4.20	3.77	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.60	4.40	4.00	4.00
ATD	3726	Cowles, Paul	10	50.0%	3.60	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.60	3.60	3.77	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.37
ATD	3726	Nagara, Keith	10	50.0%	3.40	3.20	3.20	3.00	3.00	3.40	3.20	4.00	4.00	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.90
ATD	4524	Palazzolo, Joseph	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ATD	4526	Caldwell, Cherise	7	28.6%	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.83	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.92

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ATD	4526	Gaffka, Douglas	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.50
ATD	4526	Ghobrial, Rimon	7	42.9%	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44
BIO	1153	Siddiq, Fauzia	15	53.3%	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.25	4.19	4.38	4.13	4.50	3.88	4.13	4.29	4.20
BIO	1213	Lopez-Diaz, Lymari	6	66.7%	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	3.25	4.50	4.38	4.00	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.38
BIO	1223	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	10	80.0%	3.88	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.25	4.00	4.15	4.75	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.88	4.25	4.46
BIO	1231	Siddiq, Fauzia	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.14	4.57	4.38	4.43	4.14	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.50
BIO	2201	Morrisette, Jeffery	13	84.6%	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.55	4.56	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.70
BIO	2203	Morrisette, Jeffery	13	84.6%	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.18	4.27	4.39	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.45	4.58
BIO	4812	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BIO	4813	Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie	8	87.5%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
BME	1002	LeCarpentier, Gerald	21	71.4%	2.67	3.27	3.20	2.80	2.87	3.07	2.98	3.80	2.60	3.13	2.93	3.33	2.93	3.12
BME	1201	Leach, Michelle	25	76.0%	4.21	4.28	4.32	4.05	4.05	4.32	4.20	4.37	4.26	4.37	4.11	3.84	4.21	4.19
BME	2201	Morrisette, Jeffery	19	73.7%	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.64	4.50	4.71	4.58	4.86	4.71	4.93	4.71	4.86	4.79	4.81
BME	2203	Morrisette, Jeffery	19	73.7%	4.50	4.64	4.50	4.64	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.86	4.64	4.93	4.79	4.79	4.93	4.82
BME	3002	Meyer, Eric	11	18.2%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
BME	3101	Nasir, Mansoor	20	30.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.14	4.83	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.83	4.67	4.56
BME	3103	Nasir, Mansoor	21	47.6%	3.90	3.50	4.00	4.10	4.30	4.00	3.97	4.80	3.80	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.40	4.45
BME	3703	Li, Yawen	18	33.3%	4.17	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.22	4.83	4.00	4.33	4.17	4.50	4.33	4.36
BME	4022	Nasir, Mansoor	13	53.8%	3.57	3.86	4.33	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.00	3.71	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.14	4.00	4.05
BME	4113	Nasir, Mansoor	11	54.5%	4.00	4.17	3.67	4.17	4.00	4.17	4.03	4.17	3.83	4.00	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.03
BME	4201	Li, Yawen	11	54.5%	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.64	5.00	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
BME	4203	Li, Yawen	12	50.0%	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.58	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.89
BME	4313	Meyer, Eric	19	47.4%	4.44	4.56	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.00	4.37	4.78	4.44	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.61
BME	5093	LeCarpentier, Gerald	6	33.3%	1.50	3.50	3.50	2.00	3.50	3.00	2.83	5.00	2.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.50
BME	5093	Meyer, Eric	11	36.4%	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.21	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
CHM	1154	Appleby, Lavetta	17	41.2%	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.60	4.71	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.43	4.43	4.57
CHM	1154	Carduner, Keith	20	75.0%	4.07	4.07	4.07	4.13	4.13	4.13	4.10	4.27	4.27	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.27	4.23
CHM	1213	Appleby, Lavetta	19	78.9%	4.13	3.80	3.67	4.00	3.73	3.86	3.87	4.00	3.80	4.07	4.00	3.60	3.73	3.87
CHM	1213	Aviles, Gladys	7	85.7%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.81	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
CHM	1213	Madden, William	20	60.0%	4.17	4.17	4.08	4.17	4.00	4.08	4.11	4.58	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.42	4.25	4.32
CHM	1213	Woodworth, James	33	57.6%	3.47	3.74	3.74	3.74	3.84	3.68	3.70	4.00	3.42	3.84	3.84	3.21	3.42	3.62
CHM	1221	Dean, Walter	19	73.7%	4.14	4.21	4.08	3.93	4.29	3.93	4.09	4.50	3.79	3.71	3.79	4.29	3.93	4.00
CHM	1221	Holubka, Joseph	39	69.2%	4.37	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.15	4.19	4.28	4.19	4.30	4.19	4.26	4.41	4.33	4.28
CHM	1221	Madden, William	19	73.7%	4.50	4.57	4.43	4.36	4.21	4.43	4.42	4.64	4.36	3.86	4.50	4.71	4.36	4.40
CHM	1223	Villeneuve, Nicole	15	60.0%	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.20	4.44	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.11	4.44	4.31
CHM	1232	Villeneuve, Nicole	11	63.6%	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.07	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.50
CHM	2323	Timmons, Shannon	12	75.0%	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.61	4.78	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.69
CHM	2332	Timmons, Shannon	11	81.8%	4.44	4.56	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.78	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.67	4.63
CHM	3423	Madden, William	3	100.0%	3.33	4.00	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.61	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.11
CHM	3431	Appleby, Lavetta	3	100.0%	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.67	3.94	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.44
CHM	4522	Madden, William	2	100.0%	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.00	3.25	4.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.50	3.75
CHM	4541	Villeneuve, Nicole	2	100.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
CHM	4723	Sky, Anthony	5	60.0%	3.33	2.67	3.33	4.00	2.67	3.00	3.17	5.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	4.67	3.00	4.06
COM	94	Flores, Anna-Maria	17	47.1%	4.13	3.88	3.88	3.75	3.75	3.88	3.88	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.88	3.90
COM	94	Kustarz, Michelle	17	76.5%	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.54	4.54	4.62	4.59	4.69	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.77	4.69	4.69
COM	94	Moyer, Daniel	10	70.0%	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.74	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	5.00	4.86	4.93
COM	100	Abbas, Nawal	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
COM	1001	Gaber, Jody	39	51.3%	4.47	4.53	4.37	4.47	4.32	4.42	4.43	4.47	4.58	4.68	4.53	4.53	4.53	4.55

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
COM	1103	Cady, Paul	39	56.4%	4.23	4.14	4.23	4.14	4.36	4.23	4.22	4.64	4.36	4.36	4.00	4.36	4.23	4.33
COM	1103	Heiniger, Abigail	39	48.7%	4.42	4.44	4.47	4.37	4.63	4.53	4.46	4.68	4.58	4.74	4.74	4.74	4.78	4.70
COM	1103	Moyer, Daniel	8	37.5%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.50
COM	1103	Powaser, Lisa	16	50.0%	4.75	4.63	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.73	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.83
COM	2103	Floyd, Stacha	39	59.0%	3.96	4.00	4.04	3.82	3.82	3.81	3.87	4.26	4.13	4.35	3.83	4.13	4.09	4.13
COM	2103	Fry, Jennifer	19	52.6%	3.60	3.80	4.10	3.80	4.10	4.00	3.90	4.20	3.50	3.90	3.80	3.70	4.10	3.87
COM	2103	Glennan, Thomas	38	63.2%	4.42	4.42	4.33	4.38	4.13	4.00	4.28	4.67	4.13	4.46	4.29	4.21	4.17	4.32
COM	2103	Helterhoff, Holly	41	70.7%	4.62	4.21	4.59	4.52	4.45	4.41	4.47	4.66	4.66	4.55	4.38	4.69	4.55	4.58
COM	2103	Mack, April	18	55.6%	4.40	4.20	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.37	4.70	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.58
COM	2103	Martz, Patricia	17	70.6%	3.58	3.42	3.42	3.17	3.33	3.42	3.39	3.83	3.08	3.75	3.08	3.64	3.09	3.40
COM	2113	Stavish, Corinne	20	45.0%	4.44	4.11	4.56	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.44	4.78	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.50
COM	2443	Evans, Karen	16	68.8%	4.45	4.36	4.64	4.27	4.36	4.55	4.44	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.64	4.64	4.59
