

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| Abbas, Nawal | ESL | 222 | 3 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Abela, John | ARC | 5824 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.33 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.54 |
| Abela, John | ARC | 6833 | 8 | 62.5% | 5.00 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.57 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.77 |
| Abro, Sabah | TIE | 5013 | 11 | 54.5% | 4.83 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.69 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.81 |
| Abro, Sabah | TME | 3333 | 25 | 92.0% | 4.30 | 4.17 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.22 | 4.43 | 4.04 | 4.26 | 4.26 | 4.35 | 4.26 | 4.27 |
| Abro, Sabah | TME | 4343 | 17 | 70.6% | 4.83 | 4.75 | 4.92 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| AbuSeir, Zain | ARC | 2813 | 10 | 60.0% | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.83 | 3.67 | 4.17 | 3.67 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.17 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.17 | 3.36 |
| Adhya, Anirban | ARC | 3126 | 10 | 60.0% | 3.50 | 4.17 | 3.83 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.33 | 3.64 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 4.17 | 3.83 | 3.97 |
| Adhya, Anirban | ARC | 5782 | 6 | 66.7% | 3.50 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 3.71 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.83 |
| Ahmadi, Parviz | PHY | 2413 | 17 | 52.9% | 4.11 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.56 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.89 | 4.44 | 4.22 | 4.13 |
| Al Hamando, Mazin | MCS | 3543 | 12 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.31 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.58 |
| Al Hamando, Mazin | MCS | 3603 | 14 | 64.3% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.72 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.76 |
| Al Hamando, Mazin | MCS | 5013 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| AlGhamdi, Ali | EEE | 2111 | 5 | 80.0% | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.63 |
| AlGhamdi, Ali | EEE | 2123 | 32 | 62.5% | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.50 | 3.55 | 3.40 | 3.25 | 3.48 | 3.45 | 3.15 | 3.20 | 3.45 | 3.47 | 3.00 | 3.27 |
| Ali, Mahdi | EEE | 5444 | 15 | 66.7% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.30 | 4.60 | 4.57 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.65 |
| Ali, Sk | EIE | 5983 | 52 | 57.7% | 3.63 | 4.03 | 4.07 | 3.83 | 3.87 | 3.93 | 3.89 | 4.10 | 3.87 | 4.17 | 4.03 | 4.03 | 4.07 | 4.04 |
| Allegretto, Gianluca | EME | 5983 | 14 | 50.0% | 4.14 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 3.86 | 4.14 | 4.29 | 4.17 | 4.43 | 4.14 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.38 |
| Allen, Victor | MBA | 7063 | 23 | 95.7% | 4.64 | 4.27 | 4.36 | 4.32 | 4.18 | 4.09 | 4.31 | 4.59 | 4.41 | 4.55 | 4.23 | 4.45 | 4.32 | 4.42 |
| Allen, Victor | MBA | 7173 | 22 | 95.5% | 4.62 | 4.57 | 4.67 | 4.62 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.60 | 4.62 | 4.57 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.43 | 4.52 | 4.52 |
| Almstead, Blake | ART | 4513 | 8 | 62.5% | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.77 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.13 |
| Altschul, Dustin | ARC | 4813 | 5 | 40.0% | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.08 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.58 |
| Altschul, Dustin | ARC | 4843 | 3 | 100.0% | 3.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.61 |
| Alwerfalli, Daw | EIE | 3023 | 2 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Alwerfalli, Daw | EME | 3023 | 27 | 85.2% | 3.91 | 4.17 | 3.87 | 3.91 | 3.87 | 3.91 | 3.94 | 4.74 | 4.22 | 4.39 | 4.22 | 4.57 | 4.26 | 4.40 |
| Alwerfalli, Daw | EME | 4313 | 39 | 89.7% | 4.34 | 4.37 | 4.26 | 4.40 | 4.17 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.66 | 4.43 | 4.49 | 4.40 | 4.63 | 4.54 | 4.52 |
| Alwerfalli, Daw | EMS | 6703 | 32 | 81.3% | 4.65 | 4.85 | 4.72 | 4.77 | 4.69 | 4.85 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.77 | 4.77 | 4.69 | 4.77 | 4.81 | 4.78 |
| Alyass, Kussiy | MCS | 1224 | 9 | 44.4% | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.38 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.42 |
| Alyass, Kussiy | MCS | 3403 | 17 | 70.6% | 3.18 | 3.50 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.00 | 3.08 | 3.41 | 3.83 | 2.92 | 3.82 | 3.83 | 3.25 | 3.08 | 3.44 |
| Anderanin, Paula | MCO | 3623 | 16 | 68.8% | 4.45 | 4.80 | 4.82 | 4.64 | 4.55 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.82 | 4.64 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.55 | 4.70 |