COM	3113	Evans, Karen	13	61.5%	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.25	4.63	4.63	4.65	4.75	4.63	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.54
COM	4153	Helterhoff, Holly	2	50.0%	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	5.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.00
COM	4991	Finlay, Suzanne	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	5963	Mack, April	10	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
COM	6443	Martz, Patricia	6	16.7%	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.67	5.00	4.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
COM	7103	Helterhoff, Holly	3	66.7%	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.50	3.50	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	3.83
CRW	3113	Lamers, Sara	9	44.4%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.38	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38
EAE	1093	Bryan, Keith	14	71.4%	2.30	3.10	3.30	2.50	2.70	2.60	2.75	3.50	2.20	3.80	2.90	3.60	3.10	3.18
EAE	3014	Nelson, Ralph	11	90.9%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.52	4.30	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.55
EAE	3613	McKale, Dennis	14	85.7%	3.42	3.75	3.92	4.00	3.25	3.58	3.65	4.58	3.75	4.58	3.92	4.00	4.00	4.14
EAE	4024	Faoro, Daniel	11	81.8%	2.67	2.44	3.33	2.78	2.67	2.44	2.72	2.22	2.67	3.11	2.78	1.89	2.11	2.46
ECE	1011	Lobbestael, Adam	7	71.4%	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.43	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67
ECE	1013	Livernois, Michael	7	42.9%	3.67	3.33	3.33	4.33	3.00	3.67	3.56	4.33	3.33	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.67
ECE	1102	Lobbestael, Adam	14	85.7%	4.83	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.63	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.81
ECE	1413	Mata, Luis	12	75.0%	4.67	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.65	4.89	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.72
ECE	1413	Waraksa, Neil	13	76.9%	4.20	4.30	4.20	4.00	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.10	3.80	4.50	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.18
ECE	2103	Henson, Susan	8	62.5%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.37	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.57
ECE	3013	Bebawy, Mena	19	73.7%	4.50	4.43	4.43	4.21	4.36	4.43	4.39	4.93	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.64
ECE	3213	Mata, Luis	17	52.9%	4.11	3.67	3.78	3.89	3.89	4.22	3.93	4.56	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.44	4.33	4.31
ECE	3324	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	5	100.0%	4.40	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.43	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.63
ECE	3424	Lobbestael, Adam	6	100.0%	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.36	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.69
ECE	3523	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	10	100.0%	4.50	4.60	4.30	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.43	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.70	4.60
ECE	3723	Kowalkowski, Keith	15	93.3%	4.29	4.29	3.93	4.29	4.36	4.15	4.19	4.50	4.21	4.21	4.36	4.43	4.14	4.31
ECE	3823	Bandara, Nishantha	13	61.5%	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.33	4.50	4.13	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.33
ECE	4032	Mata, Luis	17	64.7%	4.00	4.33	4.30	3.82	4.27	4.36	4.13	4.18	4.18	4.00	4.09	4.09	4.11	4.11
ECE	4051	Carpenter, Donald	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.75	4.79
ECE	4243	Tocco, John	13	76.9%	4.30	4.20	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.00	3.80	3.20	4.00	4.00	3.90
ECE	4363	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	8	75.0%	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	3.83	4.00	4.28	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.56
ECE	4544	Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun	11	100.0%	4.27	4.09	4.18	4.36	4.27	4.18	4.23	4.64	4.09	4.45	4.55	4.09	4.27	4.35
ECE	4743	Livernois, Michael	10	50.0%	4.20	4.00	4.40	4.20	3.80	3.80	4.07	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.27
ECE	4753	Kowalkowski, Keith	19	78.9%	4.73	4.53	4.73	4.67	4.60	4.60	4.64	4.87	4.60	4.87	4.80	4.93	4.73	4.80
ECE	4761	Bebawy, Mena	12	83.3%	4.10	4.11	4.10	3.90	3.90	3.80	3.99	4.60	3.70	4.10	4.00	3.90	3.90	4.03
ECE	5113	Mata, Luis	17	70.6%	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.68	4.58	4.58	4.75	4.42	4.58	4.67	4.60
ECE	5223	Mata, Luis	22	77.3%	4.47	4.65	4.47	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.41	4.53	4.35	4.59	4.35	4.47	4.59	4.48
ECE	5243	Kosnik, Donald	18	77.8%	3.71	3.71	4.14	3.93	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.21	3.93	4.14	4.15	4.29	4.14	4.14
ECE	5273	Tocco, John	16	62.5%	3.80	4.20	3.50	3.20	3.90	3.60	3.70	4.30	3.90	3.70	3.30	3.70	3.80	3.78

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
ECE	5523	Carpenter, Donald	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ECE	5753	Aregawi, Biniam	16	100.0%	4.56	4.63	4.69	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.56	4.56	4.69	4.69	4.50	4.56	4.59
ECE	5763	Bebawy, Mena	22	100.0%	4.55	4.41	4.55	4.32	4.55	4.50	4.48	4.68	4.55	4.59	4.59	4.59	4.68	4.61
ECE	5813	Bandara, Nishantha	15	80.0%	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.67	4.68	4.83	5.00	4.75	4.92	4.75	4.83	4.85
ECE	5923	Mekjian, Gary	11	63.6%	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.60
ECE	6113	Jensen, Elin	13	84.6%	4.64	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.45	4.48	4.82	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.82	4.82	4.70
ECE	6213	Tocco, John	7	71.4%	4.20	4.00	3.60	3.60	3.80	4.40	3.93	4.40	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.13
EEE	1001	Olivier, Philip	20	55.0%	3.09	3.09	3.30	3.09	2.91	3.30	3.08	4.00	3.09	3.73	3.55	4.09	3.55	3.67
EEE	2111	Renehan, Bridget	17	52.9%	4.22	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.44	4.56	4.30	4.11	4.00	4.22	4.11	3.89	4.56	4.15
EEE	2114	Walker, Lewis	21	52.4%	3.00	3.36	3.45	2.91	3.18	3.00	3.15	3.91	3.09	4.27	3.36	3.73	2.82	3.53
EEE	2123	Abdul Hadi, Walid	19	73.7%	3.86	3.36	3.64	4.07	3.79	3.50	3.70	4.64	4.00	3.64	4.00	4.00	3.71	4.00
EEE	2123	Zorka, Nicholas	21	85.7%	2.94	3.06	3.00	2.61	3.06	2.89	2.93	3.50	2.22	2.50	2.50	2.89	2.56	2.69
EEE	2214	Jaber, Nabih	12	66.7%	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.40
EEE	2214	Kolasa, William	13	76.9%	2.50	3.00	3.10	3.20	3.20	2.60	2.93	3.50	2.40	2.50	2.90	2.60	2.80	2.78
EEE	3011	Jaber, Nabih	5	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
EEE	3121	Shell, Brian	15	33.3%	4.80	4.20	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.57	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
EEE	3124	Cloud, Michael	20	45.0%	4.56	4.67	4.89	4.56	4.89	4.67	4.70	4.89	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.89	4.81
EEE	3221	Anneberg, Lisa	15	33.3%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.73
EEE	3223	Anneberg, Lisa	14	50.0%	4.86	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.67	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.83
EEE	3231	Kenaya, Riyadh	17	52.9%	3.67	3.89	3.67	3.00	3.78	3.89	3.65	3.89	3.44	3.33	2.78	3.56	3.11	3.35
EEE	3233	Kenaya, Riyadh	22	50.0%	2.82	2.91	3.27	2.45	3.27	3.27	3.00	4.00	2.91	3.00	2.30	3.55	2.91	3.12
EEE	3311	Shell, Brian	15	60.0%	4.00	4.44	4.56	3.89	4.00	3.89	4.13	3.00	3.78	4.22	4.00	4.33	3.44	3.80
EEE	3314	Dragon, Thomas	19	57.9%	4.18	3.73	4.45	3.64	4.27	4.18	4.08	4.82	4.36	3.91	3.82	4.45	4.27	4.27
EEE	3414	Cloud, Michael	14	50.0%	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.43	4.26	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.83
EEE	3422	Zorka, Nicholas	12	41.7%	3.00	3.00	3.40	3.60	3.40	2.80	3.20	3.80	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.20	3.20	3.43
EEE	4131	Shell, Brian	7	42.9%	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	3.67	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.33	4.78
EEE	4133	Walker, Lewis	10	30.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
EEE	4261	Anneberg, Lisa	11	54.5%	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.14	4.00	3.67	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.17	4.17
EEE	4263	Anneberg, Lisa	23	21.7%	3.80	4.20	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.03	4.60	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.80	4.20	4.33
EEE	4273	Anneberg, Lisa	13	15.4%	3.00	3.50	3.50	4.00	2.50	3.50	3.33	4.00	3.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.67
EEE	4423	Cloud, Michael	19	36.8%	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.43	4.48	4.86	4.86	4.86	5.00	4.57	4.86	4.83
EEE	4514	Dourra, Hussein	8	37.5%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.56
EEE	4713	Kolasa, William	11	54.5%	2.33	2.83	3.83	2.83	3.00	2.50	2.89	4.33	2.50	3.33	3.67	3.17	3.17	3.36
EEE	4811	Jaber, Nabih	5	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
EEE	4822	Jaber, Nabih	12	41.7%	4.00	3.75	4.25	3.80	4.20	4.00	3.95	4.50	4.60	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.37
EEE	4842	Jaber, Nabih	6	16.7%	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00	3.00
EEE	5114	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	28	57.1%	4.50	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.50	4.50	4.49	4.56	4.50	4.69	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.58
EEE	5114	Naserian, Mohammad	23	56.5%	4.46	4.31	4.46	4.54	4.15	4.23	4.36	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.69	4.38	4.46	4.49
EEE	5114	Olivier, Philip	20	50.0%	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.50	4.55
EEE	5134	Walker, Lewis	4	25.0%	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EEE	5144	Walker, Lewis	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.22
EEE	5364	Anneberg, Lisa	26	53.8%	4.36	4.43	4.64	4.29	4.43	4.36	4.42	4.57	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.64	4.64	4.64
EEE	5444	Hua, Kun	59	57.6%	4.59	4.68	4.56	4.59	4.65	4.65	4.62	4.68	4.65	4.65	4.71	4.68	4.71	4.68
EEE	5444	Rakouth, Heritianarisoa	44	50.0%	3.95	3.73	4.05	4.18	4.23	4.00	4.02	4.27	4.09	4.18	4.18	4.32	4.14	4.20
EEE	5554	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	13	61.5%	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.10	4.00	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.25	4.17
EEE	5924	Jaber, Nabih	21	57.1%	4.58	4.33	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.75	4.60	4.75	4.58	4.75	4.83	4.67	4.75	4.72
EEE	6901	Anneberg, Lisa	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
EEE	6912	Kandaswamy, Umasankar	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
EGE	1001	DeAgostino, Thomas	22	40.9%	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.44	4.54	4.89	4.67	4.56	4.33	4.78	4.67	4.65

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EGE	1023	Li, Yawen	28	64.3%	3.67	3.76	4.00	3.83	3.56	3.53	3.73	4.06	3.11	3.67	3.72	3.71	3.22	3.58
EGE	1023	Yee, Kingman	16	93.8%	3.87	3.86	4.00	3.73	4.00	3.60	3.83	4.53	4.00	3.87	4.07	3.27	3.80	3.92
EGE	1102	Fernandez, Vernon	62	62.9%	3.53	3.71	3.71	3.11	3.58	3.21	3.47	3.87	3.61	3.89	3.58	3.92	3.71	3.76
EGE	1102	Pawlowski, Roger	31	64.5%	3.90	3.85	4.10	3.85	3.65	3.70	3.84	4.30	3.75	3.50	3.65	3.75	3.80	3.79
EGE	2013	Kerns, James	41	85.4%	4.20	4.31	4.34	4.31	4.26	4.17	4.27	4.29	4.37	4.54	4.53	4.54	4.34	4.43
EGE	2013	Mynderse, James	31	96.8%	4.13	4.20	3.63	3.70	3.80	3.77	3.87	4.28	3.57	3.77	3.23	3.90	3.60	3.71
EGE	2231	Pohly, Sharon	23	52.2%	4.17	3.92	4.25	4.25	3.67	3.67	3.99	3.92	3.50	4.33	3.92	3.92	4.17	3.96
EGE	2233	Reimer, Donald	49	67.3%	4.09	4.18	4.33	4.27	4.18	4.12	4.20	4.42	4.36	4.39	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.38
EGE	3003	Arslan, Selin	27	66.7%	4.89	4.83	4.89	4.78	4.83	4.78	4.83	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.84
EGE	3003	Frasch, Lewis	13	30.8%	4.00	3.75	4.50	4.75	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.29
EGE	3012	Attalla, Emad	32	75.0%	4.17	4.26	4.29	4.29	3.96	4.08	4.17	4.42	4.25	4.43	4.46	4.33	4.33	4.37
EGE	3012	DeAgostino, Thomas	39	59.0%	4.30	4.17	4.22	3.87	3.91	4.22	4.12	4.26	3.96	4.22	4.09	4.35	4.04	4.15
EGE	3361	Reimer, Donald	7	42.9%	5.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	1011	Fletcher, Robert	83	67.5%	4.32	4.23	4.38	4.27	4.14	4.09	4.24	4.57	4.38	4.41	4.39	4.50	4.39	4.44
EME	2011	Yee, Kingman	32	90.6%	3.97	4.04	4.21	3.55	3.82	3.83	3.90	4.21	3.93	3.96	3.52	3.96	3.90	3.91
EME	2012	Ali, Sk	26	53.8%	3.79	3.86	4.14	3.93	3.86	3.64	3.87	3.29	2.79	3.64	3.29	3.36	3.00	3.23
EME	2012	Mullin, Thomas	33	69.7%	4.17	4.17	4.43	4.17	3.74	4.13	4.14	4.22	4.26	4.35	4.48	4.26	4.35	4.32
EME	3011	Yee, Kingman	38	81.6%	4.45	4.37	4.32	4.29	4.13	4.19	4.29	4.58	4.65	4.58	4.65	4.40	4.61	4.58
EME	3013	Morano, Heidi	26	57.7%	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.47	4.43	4.40	4.40	4.47	4.53	4.47	4.67	4.60	4.67	4.57
EME	3023	Alwerfalli, Daw	32	78.1%	3.84	4.04	3.83	3.92	3.84	3.83	3.85	4.40	3.88	4.44	4.20	4.44	4.00	4.23
EME	3033	Stuart, Charles	32	84.4%	4.59	4.56	4.70	4.67	4.52	4.63	4.61	4.81	4.74	4.85	4.74	4.85	4.81	4.80
EME	3043	Riedel, Chris	27	51.9%	4.43	4.64	4.36	4.64	4.50	4.57	4.52	4.86	4.64	4.64	4.71	4.86	4.76	4.76
EME	3123	Gerhart, Andrew	22	86.4%	4.74	4.68	4.68	4.74	4.63	4.58	4.68	4.89	4.79	4.84	4.79	4.84	4.68	4.81
EME	3123	Pawlowski, Roger	29	75.9%	4.27	4.36	4.41	4.18	4.32	4.27	4.30	4.36	4.14	4.27	4.36	4.14	4.23	4.25
EME	3133	Sitaram, Pattabhi	20	70.0%	3.00	3.00	3.21	3.50	2.93	3.00	3.11	2.93	2.50	2.93	2.71	3.14	2.64	2.81
EME	3133	Stuart, Charles	21	95.2%	4.85	4.85	4.90	4.95	4.90	4.70	4.86	4.95	4.90	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.94
EME	3214	Marsh, Richard	18	55.6%	3.60	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.60	3.40	3.50	4.50	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.50	4.40	4.33
EME	4003	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	32	71.9%	3.65	3.65	3.61	3.26	3.43	3.35	3.49	3.87	3.09	3.13	3.05	3.17	3.23	3.24
EME	4013	He, Jing	29	72.4%	3.10	3.38	3.38	3.10	3.24	2.90	3.17	3.90	2.71	3.43	3.19	3.57	2.80	3.27
EME	4222	Yee, Kingman	20	75.0%	3.53	3.27	3.20	3.67	3.07	3.00	3.29	3.73	4.07	4.00	4.00	3.87	3.80	3.91
EME	4253	Yee, Kingman	28	78.6%	4.45	4.10	4.30	4.55	4.23	4.14	4.27	4.68	4.59	4.64	4.55	4.36	4.55	4.56
EME	4323	Williams, John	19	57.9%	4.27	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.27	4.41	4.55	4.45	4.73	4.55	4.36	4.36	4.50
EME	4402	Fernandez, Vernon	21	81.0%	3.06	3.19	3.88	2.65	3.38	3.12	3.16	3.82	2.59	3.19	2.82	3.18	2.71	3.04
EME	4412	Gerhart, Andrew	24	66.7%	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.56	4.56	4.75	4.65	4.94	4.88	4.75	4.63	4.94	4.81	4.82
EME	4603	Morano, Heidi	32	68.8%	4.55	4.45	4.64	4.64	4.14	4.32	4.45	4.68	4.73	4.68	4.64	4.77	4.68	4.70
EME	4613	Pawlowski, Roger	25	60.0%	4.47	4.33	4.33	4.27	4.40	4.33	4.36	4.60	4.33	4.20	4.33	4.33	4.40	4.37
EME	5143	Yassine, Mahmoud	24	87.5%	4.33	4.24	4.52	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.35	4.57	4.33	4.57	4.43	4.19	4.43	4.42
EME	5153	Arslan, Selin	34	76.5%	4.73	4.81	4.85	4.69	4.58	4.69	4.72	4.88	4.77	4.69	4.81	4.77	4.77	4.78
EME	5213	Grace, Ihab	22	59.1%	4.23	4.38	4.46	4.62	4.38	4.31	4.40	4.46	4.31	4.62	4.46	4.54	4.62	4.50
EME	5223	El-Essawi, Mohamed	29	79.3%	4.13	4.43	4.43	4.35	4.22	4.13	4.28	4.43	4.09	4.30	4.30	4.35	4.13	4.27
EME	5253	Makki, Imad	31	87.1%	4.31	4.27	4.42	4.12	4.19	4.12	4.24	4.46	4.27	4.31	4.31	4.31	4.38	4.34
EME	5323	Mynderse, James	16	50.0%	3.75	3.25	3.75	3.63	3.88	3.38	3.60	5.00	3.88	4.50	4.25	4.88	4.50	4.50
EME	5333	Riedel, Chris	36	72.2%	4.46	4.15	4.54	4.58	4.38	4.38	4.42	4.69	4.69	4.73	4.69	4.50	4.73	4.67
EME	5353	Liu, Liping	32	84.4%	4.56	4.52	4.59	4.56	4.33	4.48	4.51	4.63	4.63	4.74	4.59	4.56	4.74	4.65
EME	5433	DeRose, Giuseppe	27	66.7%	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.61	4.64	4.72	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.78	4.78	4.73
EME	5983	Fletcher, Robert	9	66.7%	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.72
EME	5983	Makki, Imad	5	100.0%	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.00	4.60	4.60	4.43	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.57
EME	5983	Santangelo, Giuseppe	16	93.8%	4.27	4.47	4.40	4.27	4.33	4.40	4.36	4.47	4.27	4.33	4.40	4.53	4.33	4.39
EME	6113	Khosrovaneh, Abolhass	25	72.0%	4.28	4.11	4.28	3.78	4.11	4.22	4.13	4.17	4.00	3.89	3.78	4.06	4.11	4.00
EME	6133	Liu, Liping	21	52.4%	4.27	4.00	3.91	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.17	4.27	4.18	4.55	4.45	4.18	4.18	4.30

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
EME	6213	Fernandez, Vernon	29	55.2%	4.38	3.94	4.19	4.38	4.50	4.13	4.25	4.38	4.25	4.25	4.31	4.44	4.25	4.31
EME	6343	Alwerfalli, Daw	36	77.8%	4.54	4.57	4.71	4.64	4.54	4.54	4.59	4.68	4.64	4.57	4.54	4.68	4.71	4.64
EME	6353	Shih, Shan	29	62.1%	4.28	4.50	4.56	4.50	4.50	4.44	4.46	4.61	4.28	4.44	4.39	4.61	4.50	4.47
EME	6373	Norman, Kristofor	34	70.6%	4.75	4.63	4.71	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.70	4.88	4.83	4.75	4.79	4.75	4.88	4.81
EME	6383	Shih, Shan	30	73.3%	4.59	4.45	4.77	4.59	4.27	4.41	4.52	4.59	4.27	4.64	4.68	4.77	4.45	4.57
EME	6393	Jawad, Badih	33	57.6%	4.74	4.74	4.79	4.74	4.58	4.79	4.73	4.84	4.79	4.79	4.58	4.79	4.79	4.76
EME	6403	Ali, Sk	30	70.0%	4.29	4.43	4.57	4.52	4.43	4.38	4.44	4.57	4.29	4.71	4.48	4.57	4.43	4.51