| Anneberg, Lisa | EEE | 3221 | 26 | 42.3% | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.45 | 4.55 | 4.42 |
| Anneberg, Lisa | EEE | 3223 | 23 | 39.1% | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.22 | 4.00 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.41 |
| Anneberg, Lisa | EEE | 5204 | 9 | 44.4% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Anneberg, Lisa | EEE | 4273 | 24 | 41.7% | 3.60 | 3.70 | 4.00 | 3.70 | 3.60 | 3.70 | 3.72 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.70 | 4.20 | 4.80 | 4.10 | 4.38 |
| Annis-Alajaj, Nicole | ARC | 3423 | 15 | 40.0% | 4.33 | 3.83 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.19 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 |
| Annis-Alajaj, Nicole | ARC | 6884 | 10 | 80.0% | 3.17 | 3.33 | 3.67 | 3.00 | 3.20 | 3.40 | 3.29 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 3.38 |
| Annis-Alajaj, Nicole | ARC | 6883 | 21 | 76.2% | 2.44 | 2.88 | 2.63 | 2.13 | 2.25 | 2.19 | 2.42 | 2.56 | 2.44 | 2.63 | 2.44 | 2.81 | 2.31 | 2.53 |
| Appleby, Lavetta | CHM | 1213 | 69 | 63.8% | 4.14 | 4.16 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 3.98 | 3.93 | 4.10 | 4.39 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.36 | 4.23 | 4.11 | 4.23 |
| Arlinghaus, Frank | MCS | 1424 | 24 | 50.0% | 3.83 | 3.75 | 3.58 | 3.67 | 3.58 | 3.82 | 3.69 | 3.92 | 2.75 | 2.92 | 3.17 | 3.18 | 2.83 | 3.12 |
| Arlinghaus, Frank | MCS | 2423 | 32 | 43.8% | 3.93 | 4.00 | 4.36 | 3.93 | 3.93 | 4.14 | 4.05 | 4.50 | 3.93 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 3.79 | 3.93 | 4.00 |
| Arslan, Selin | EME | 4013 | 37 | 59.5% | 4.68 | 4.64 | 4.68 | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4.68 | 4.64 | 4.82 | 4.64 | 4.82 | 4.71 | 4.77 | 4.77 | 4.75 |
| Ashton, Marshall | GAM | 3013 | 2 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Ashton, Marshall | GAM | 3993 | 6 | 83.3% | 1.80 | 2.33 | 3.20 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.60 | 1.99 | 3.60 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 1.80 | 2.60 | 2.20 | 2.53 |
| Ashton, Marshall | GAM | 3313 | 12 | 33.3% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.67 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| | | | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| Ashton, Marshall | GAM | 4524 | 5 | 80.0% | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 3.96 |
| Ashton, Marshall | GAM | 2133 | 13 | 53.8% | 4.00 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 3.00 | 4.14 | 4.43 | 4.07 | 4.71 | 3.86 | 4.29 | 3.57 | 4.29 | 4.14 | 4.14 |
| Avdoulos, John | ARC | 4126 | 16 | 62.5% | 3.70 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.65 | 3.70 | 3.10 | 3.60 | 3.30 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.35 |
| Aviles, Gladys | CHM | 2323 | 11 | 90.9% | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 4.32 | 4.70 | 4.40 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.65 |
| Azar, Ghassan | MCS | 1514 | 31 | 67.7% | 3.86 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 3.81 | 3.67 | 3.57 | 3.79 | 4.24 | 3.90 | 4.00 | 3.76 | 4.10 | 3.95 | 3.99 |
| Azar, Ghassan | MCS | 2514 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.25 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.17 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.21 |
| Baker, Brian | IDD | 3723 | 19 | 36.8% | 4.71 | 4.43 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.86 | 4.43 | 4.64 | 5.00 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 5.00 | 4.71 | 4.88 |
| Bandara, Nishantha | ECE | 5813 | 26 | 96.2% | 4.64 | 4.46 | 4.56 | 4.52 | 4.32 | 4.48 | 4.50 | 4.64 | 4.48 | 4.44 | 4.48 | 4.60 | 4.56 | 4.53 |
| Bandara, Nishantha | ECE | 1413 | 17 | 88.2% | 3.93 | 4.20 | 3.87 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.07 | 3.96 | 3.53 | 3.60 | 3.87 | 3.67 | 3.87 | 3.53 | 3.68 |
| Bandara, Nishantha | ECE | 4012 | 3 | 33.3% | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 3.83 | | | | | | | |
| Bauer, Glen | MCS | 2423 | 25 | 76.0% | 4.79 | 4.68 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.58 | 4.68 | 4.70 | 4.95 | 4.89 | 4.95 | 4.89 | 4.84 | 4.89 | 4.90 |
| Bebawy, Mena | ARC | 6884 | 10 | 80.0% | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.67 | 3.50 | 3.67 | 3.83 | 3.72 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.63 |
| Bebawy, Mena | ECE | 1102 | 24 | 79.2% | 4.05 | 3.89 | 4.05 | 3.58 | 4.05 | 3.89 | 3.92 | 4.37 | 3.84 | 4.11 | 3.84 | 3.58 | 3.79 | 3.92 |
| Bebawy, Mena | ECE | 3013 | 15 | 93.3% | 4.36 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.21 | 4.50 | 4.43 | 4.42 | 4.79 | 4.36 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.50 | 4.64 | 4.57 |
| Bebawy, Mena | ECE | 4761 | 14 | 92.9% | 3.92 | 3.83 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.92 | 3.85 | 3.92 | 4.46 | 4.31 | 4.38 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.15 | 4.22 |