EME	6543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	16	75.0%	4.42	4.42	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.51	4.58	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.63
EME	6663	Sobolak, Steve	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
EME	6673	Trewn, Jayant	25	56.0%	4.57	4.57	4.79	4.50	4.36	4.50	4.55	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.57	4.79	4.64	4.73
EME	6723	Alwerfalli, Daw	33	63.6%	4.43	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.24	4.38	4.37	4.67	4.52	4.48	4.57	4.48	4.48	4.53
EME	6753	Trewn, Jayant	21	33.3%	4.29	4.29	4.14	3.86	4.00	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.00	3.86	3.86	4.14	4.05
EME	6993	Alwerfalli, Daw	2	100.0%	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.08
EME	6993	Jawad, Badih	2	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	7303	Ali, Sk	29	55.2%	4.69	4.44	4.69	4.56	4.69	4.63	4.61	4.69	4.63	4.75	4.56	4.50	4.69	4.64
EME	7543	Koutsavdis, Evangelos	12	58.3%	4.86	4.86	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.95	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
EME	7603	Gebara, Naji	11	81.8%	4.44	4.33	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.89	4.78	4.56	4.80
ERE	2024	Kfoury, Giscard	10	80.0%	3.00	4.00	4.25	3.00	3.50	3.88	3.60	4.13	3.63	4.00	3.88	4.25	3.75	3.94
ERE	3024	Kfoury, Giscard	7	85.7%	3.50	3.33	3.83	3.33	4.17	3.83	3.67	4.17	3.50	3.67	3.83	4.17	4.00	3.89
ESL	112	Lampis, Giulia	6	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.50	4.44	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ESL	132	Bauer, Emily	10	70.0%	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.62	4.57	4.43	4.71	4.43	4.57	4.71	4.57
ESL	132	Musa, Ahlam	7	57.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.88	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79
ESL	152	Finlay, Suzanne	9	44.4%	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.67
ESL	212	Edward, Pauline	8	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.46	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
ESL	232	Corp, Scott	11	72.7%	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.50	4.38	4.75	4.67	4.63	4.88	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.88	4.73
ESL	232	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	12	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.67	4.17	4.42	4.83	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.72
ESL	252	Bennett, Douglas	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.42
ESL	252	Jawad-Abushaar, Ragette	14	64.3%	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.80	5.00	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.87
ESL	312	Broughton, Katharine	6	83.3%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.63	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67
ESL	332	Broughton, Katharine	14	78.6%	4.09	4.18	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.23	4.36	4.45	4.45	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.55
ESL	332	Theisen, Mary Lou	16	81.3%	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.77	4.69	4.77	4.72	4.85	4.92	4.92	4.77	4.85	4.85	4.86
ESL	352	Bauer, Emily	14	57.1%	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.88	4.85	4.75	4.75	4.88	4.88	5.00	5.00	4.88
ESL	352	Corp, Scott	15	60.0%	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.89	4.83	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.89	4.80
ESL	412	Mirza, Megan	6	100.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.42
ESL	432	Jawad, Megdieh	15	93.3%	4.50	4.43	4.50	4.36	4.29	4.36	4.40	4.57	4.50	4.71	4.50	4.64	4.71	4.61
ESL	432	Mirza, Megan	14	78.6%	4.36	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.36	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.36	4.27	4.29
ESL	452	Abunasr, Mazen	11	100.0%	4.73	4.64	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.64	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.77
ESL	452	Mirza, Megan	15	73.3%	4.27	4.27	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.45	4.44
ESL	512	Revels, Teresa	7	100.0%	4.43	4.14	4.43	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.33	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.38
ESL	532	Bauer, Emily	7	71.4%	4.40	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.63
ESL	532	Edward, Pauline	7	71.4%	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.67	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.70
ESL	532	Lampis, Giulia	7	57.1%	4.00	4.25	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.25	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.63
ESL	532	Revels, Teresa	8	100.0%	4.38	4.63	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.42	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.42
ESL	552	Bennett, Douglas	10	40.0%	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.25	3.25	3.25	3.42	4.00	3.75	3.75	3.50	4.00	4.00	3.83
ESL	552	Berri, Sarah	8	62.5%	4.60	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.60	4.63	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.77
ESL	552	Finlay, Suzanne	9	55.6%	3.80	3.80	4.40	3.80	4.00	3.80	3.93	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.13
ESL	552	Musa, Ahlam	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	552	Rescoe, Sarah	2	50.0%	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
ESL	612	Berri, Sarah	6	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60
ESL	632	Abunasr, Mazen	10	80.0%	4.14	3.88	3.88	4.25	3.63	3.88	3.91	4.13	4.00	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.13	4.23

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						Instructor Average
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	
ESL	652	Jawad, Megdieh	9	66.7%	4.33	4.17	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.33	4.47	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.83	4.33	4.33	4.50
FIN	3103	Tsebro, Pavlo	7	57.1%	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
GAM	2133	Ashton, Marshall	12	58.3%	4.29	4.00	4.43	3.71	4.14	4.29	4.14	4.29	3.86	3.71	3.57	4.71	4.29	4.07
GAM	2313	Ashton, Marshall	3	100.0%	4.00	4.33	4.00	3.67	3.33	4.00	3.89	4.33	3.33	4.00	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.11
GAM	3313	Ashton, Marshall	10	70.0%	3.43	3.57	3.71	2.86	3.57	3.14	3.38	3.43	3.71	3.57	3.33	3.57	3.43	3.48
GAM	3413	Evans, Bryce	9	66.7%	4.33	4.00	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.31	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
GAM	4524	Ashton, Marshall	3	66.7%	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
GLG	1103	Thomas, David	34	61.8%	4.05	4.19	4.15	4.05	3.71	3.62	3.95	4.57	3.95	4.24	4.05	4.19	4.19	4.20
HRM	3023	Egleston, David	18	50.0%	4.33	4.44	4.44	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.37	4.78	4.22	4.44	4.11	4.56	4.44	4.43
HRM	3043	Cole, Matthew	24	54.2%	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.69	4.54	4.69	4.71	4.62	4.62	4.77	4.62	4.69	4.69	4.67
IDD	1124	English, Derek	9	66.7%	4.50	4.67	4.50	3.67	4.67	4.67	4.44	4.33	4.00	3.83	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.06
IDD	1124	Falenski, Jason	9	55.6%	4.60	4.50	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.52	4.60	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.60	4.50	4.50
IDD	1124	Hanzel, Andrew	9	55.6%	3.80	3.80	4.00	3.00	4.20	3.80	3.77	4.40	3.60	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.20	4.00
IDD	1223	Nagara, Keith	19	68.4%	3.15	3.08	3.23	2.92	3.23	3.08	3.12	3.08	2.92	3.15	3.15	3.15	2.85	3.05
IDD	2223	Graf, Benjamin	6	100.0%	4.17	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
IDD	2226	English, Derek	6	100.0%	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.33	5.00	4.78	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.78
IDD	2226	Falenski, Jason	6	100.0%	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.94
IDD	2226	Huacuja, Roberto	6	100.0%	4.33	4.83	4.50	4.33	4.50	4.67	4.53	5.00	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	4.83	4.89
IDD	2226	Palazzo, Joseph	6	100.0%	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	4.94
IDD	2226	Vida, Asha	6	83.3%	4.20	4.80	4.00	3.80	3.80	4.20	4.13	4.60	4.00	4.40	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.27
IDD	2226	Zubrickas, Paul	6	83.3%	4.20	4.60	4.20	3.80	3.60	4.20	4.10	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.60	4.40	4.37
IDD	3326	Joler, Jerome	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
IDD	3326	Kijanka, Rob	4	25.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
IDD	3326	Preuss, Kevin	4	25.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	3.50	5.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
IDD	3723	Baker, Brian	24	70.8%	4.47	4.75	4.29	4.38	4.47	4.59	4.48	4.88	4.76	4.53	4.41	4.82	4.71	4.69