| Bebawy, Mena | ECE | 5703 | 13 | 92.3% | 4.42 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.38 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.58 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.58 | 4.53 |
| Bebawy, Mena | ECE | 5763 | 26 | 88.5% | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.52 | 4.39 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.51 | 4.61 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.43 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.51 |
| Bejo, Irsida | ARC | 3126 | 10 | 50.0% | 3.40 | 3.20 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.20 | 3.33 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.43 |
| Bejo, Irsida | ARC | 5013 | 11 | 54.5% | 3.80 | 3.20 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 3.67 | 4.60 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.33 |
| Bell-Huff, Cristi | EGE | 2123 | 15 | 60.0% | 4.33 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 4.11 | 3.56 | 4.11 | 3.96 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.54 |
| Beyer, Cameron | MCS | 1142 | 5 | 60.0% | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.00 | 3.67 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.50 |
| Beyer, Cameron | MCS | 1514 | 12 | 66.7% | 3.63 | 3.63 | 3.38 | 3.38 | 3.88 | 3.63 | 3.58 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 3.88 | 3.63 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 3.71 |
| Blau, Ben | TAS | 3043 | 12 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 4.89 |
| Blau, Ben | TAS | 3053 | 8 | 62.5% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 3.80 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.47 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.57 |
| Blendowski, Aaron | ARC | 2126 | 13 | 30.8% | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.17 |
| Blendowski, Aaron | ARC | 5824 | 13 | 38.5% | 4.00 | 4.20 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.97 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.93 |
| Bodurow, Constance | ARC | 4116 | 6 | 33.3% | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.58 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.83 |
| Bodurow, Constance | ARC | 5423 | 11 | 36.4% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.54 |
| Bohde, Matthew | ARC | 5913 | 9 | 33.3% | 3.00 | 2.00 | 3.33 | 4.00 | 3.33 | 2.33 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.22 |
| Booker, Zsa-Zsa | LDR | 2001 | 16 | 43.8% | 3.86 | 3.57 | 3.86 | 3.71 | 3.57 | 3.86 | 3.74 | 3.71 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 3.71 | 4.00 | 3.71 | 3.83 |
| Bozin, Keith | PHY | 1154 | 38 | 71.1% | 2.74 | 2.52 | 3.00 | 2.93 | 2.78 | 2.48 | 2.74 | 3.63 | 2.41 | 2.74 | 2.89 | 3.33 | 2.37 | 2.90 |
| Breest, Christopher | TAS | 4103 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 |
| Breest, Christopher | TAS | 4142 | 3 | 100.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.06 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Brinker, Ludger | LLT | 1223 | 20 | 95.0% | 4.58 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 4.42 | 4.47 | 4.47 | 4.55 | 4.84 | 4.68 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.79 | 4.68 | 4.69 |
| Brinker, Ludger | LLT | 3453 | 19 | 73.7% | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 3.71 | 4.00 | 3.93 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 3.93 | 4.50 | 3.71 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.23 |
| Brodermann, Caroline | COM | 0075 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.47 | 4.33 | 4.20 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.33 | 4.39 |
| Brown, David | MCS | 3683 | 5 | 40.0% | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Brown, David | MCS | 4613 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.46 |
| Brown, Tiffany | ARC | 4116 | 14 | 35.7% | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.93 | 4.20 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 3.25 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 3.77 |
| Browne, Warren | ECN | 5011T | 25 | 52.0% | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.32 | 4.42 | 4.31 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.23 | 4.46 | 4.29 |
| Browne, Warren | ECN | 5021T | 26 | 46.2% | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.47 | 4.83 | 4.58 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.58 | 4.58 |
| Bukaita, Wisam | ARC | 2513 | 16 | 93.8% | 4.27 | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4.07 | 3.73 | 3.80 | 4.06 | 4.73 | 4.07 | 4.93 | 4.47 | 4.73 | 4.47 | 4.57 |
| Bukaita, Wisam | MCS | 3324 | 5 | 100.0% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.20 | 4.41 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.75 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.58 |