INT	3103	Alexandris, Athanasios	32	59.4%	4.68	4.79	4.79	4.63	4.58	4.63	4.68	4.74	4.74	4.63	4.63	4.58	4.63	4.66
INT	3203	Makar, Andrew	13	53.8%	4.43	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.57	4.60	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.76
INT	3603	Montgomery, Robert	6	100.0%	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.72	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.94
INT	3803	Ku, Chih	10	60.0%	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.56	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83
INT	4013	Martella, Christopher	9	100.0%	4.67	4.44	4.78	4.33	4.56	4.78	4.59	5.00	5.00	4.78	4.44	4.67	4.89	4.80
INT	4023	Meier, Wesley	10	60.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.83	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.58
INT	4203	Ku, Chih	12	58.3%	4.00	4.29	4.29	4.14	4.43	4.00	4.19	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.00	4.17
INT	4303	Neary, John	4	75.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
INT	5024	Grembi, Jason	13	61.5%	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.63	4.63	4.38	4.52	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.67
INT	6043	Kohnke, Anne	48	47.9%	4.65	4.65	4.57	4.52	4.61	4.65	4.61	4.61	4.61	4.61	4.65	4.61	4.61	4.62
INT	6043	Sigler, Kenneth	11	18.2%	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
INT	6113	Ku, Chih	35	45.7%	4.38	4.38	4.25	4.13	3.94	4.25	4.22	4.19	4.31	4.13	4.25	4.06	4.31	4.21
INT	6123	Kohnke, Anne	31	58.1%	4.17	4.11	4.33	4.22	4.06	4.22	4.19	4.11	4.28	4.28	4.22	4.28	4.22	4.23
INT	6143	Ellison, Howard	17	41.2%	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.48	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.29	4.43	4.43	4.45
INT	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	25	96.0%	4.25	4.38	4.17	4.21	4.42	4.33	4.29	4.58	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.54	4.50	4.52
INT	6253	Taleb, Hassan	31	74.2%	4.52	4.57	4.57	4.74	4.35	4.43	4.53	4.78	4.74	4.78	4.70	4.65	4.74	4.73
INT	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	17	94.1%	4.69	4.50	4.69	4.69	4.56	4.63	4.63	4.81	4.69	4.81	4.88	4.81	4.81	4.80
INT	7223	Chasse, James	21	57.1%	4.67	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.53	4.50	4.75	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.67	4.61
INT	7243	Kohnke, Anne	17	64.7%	4.36	4.18	4.18	4.00	4.27	4.18	4.20	4.36	4.45	4.27	3.82	4.18	4.09	4.20
INT	7593	Allen, Victor	18	66.7%	4.75	4.75	4.67	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.74	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.49

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						Instructor Average
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	
IOE	3354	Sobolak, Steve	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.17
IOE	3453	Nasser, Mohamed	7	85.7%	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.69
LDR	2001	Balcom, Cynthia	20	80.0%	4.27	4.53	4.53	4.40	4.33	4.27	4.39	4.60	4.40	4.47	4.53	4.60	4.47	4.51
LDR	2001	Craig, Brian	19	36.8%	4.29	4.14	4.43	4.00	3.67	3.43	4.00	4.57	4.00	4.43	4.00	4.43	4.29	4.29
LDR	2001	Duranceau, Claudia	28	64.3%	4.00	4.11	4.06	4.11	4.06	4.00	4.06	4.44	4.11	4.29	4.00	4.50	4.17	4.24
LDR	2001	Faulkner, Ty	42	50.0%	4.05	4.00	4.19	4.29	4.10	3.81	4.07	4.71	4.48	4.67	4.71	4.76	4.67	4.67
LDR	2001	Jolly, Katherine	21	81.0%	4.59	4.41	4.53	4.47	4.41	4.29	4.45	4.35	4.35	4.24	4.47	4.35	4.29	4.34
LDR	2001	Nation, Christopher	21	66.7%	4.64	4.50	4.64	4.64	4.50	4.50	4.57	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.79	4.73
LDR	2001	Reimer, Donald	33	69.7%	4.00	4.04	3.91	3.96	3.96	3.91	3.96	4.35	4.13	4.17	4.00	4.35	4.17	4.20
LDR	3000	Craig, Brian	202	54.0%	3.97	3.85	3.86	4.04	3.75	3.52	3.81	4.04	3.98	3.94	4.09	4.01	4.05	4.01
LDR	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	47	59.6%	4.00	3.72	3.85	3.89	3.58	3.19	3.70	4.22	4.14	4.07	4.11	4.27	4.14	4.14
LDR	4000	Eren, Gonca	24	62.5%	3.29	2.92	2.86	3.00	2.43	1.93	2.67	4.00	3.54	3.69	3.85	3.67	3.73	3.71
LDR	4000	Faulkner, Ty	24	62.5%	3.93	3.77	3.73	4.00	3.67	3.40	3.75	4.07	4.07	3.93	3.93	3.93	4.00	3.99
LDR	4000	Nation, Christopher	49	63.3%	4.23	3.93	3.93	3.90	3.39	3.23	3.76	4.33	4.42	4.48	4.39	4.35	4.35	4.39
LLT	1213	Finkelman, Louis	17	64.7%	4.27	4.36	4.55	4.18	4.36	4.18	4.32	4.73	4.55	4.55	4.27	4.82	4.55	4.58
LLT	1213	Heiniger, Abigail	37	59.5%	4.64	4.64	4.59	4.55	4.32	4.36	4.52	4.86	4.86	4.77	4.77	4.95	4.82	4.84
LLT	1213	Kustarz, Michelle	20	60.0%	3.58	3.75	3.58	3.67	3.18	3.33	3.51	4.25	4.08	4.08	4.08	4.00	4.00	4.08
LLT	1213	Powaser, Lisa	20	55.0%	3.82	4.00	3.82	3.73	3.45	3.45	3.71	4.27	3.45	4.27	4.00	4.55	3.91	4.08
LLT	1213	Schantz, Randy	34	58.8%	3.95	4.05	4.10	3.85	3.80	3.75	3.92	4.35	4.11	4.33	4.15	4.22	4.10	4.17
LLT	1213	Weinstein, Melinda	28	35.7%	4.20	4.00	4.10	4.10	4.20	3.80	4.07	4.40	4.50	4.20	4.20	4.70	4.30	4.38
LLT	1213	Yezbick, Denise	19	63.2%	4.33	4.42	4.33	4.42	4.17	4.25	4.32	4.58	4.58	4.33	4.50	4.58	4.33	4.49
LLT	1223	Brinker, Ludger	37	70.3%	4.38	4.15	4.35	4.08	3.92	4.23	4.19	4.85	4.54	4.35	4.19	4.69	4.46	4.51
LLT	1223	Finkelman, Louis	20	70.0%	4.36	3.79	4.29	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.12	4.50	4.43	4.43	4.50	4.57	4.57	4.50
LLT	1223	Frenkel, Cindy	12	83.3%	3.40	3.70	3.70	3.90	3.50	3.30	3.58	4.30	3.40	4.00	3.70	4.20	3.70	3.88
LLT	1223	Grant, Leigh	19	68.4%	4.00	3.85	4.08	4.00	3.77	3.85	3.92	4.31	4.31	4.23	4.23	4.38	4.23	4.28
LLT	1223	Jausen, Paul	34	82.4%	4.36	4.32	4.25	4.25	4.04	4.04	4.21	4.64	4.43	4.43	4.39	4.46	4.50	4.48
LLT	1223	Lamers, Sara	18	61.1%	3.90	3.82	4.18	3.91	3.10	3.11	3.72	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.45	4.27	4.09	4.35
LLT	3433	Lamers, Sara	9	55.6%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.37	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.40	4.37
LLT	4513	Stavish, Corinne	7	85.7%	4.17	4.83	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.17	4.50	4.60	4.83	4.67	4.58
LLT	4533	Jausen, Paul	18	83.3%	4.60	4.73	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.60	4.66	4.93	4.67	4.73	4.53	4.87	4.80	4.76
LLT	4913	Weinstein, Melinda	20	55.0%	4.09	4.36	4.18	3.55	3.82	4.00	4.00	4.64	4.00	4.18	3.64	4.64	4.18	4.21
LLT	6013	Hadley, Margaret	9	33.3%	3.33	3.00	4.33	3.00	3.67	4.00	3.56	4.00	2.67	3.33	3.67	4.00	3.33	3.50
MBA	5013	Cole, Matthew	21	14.3%	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.39	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.61
MBA	6013	Egleston, David	12	25.0%	3.33	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.33	5.00	3.67	4.33	4.00	5.00	3.67	4.28
MBA	6013	Inskeep, Robert	7	57.1%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.71	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	4.96
MBA	6023	Marx, Thomas	7	42.9%	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.33	4.11	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.56
MBA	6023	Teolis, David	12	83.3%	4.10	4.00	3.70	4.20	3.90	4.10	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.20	4.38
MBA	6033	Halstead, John	24	33.3%	4.25	3.88	4.13	4.25	3.88	3.75	4.02	4.25	4.00	3.88	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.10
MBA	6033	Tsebro, Pavlo	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MBA	6043	Marx, Thomas	24	45.8%	4.91	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.82	4.83	4.91	4.91	4.73	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.82
MBA	6053	Kiani, Isar	22	81.8%	4.17	4.28	4.28	4.17	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.28	4.00	4.06	4.22	4.39	4.00	4.16
MBA	6053	Mathur, Manisha	9	33.3%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.17
MBA	6063	Paryani, Kioumars	22	59.1%	3.92	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.00	4.00	3.97	4.08	3.92	3.92	4.31	4.00	4.08	4.05
MBA	6063	Raghavan, Srikant	17	47.1%	4.13	4.00	4.25	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.15	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.63	4.50	4.38	4.48
MBA	6063	Taj, Shahram	17	52.9%	3.89	4.11	3.56	3.67	3.78	3.67	3.78	4.44	3.67	4.33	3.56	4.78	3.56	4.06
MBA	6073	Marx, Thomas	20	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.38	4.50	4.54	5.00	5.00	4.88	4.63	5.00	4.50	4.83
MBA	6073	Stavros, Jacqueline	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MBA	7033	Egleston, David	7	42.9%	2.00	3.33	1.33	1.67	2.33	1.67	2.06	4.33	2.33	2.33	2.33	4.33	2.33	3.00
MBA	7063	Allen, Victor	21	52.4%	4.73	4.36	4.45	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.44	4.55	4.27	4.09	4.36	4.00	4.00	4.21

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Participation		The Course							The Instructor					Instructor Average	
			Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally and respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.		Overall, the instructor was effective.
MBA	7063	Drommi, Antonio	21	76.2%	4.88	4.75	4.88	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.79	4.75	4.69	4.81	4.75	4.69	4.75	4.74
MBA	7063	Phillips, Mark	13	100.0%	4.38	4.46	4.54	4.23	4.15	4.15	4.32	4.15	4.08	4.00	3.92	4.08	4.00	4.04
MBA	7073	Mathur, Manisha	13	38.5%	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.60	3.80	4.00	3.83	4.60	4.00	3.40	3.60	4.40	3.80	3.97
MBA	7163	Tsebro, Pavlo	10	30.0%	4.00	4.00	3.33	3.00	3.33	4.00	3.61	4.67	3.00	4.67	3.00	5.00	4.00	4.06
MBA	7173	Taleb, Hassan	25	56.0%	4.64	4.71	4.79	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.79	4.86	4.80
MBA	5061T	Raghavan, Padmini	22	27.3%	4.00	4.17	4.33	3.67	4.00	3.83	4.00	4.17	4.17	4.17	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.03
MCO	1003	Gaber, Jody	19	52.6%	4.11	4.00	4.00	3.78	4.11	4.11	4.02	4.44	4.22	4.33	4.00	4.44	4.44	4.31
MCO	2003	Swantek, Beth	13	61.5%	4.00	3.88	4.00	3.50	3.71	3.57	3.78	4.50	3.75	3.38	3.75	4.25	4.00	3.93
MCO	3203	Swantek, Phillip	12	58.3%	4.29	4.14	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.29	4.26	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.29	4.43	4.33
MCO	3513	Hughes, Dana	10	20.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.58
MCO	3713	Swantek, Beth	16	68.8%	4.18	3.73	4.09	3.73	4.36	3.91	4.00	4.36	4.27	4.09	3.82	4.18	4.09	4.14
MCO	3913	Gaber, Jody	3	66.7%	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCO	4073	Anderanin, Paula	10	40.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	3.75	4.00	3.88	4.25	3.50	3.25	3.25	4.25	4.00	3.75
MCO	4073	Hackett, Daniel	7	42.9%	3.67	4.33	4.00	3.00	4.33	3.67	3.83	4.67	3.67	3.67	2.67	4.00	4.00	3.78
MCO	4933	Gaber, Jody	4	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	44	Coval, Brad	19	57.9%	4.09	4.00	4.09	3.91	3.91	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.82	3.91	4.00	4.18	3.98
MCS	51	Jadoun, Naela	12	58.3%	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.57	3.57	3.71	3.71	4.14	3.86	3.71	4.00	3.86	4.00	3.93
MCS	54	Morris, John	13	53.8%	3.43	4.00	3.86	3.71	3.86	3.43	3.71	4.14	4.14	3.57	3.86	3.86	3.57	3.86
MCS	54	Nelson, Patrick	13	23.1%	3.67	3.33	3.67	3.00	3.67	3.00	3.39	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.44
MCS	74	Liddy, Richard	27	51.9%	4.57	4.57	4.50	4.64	4.50	4.64	4.57	4.43	4.43	4.71	4.71	4.50	4.57	4.56
MCS	83	Timbre, Keith	11	45.5%	4.80	4.40	4.80	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.70
MCS	93	Timbre, Keith	15	66.7%	4.10	4.10	4.10	3.90	3.80	3.70	3.95	4.40	3.30	3.80	3.70	4.00	3.60	3.80
MCS	1003	Shaba, Amal	22	77.3%	4.71	4.53	4.65	4.59	4.35	4.29	4.52	4.59	4.59	4.65	4.59	4.29	4.24	4.49
MCS	1113	Husseini, Ghassan	17	47.1%	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.13	4.75	4.60	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
MCS	1113	Zendeli, Bashkim	8	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
MCS	1123	Coval, Brad	7	57.1%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.00	4.29	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.63
MCS	1142	Azar, Ghassan	20	55.0%	3.73	4.27	4.09	3.55	3.91	4.00	3.92	4.18	3.91	4.00	3.82	4.09	3.91	3.98
MCS	1142	Goulding, Thomas	19	94.7%	4.22	4.28	4.33	4.17	3.72	4.22	4.16	4.56	4.28	4.28	4.39	4.29	4.39	4.37
MCS	1203	Youkhana, Samer	11	36.4%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.75	3.50	4.25	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.79
MCS	1214	Rosen, Michael	15	60.0%	4.00	4.11	4.11	4.00	3.78	3.67	3.94	4.33	3.89	3.89	3.89	4.33	3.67	4.00
MCS	1214	Zendeli, Bashkim	15	73.3%	4.18	3.91	4.27	4.00	4.00	4.18	4.09	4.91	4.45	4.55	4.00	4.36	4.45	4.45
MCS	1224	Alyass, Kussiy	14	42.9%	3.33	3.50	3.50	3.67	3.33	3.00	3.39	3.00	3.00	2.83	3.17	2.50	2.83	2.89
MCS	1224	Khoury, Michael	17	64.7%	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.21	4.45	4.36	4.00	4.00	4.18	4.36	4.23
MCS	1224	Zendeli, Bashkim	17	76.5%	4.00	3.92	4.15	3.92	3.54	3.54	3.85	3.92	4.08	4.23	4.00	4.08	4.00	4.04
MCS	1254	Khoury, Michael	21	47.6%	4.10	4.20	3.90	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.03	4.20	4.10	4.40	4.10	4.50	4.10	4.23
MCS	1254	Vaynberg, Yelena	21	85.7%	3.72	3.82	4.00	4.06	3.59	3.56	3.78	3.72	3.61	3.94	3.94	3.78	3.72	3.79
MCS	1414	Bukaita, Wisam	24	100.0%	4.50	4.58	4.58	4.75	4.58	4.63	4.60	4.71	4.46	4.79	4.71	4.71	4.63	4.67
MCS	1414	Cartwright, Christopher	58	65.5%	4.66	4.63	4.58	4.57	4.45	4.61	4.58	4.68	4.68	4.68	4.66	4.71	4.66	4.68
MCS	1414	Nelson, Patrick	58	63.8%	4.14	4.33	4.25	4.11	4.28	4.08	4.20	4.43	4.27	4.35	4.27	4.24	4.30	4.31
MCS	1424	Arlinghaus, Frank	24	50.0%	3.92	3.91	3.82	3.75	3.67	3.67	3.76	4.67	3.92	3.58	3.58	4.25	3.75	3.96
MCS	1424	Bauer, Glen	22	72.7%	4.81	4.63	4.81	4.75	4.81	4.75	4.76	5.00	4.94	5.00	4.81	5.00	4.94	4.95
MCS	1424	Vaynberg, Yelena	20	90.0%	3.94	4.00	4.22	4.06	3.78	3.94	3.99	3.83	3.83	4.44	4.11	4.33	3.89	4.07
MCS	1424	Yu, Na	79	70.9%	4.02	4.02	3.89	3.74	3.94	3.85	3.89	4.42	4.06	4.14	3.96	4.26	4.17	4.15
MCS	1424	Zendeli, Bashkim	19	73.7%	4.57	4.57	4.21	4.64	4.57	4.50	4.51	4.64	4.43	4.64	4.50	4.43	4.43	4.51
MCS	1424	Zhu, Guang-Chong	18	66.7%	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.25	4.17	4.42	4.17	4.32
MCS	1514	Alnaji, Amina	15	40.0%	3.00	3.17	3.67	3.17	3.17	3.00	3.19	3.33	3.17	2.83	3.33	3.33	3.50	3.25
MCS	1514	Azar, Ghassan	26	53.8%	4.29	4.21	4.07	3.64	4.14	3.79	4.02	4.57	3.50	4.00	3.64	4.07	3.79	3.93
MCS	1514	Shaba, Amal	17	94.1%	4.44	4.19	4.13	3.94	4.13	4.06	4.15	4.53	4.13	4.13	3.88	4.25	4.00	4.14
MCS	1623	Zurawski, Erik	11	72.7%	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.38	3.88	4.00	4.21	4.13	4.13	3.75	4.13	3.88	4.00	3.98
MCS	2023	Turfe, Atallah	16	50.0%	3.63	3.75	3.63	3.38	3.63	3.13	3.52	4.13	3.75	3.38	3.50	3.50	3.13	3.56

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally and respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	2414	Alyass, Kussiy	16	43.8%	4.29	4.00	4.00	4.14	4.00	4.00	4.07	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.29	3.57	3.57	3.88
MCS	2414	Khoury, Michael	27	51.9%	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.64	4.57	4.64	4.62	4.79	4.64	4.57	4.71	4.79	4.79	4.71
MCS	2414	Yu, Na	45	62.2%	4.32	4.25	4.32	4.18	4.21	4.04	4.22	4.29	3.68	3.96	4.07	4.04	3.82	3.98
MCS	2423	Arlinghaus, Frank	31	54.8%	4.47	4.59	4.47	4.53	4.41	4.35	4.47	4.59	4.47	4.50	4.59	4.47	4.53	4.52
MCS	2423	Bukaita, Wisam	23	100.0%	4.52	4.52	4.61	4.26	4.17	4.35	4.41	4.70	4.26	4.74	4.52	4.65	4.57	4.57
MCS	2423	Merscher, Michael	29	72.4%	4.60	4.52	4.62	4.52	4.57	4.62	4.57	4.86	4.86	4.76	4.67	4.76	4.76	4.78
MCS	2423	Nelson, Patrick	14	78.6%	4.45	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.27	4.45	4.45	4.64	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.45
MCS	2514	Wang, Yin	26	53.8%	4.21	4.07	4.00	4.36	4.14	4.00	4.13	4.64	3.50	4.29	4.14	4.00	3.93	4.08
MCS	2523	Zalzali, Michael	16	56.3%	4.22	4.11	4.33	3.56	4.11	4.11	4.07	4.67	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.44	4.22	4.31
MCS	2534	Fawcett, David	18	72.2%	4.62	4.46	4.69	4.62	4.46	4.38	4.54	4.85	4.54	4.77	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.67
MCS	3324	Abro, Sabah	12	83.3%	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.70	4.65
MCS	3324	Cally, John	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	3403	Makar-Limanov, Olga	17	88.2%	3.67	3.27	3.80	3.80	3.73	3.40	3.61	3.64	3.13	3.36	3.73	3.50	3.00	3.38
MCS	3403	Rosen, Michael	29	62.1%	3.06	3.33	4.12	3.56	3.50	2.94	3.38	4.22	3.18	4.00	3.89	4.67	3.22	3.87
MCS	3403	Zhu, Guang-Chong	30	80.0%	4.29	4.13	4.33	4.33	4.25	4.17	4.25	4.71	4.46	4.54	4.42	4.71	4.46	4.55
MCS	3413	Eckert, Robert	19	78.9%	2.87	3.13	2.60	2.73	3.13	2.33	2.80	3.57	2.14	3.33	2.36	3.36	2.07	2.82
MCS	3503	Brown, David	9	66.7%	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.67	4.17	3.83	4.31	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.00	4.44
MCS	3523	Nelson, Patrick	5	40.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.67
MCS	3543	Farrehi, Khashayar	14	64.3%	3.00	3.56	3.78	3.11	2.75	2.67	3.16	3.33	3.00	3.44	3.22	3.11	2.56	3.11
MCS	3573	Meier, Mark	7	85.7%	3.50	3.80	4.20	3.33	3.83	3.67	3.60	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.83	4.50	4.00	4.00
MCS	3603	Al Hamando, Mazin	7	85.7%	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.83	4.00	3.50	3.83	4.50	3.83	4.17	4.17	3.83	3.50	4.00
MCS	3633	Farrehi, Khashayar	7	14.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MCS	3663	Faust, Eric	11	54.5%	4.83	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.72	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	3863	Turfe, Atallah	29	58.6%	3.24	3.47	3.65	3.24	3.41	2.69	3.30	4.06	2.71	3.47	3.29	2.88	2.47	3.15
MCS	3863	Zhu, Guang-Chong	24	95.8%	4.30	4.09	4.17	4.13	4.13	4.00	4.14	4.83	4.30	4.52	4.52	4.83	4.30	4.55
MCS	4613	Brown, David	17	58.8%	4.10	3.70	4.00	4.20	3.50	3.50	3.83	3.60	4.10	4.30	4.30	3.90	3.60	3.97
MCS	4623	Givalent, Gary	7	71.4%	4.00	4.00	4.40	4.40	3.80	4.00	4.10	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.63
MCS	4623	Sweet, Benjamin	11	90.9%	3.90	3.70	3.80	3.80	3.50	3.90	3.77	4.40	3.50	4.40	4.10	4.40	3.90	4.12
MCS	4633	Ostrowski, David	7	57.1%	4.25	3.25	4.25	4.00	3.25	3.25	3.71	4.25	3.50	3.50	3.75	3.50	3.50	3.67
MCS	4643	Farrehi, Khashayar	8	25.0%	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.75
MCS	4653	Farrehi, Khashayar	10	60.0%	3.67	3.83	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.08	4.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.17	4.17	4.22
MCS	4663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	16	43.8%	4.00	3.57	3.71	3.71	3.43	3.57	3.67	3.86	3.57	3.67	3.29	3.00	3.29	3.44
MCS	4833	Shamir, Lior	5	20.0%	4.00			4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00		4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MCS	4843	Shamir, Lior	6	83.3%	4.80	5.00	5.00	4.33	3.60	4.75	4.39	4.50	4.40	4.40	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.33
MCS	4863	Cartwright, Christopher	7	42.9%	3.67	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.33	3.67	3.83	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.28
MCS	4981	Delogu, Franco	4	50.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.75
MCS	4981	Nelson, Patrick	4	75.0%	3.67	5.00	4.67	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.17	5.00	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61
MCS	4983	Nelson, Patrick	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
MCS	4993	Meier, Mark	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	4993	Mills, Hans	5	60.0%	4.50	4.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.52	4.50	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.67
MCS	5013	Al Hamando, Mazin	8	75.0%	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.50	4.17	4.31	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
MCS	5103	Givalent, Gary	4	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.58	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.58
MCS	5103	Sweet, Benjamin	8	100.0%	3.75	3.50	4.00	3.75	4.00	4.13	3.85	4.13	3.88	4.00	3.75	4.13	3.88	3.96
MCS	5303	Baroody, Rami	19	68.4%	4.08	4.08	4.31	4.23	4.38	4.15	4.21	4.31	4.15	4.31	4.46	4.31	4.15	4.28
MCS	5403	Chung, Chan-Jin	9	33.3%	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	3.67	4.33	4.28	5.00	4.00	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.61
MCS	5403	Ruszala, Jonathan	9	11.1%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5603	Miller, John	11	63.6%	4.00	3.86	4.00	3.50	3.71	3.71	3.78	4.29	3.86	4.14	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.98
MCS	5703	El-Bathy, Mohammed	7	71.4%	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.23	4.20	4.20	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.20	4.27
MCS	5993	Chung, Chan-Jin	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5993	Dillard, Terrance	6	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	5993	Ruszala, Jonathan	4	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
MCS	6723	El-Bathy, Mohammed	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MCS	7013	Shamir, Lior	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
MCS	7033	Shamir, Lior	5	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
MET	6203	Deloose, Stacey	13	46.2%	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.17	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.33	4.36
MET	6213	Lowry, Pamela	24	20.8%	4.80	4.60	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.40	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.40	4.60	4.63
MET	6223	Schneider, Robert	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MET	6233	Williams, Brian	3	100.0%	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.39	5.00	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.67	5.00	4.56
MGT	2113	Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia	19	47.4%	3.33	3.89	3.67	3.67	3.22	3.33	3.52	3.67	3.67	3.56	3.67	3.44	3.44	3.57
MGT	2203	Stavros, Jacqueline	17	41.2%	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86
MGT	3033	Deska, Thomas	18	61.1%	4.82	4.64	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.73	4.55	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.73	4.82	4.80
MGT	3103	Phillips, Mark	13	100.0%	4.69	4.69	4.77	4.77	4.67	4.67	4.85	4.73	5.00	4.54	4.77	4.92	4.92	4.85
MGT	3113	Eren, Serhat	17	82.4%	4.50	4.50	4.64	4.64	4.50	4.50	4.64	4.57	4.29	4.50	4.57	4.42	4.29	4.45
MGT	3113	Raghavan, Srikant	18	66.7%	4.08	4.42	4.50	4.33	4.25	4.17	4.29	4.75	4.08	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.47
MGT	4113	Paryani, Kioumars	7	100.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MGT	4213	Omrani, Massood	7	28.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
MGT	8063	McCord, Samuel	8	75.0%	3.17	3.50	3.17	3.50	2.50	2.67	3.08	4.00	3.83	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.33	3.64
MKT	2013	Kiani, Isar	21	76.2%	3.88	4.13	4.06	4.00	4.13	4.31	4.08	4.31	4.06	4.31	4.31	4.38	4.38	4.29
MKT	4013	Kiani, Isar	12	91.7%	4.45	4.36	4.18	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.27	4.18	4.00	4.27	4.36	4.45	4.18	4.24
MKT	4023	Mathur, Manisha	19	42.1%	3.75	4.00	3.50	3.13	3.50	3.71	3.57	3.50	3.25	3.63	3.50	3.63	3.50	3.50
MSE	6183	Mynderse, James	6	50.0%	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.94
PHY	1154	Bozin, Keith	18	50.0%	3.00	3.33	3.78	3.11	3.50	3.33	3.31	3.33	3.00	3.11	3.44	3.44	3.22	3.26
PHY	1154	Karian, Harutun	13	53.8%	3.86	3.71	3.86	3.86	3.57	3.43	3.71	3.43	3.29	3.71	3.43	3.43	3.29	3.43
PHY	1213	Schneider, Scott	20	60.0%	4.58	4.58	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.56	4.83	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.92	4.67	4.76
PHY	1221	Schneider, Scott	15	53.3%	4.63	4.38	4.50	4.63	4.75	4.25	4.52	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.69
PHY	2213	Raman, Jyothi	14	57.1%	3.88	4.00	4.13	4.00	3.50	3.38	3.81	4.38	3.75	3.13	3.63	3.75	3.25	3.65
PHY	2213	Woodworth, James	24	54.2%	3.00	3.38	3.15	3.08	2.92	2.92	3.08	3.38	3.08	3.08	3.15	2.62	2.85	3.03
PHY	2221	Bozin, Keith	6	83.3%	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.53	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.60
PHY	2221	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	5	40.0%	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.92	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.92
PHY	2221	Moschelli, George	7	42.9%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.67	4.00	4.00	4.22
PHY	2221	Woodworth, James	9	44.4%	3.75	3.75	3.75	4.25	4.00	3.75	3.88	4.25	4.00	4.25	4.25	3.75	4.00	4.08
PHY	2221	Zhou, Changgong	4	75.0%	3.33	4.00	3.33	3.33	3.67	2.67	3.39	4.33	3.33	2.33	2.33	2.33	2.33	2.83
PHY	2223	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	21	76.2%	3.47	3.38	3.56	3.69	3.50	3.38	3.49	4.13	3.19	3.31	3.44	3.38	3.19	3.44
PHY	2223	Pokharel, Rajendra	12	83.3%	3.60	3.50	4.00	3.90	3.80	3.50	3.72	4.10	3.90	4.00	4.10	4.10	3.70	3.98
PHY	2231	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	3	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PHY	2231	Grewer, Royce	16	75.0%	3.67	3.58	3.75	3.92	3.83	3.67	3.74	4.75	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.83	4.75	4.69
PHY	2231	Tobos, Valentina	12	75.0%	4.11	4.00	4.00	4.11	4.11	4.11	4.07	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.19
PHY	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	29	62.1%	4.17	3.44	4.25	3.94	3.94	4.06	3.97	4.50	4.22	4.11	4.28	4.56	4.28	4.32
PHY	2413	Moschelli, George	32	65.6%	4.19	4.19	4.05	4.14	4.05	4.05	4.11	4.57	3.95	4.10	4.33	4.48	4.00	4.24
PHY	2421	Bozin, Keith	14	50.0%	3.86	4.00	3.86	4.00	3.57	3.86	3.86	4.29	3.71	4.00	3.86	3.71	4.00	3.93
PHY	2421	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	15	53.3%	4.13	4.00	4.13	4.38	4.00	4.13	4.13	4.50	4.13	4.13	4.38	4.25	4.38	4.29
PHY	2421	Moschelli, George	10	90.0%	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.43	4.33	4.38	4.56	4.22	4.00	4.44	4.31
PHY	2421	Woodworth, James	10	70.0%	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.07	4.29	4.57	4.29	4.00	3.86	4.43	4.24
PHY	2421	Zhou, Changgong	11	72.7%	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.44	4.75	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.69
PHY	2423	Moschelli, George	11	90.9%	4.10	4.20	4.10	4.30	3.80	3.80	4.05	4.60	4.30	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.10	4.33
PHY	2423	Pokharel, Rajendra	15	86.7%	4.15	4.08	4.08	4.15	3.85	4.00	4.05	4.38	4.08	4.00	4.31	4.23	3.85	4.14
PHY	2423	Schneider, Scott	28	75.0%	4.90	4.95	4.81	4.95	4.86	4.95	4.90	4.95	4.95	4.95	4.90	4.90	4.95	4.94
PHY	2423	Tobos, Valentina	49	89.8%	4.52	4.51	4.48	4.52	4.27	4.45	4.46	4.64	4.55	4.55	4.59	4.61	4.52	4.58

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
PHY	2423	Zhou, Changgong	8	50.0%	5.00	5.00	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.78	5.00	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.96
PHY	2431	Bozin, Keith	31	74.2%	4.22	3.77	3.74	4.00	4.13	4.00	3.98	4.30	4.13	4.04	4.22	4.30	4.22	4.20
PHY	2431	Grewer, Royce	17	70.6%	4.75	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.50	4.42	4.64	4.75	4.92	4.58	4.75	4.83	4.75	4.76
PHY	2431	Pokharel, Rajendra	37	86.5%	4.31	4.20	4.13	4.50	4.00	4.13	4.21	3.78	3.50	3.87	4.29	4.28	3.78	3.90
PHY	2431	Tarini, Laurence	20	80.0%	4.19	4.25	4.19	4.19	4.31	4.13	4.21	4.06	3.94	4.00	4.06	4.06	4.00	4.02
PHY	4743	Zhou, Changgong	3	66.7%	3.00	3.50	4.00	2.50	2.50	2.50	3.00	3.00	2.00	2.50	3.00	4.00	2.50	2.83
PHY	4763	Madden, William	2	50.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	2.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83
PHY	4781	Zhou, Changgong	3	66.7%	2.50	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.00	3.25	4.00	2.00	2.50	2.00	4.00	2.50	2.83
PHY	4843	Tobos, Valentina	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	3.67
PHY	6123	Rands, Marilyn	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PSC	3001	Moschelli, George	8	100.0%	4.00	3.88	3.75	4.00	3.75	4.00	3.90	3.38	3.38	4.00	4.00	3.38	3.75	3.65
PSY	1003	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	50.0%	5.00	4.90	5.00	5.00	4.90	4.80	4.93	4.70	5.00	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.80	4.83
PSY	1213	Delogu, Franco	20	50.0%	4.00	4.00	3.60	4.00	3.50	3.90	3.83	4.40	3.80	4.30	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.17
PSY	1213	Molloy, Sara	18	38.9%	4.57	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.00	4.29	4.38	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43	4.43
PSY	1213	Watson, Donna	18	55.6%	4.40	4.10	4.20	4.00	3.90	3.90	4.08	4.60	4.40	4.00	4.40	4.10	4.20	4.28
PSY	2343	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	45.0%	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.89	4.89	5.00	4.93	4.89	4.89	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.91
PSY	2393	Jafari, Mia	14	42.9%	3.17	3.00	3.17	2.83	3.00	2.50	2.94	2.83	2.67	2.50	2.67	3.33	2.50	2.75
PSY	3213	Munevar, Gonzalo	15	60.0%	4.11	4.67	4.11	3.78	4.00	4.11	4.13	5.00	3.89	4.22	3.78	4.56	4.22	4.28
PSY	3223	Delogu, Franco	7	85.7%	3.50	3.80	4.00	2.83	3.33	3.67	3.49	4.17	3.33	3.83	3.67	4.33	4.00	3.89
PSY	3313	Molloy, Sara	16	62.5%	4.50	4.60	4.60	4.60	4.30	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.40	4.50	4.70	4.50	4.60	4.55
PSY	3633	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	20	45.0%	4.56	4.67	4.78	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.65	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.56	4.67	4.59
RES	7033	Cole, Matthew	8	75.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.72	5.00	4.83	4.67	4.67	5.00	4.83	4.83
SSC	2303	Kler, Marlene	49	51.0%	4.44	4.36	4.40	4.28	4.44	4.32	4.37	4.64	4.36	4.48	4.56	4.56	4.52	4.52
SSC	2303	Mulder, Daniel	25	60.0%	4.47	4.40	4.47	4.53	4.27	4.40	4.42	4.87	4.67	4.53	4.73	4.80	4.67	4.71
SSC	2303	Reed, Jason	21	52.4%	4.70	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.80	4.68	4.90	4.70	4.80	4.80	4.90	4.80	4.82
SSC	2403	Kler, Marlene	25	60.0%	4.07	4.13	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.17	4.27	4.27	4.13	4.27	4.27	4.20	4.23
SSC	2413	Powell, Jeffrey	21	52.4%	3.55	3.64	4.00	3.82	3.64	3.45	3.68	4.09	3.64	3.73	3.91	3.91	3.82	3.85
SSC	2413	Shargel, Daniel	37	62.2%	4.22	4.26	4.30	3.96	4.09	4.13	4.16	4.57	4.26	4.32	4.17	4.30	4.30	4.32
SSC	2413	Stern, Marvin	19	73.7%	4.00	4.00	4.29	3.79	4.07	3.93	4.01	4.71	4.50	4.57	4.36	4.79	4.64	4.60
SSC	2413	Vogt, Philip	48	60.4%	3.90	4.21	4.03	3.34	4.07	3.79	3.90	4.59	4.10	4.14	3.52	4.31	3.93	4.10
SSC	2413	Wilhelm, Stephanie	19	63.2%	4.33	4.42	4.50	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.39	4.75	4.58	4.58	4.42	4.58	4.42	4.56
SSC	2423	Barrett, Jason	14	50.0%	4.57	4.57	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.29	4.50	4.71	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.57	4.71	4.67
SSC	2423	Mansour, Malinda	33	57.6%	4.42	4.42	4.37	4.37	4.26	4.32	4.36	4.68	4.58	4.61	4.53	4.74	4.53	4.60
SSC	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	18	55.6%	4.20	4.40	4.33	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.22	4.50	4.30	4.20	4.20	4.20	4.30	4.28
SSC	2423	Sledge, James	27	81.5%	4.77	4.82	4.77	4.68	4.77	4.77	4.77	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.91	4.95	4.95	4.92
SSC	2423	Stern, Marvin	32	78.1%	3.52	3.72	3.72	3.24	3.44	3.36	3.50	4.12	3.32	3.68	3.44	4.36	3.44	3.73
SSC	2423	Wirth, Daniel	12	100.0%	4.58	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.33	4.50	4.56	4.83	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.58	4.58	4.63
SSC	2423	Yezbick, Denise	11	54.5%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.31	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
SSC	3383	Kler, Marlene	15	80.0%	4.58	4.50	4.58	4.50	4.42	4.50	4.51	4.58	4.58	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.64
SSC	3433	Stern, Marvin	22	63.6%	3.57	3.50	3.50	3.57	3.71	3.36	3.54	4.14	3.43	3.86	3.57	4.36	3.50	3.81
SSC	3613	Shargel, Daniel	20	55.0%	4.27	3.82	4.09	3.73	3.91	3.82	3.94	4.55	4.09	4.36	4.09	4.45	4.18	4.29
SSC	3723	Wirth, Daniel	18	94.4%	4.35	4.47	4.53	4.24	4.47	4.29	4.39	4.65	4.41	4.24	4.12	4.35	4.24	4.33
TAS	1033	Nelson, Robert	11	36.4%	3.25	3.50	4.00	3.25	3.00	3.50	3.42	4.50	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
TAS	3034	Giese, Dieter	7	85.7%	3.83	4.40	4.33	3.83	3.67	3.67	3.95	4.83	4.33	4.83	4.17	4.67	4.67	4.58
TAS	3043	Blau, Ben	8	87.5%	4.57	4.00	4.00	3.86	4.00	4.14	4.10	4.86	4.43	4.14	3.86	4.43	4.57	4.38
TAS	3053	Blau, Ben	8	75.0%	4.83	3.83	4.33	4.17	4.33	4.33	4.31	4.50	4.00	4.33	3.83	4.33	4.33	4.22
TAS	4103	Giese, Dieter	7	100.0%	3.43	3.67	3.67	3.33	3.14	3.57	3.48	4.14	3.86	4.29	3.86	4.14	4.14	4.07

Spring 2015 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor						Instructor Average	
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.		Overall, the instructor was effective.
TAS	4142	Breest, Christopher	9	77.8%	4.43	4.00	4.14	4.57	4.14	4.29	4.26	4.29	4.00	4.14	4.29	4.43	4.29	4.24
TCE	1023	Hammond, Jason	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.83
TCE	3013	Hammond, Jason	7	71.4%	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	5.00	4.80	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
TCE	3033	Naida, Christopher	11	100.0%	4.73	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.55	4.64	4.62	5.00	4.73	4.82	4.82	4.91	4.73	4.83
TCE	3093	Kuziak, William	8	87.5%	3.43	3.71	3.71	3.43	2.71	3.00	3.33	4.00	3.43	3.29	3.71	3.71	3.00	3.52
TCE	3123	Carnovale, Frank	15	80.0%	4.50	4.42	4.67	4.25	4.50	4.67	4.50	5.00	4.58	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.83	4.74
TCE	4133	Habba, Faris	6	100.0%	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
TEE	2053	Power, Joseph	10	50.0%	3.40	4.60	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.80	3.90	4.60	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.20	3.80	4.10
TEE	3103	Cook, Kenneth	15	73.3%	4.55	4.36	4.18	4.36	3.91	4.45	4.30	4.82	4.36	4.60	4.55	4.82	4.45	4.59
TEE	4214	Power, Joseph	10	90.0%	3.89	4.22	4.33	4.11	4.22	4.33	4.19	4.33	4.22	4.44	4.56	4.78	4.44	4.46
TIE	3063	Cuper, Jerry	9	77.8%	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.79	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.86	4.76
TIE	3163	Abro, Sabah	15	80.0%	4.58	4.42	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.67	4.58	4.67	4.58	4.57
TIE	3203	Kelso, Anthony	11	81.8%	4.78	4.78	4.78	4.67	4.44	4.67	4.69	4.67	4.67	4.89	4.89	4.78	4.78	4.78
TIE	4115	Cook, Kenneth	9	88.9%	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.42	4.75	4.50	4.63	4.38	4.75	4.75	4.63
TIE	4115	Swain, James	5	60.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.67	4.33	4.17
TME	1023	Cuper, Jerry	18	44.4%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.63	4.52	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.48
TME	3204	Shell, Brian	9	100.0%	4.67	4.56	4.11	4.67	4.33	4.22	4.43	4.33	4.67	4.89	4.78	4.67	4.44	4.63
TME	4103	Kelso, Anthony	4	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25	4.25
TME	4113	Mullin, Thomas	9	88.9%	4.13	3.50	3.88	3.88	3.50	3.63	3.75	4.38	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.38	4.42
TME	4343	Abro, Sabah	6	83.3%	4.80	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.90
Grand Total			11,424	64.7%	4.21	4.21	4.25	4.14	4.13	4.13	4.18	4.46	4.21	4.32	4.25	4.38	4.27	4.31