| Bush, Mary | ART | 2413 | 13 | 76.9% | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.47 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.70 | 4.30 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.58 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Coy, Steven | ARC | 6003 | 4 | 50.0% | 2.50 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.17 | 4.00 | 2.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Coy, Steven | ART | 2993 | 15 | 53.3% | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.67 |
| Coy, Steven | ART | 3043 | 14 | 42.9% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.31 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 |
| Craig, Jack | ARI | 3113 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 5.00 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 |
| Craig, Jack | ART | 1133 | 15 | 53.3% | 3.88 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.15 | 4.88 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 3.50 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.33 |
| Craig, Brian | LDR | 2001 | 20 | 45.0% | 4.22 | 4.22 | 3.78 | 4.11 | 4.00 | 3.89 | 4.04 | 4.67 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.39 |
| Craig, Brian | LDR | 3000 | 198 | 53.0% | 3.94 | 3.76 | 3.79 | 3.92 | 3.64 | 3.50 | 3.76 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 3.98 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 3.98 |
| Crandell, Breck | ARC | 1213 | 13 | 69.2% | 3.67 | 3.89 | 4.33 | 3.89 | 4.00 | 4.11 | 3.98 | 4.33 | 3.56 | 4.44 | 4.00 | 4.56 | 4.11 | 4.17 |
| Crandell, Breck | ARC | 5824 | 11 | 72.7% | 4.25 | 3.88 | 4.00 | 3.25 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.04 | 4.13 | 3.88 | 4.13 | 3.63 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.02 |
| Crosbie, Gary | EGE | 1023 | 24 | 91.7% | 4.32 | 4.41 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.09 | 4.05 | 4.33 | 4.82 | 4.32 | 4.14 | 4.32 | 4.45 | 4.18 | 4.37 |
| Crosbie, Gary | EME | 2011 | 15 | 46.7% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.07 | 4.86 | 3.71 | 3.57 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 3.57 | 4.05 |
| Crowley, Joseph | ATD | 2826 | 6 | 66.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Crum, Lilian | ART | 2523 | 14 | 64.3% | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.83 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 5.00 | 4.89 | 4.83 |
| Crum, Lilian | ART | 3993 | 4 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.58 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.67 |
| Crum, Lilian | ART | 4524 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Crum, Lilian | ART | 4622 | 15 | 60.0% | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.54 |
| Crum, Lilian | ART | 4624 | 2 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Crutcher, Kenneth | ARC | 1223 | 16 | 50.0% | 2.71 | 2.86 | 2.71 | 3.00 | 2.29 | 2.43 | 2.67 | 3.14 | 2.71 | 2.17 | 2.71 | 2.86 | 2.29 | 2.68 |
| Cuper, Jerry | TIE | 2093 | 25 | 88.0% | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.73 | 4.82 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.83 | 4.77 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.77 | 4.73 | 4.81 |
| Cuper, Jerry | TIE | 3063 | 16 | 81.3% | 4.85 | 4.92 | 5.00 | 4.92 | 4.85 | 4.92 | 4.91 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.92 |
| Cuper, Jerry | TME | 1023 | 19 | 84.2% | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.69 | 4.63 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.52 | 4.63 | 4.69 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.69 | 4.65 |
| Cuper, Jerry | TME | 4413 | 5 | 80.0% | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.92 |
| Dabkowski, Michael | MCS | 2414 | 28 | 53.6% | 4.27 | 4.07 | 3.93 | 4.27 | 4.07 | 3.87 | 4.08 | 4.80 | 4.53 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.87 | 4.20 | 4.56 |
| Dabkowski, Michael | MCS | 3111 | 3 | 66.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Dabkowski, Michael | MCS | 4111 | 5 | 100.0% | 4.60 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.77 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Danielson-Francois, Serge | COM | 2103 | 18 | 55.6% | 4.00 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.10 | 4.22 | 4.05 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.70 | 4.40 | 4.57 |
| Daubmann, Karl | ARC | 5824 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 3.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.00 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.69 |
| Dawson, Andrew | ATD | 2826 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.58 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Dawson, Andrew | ATD | 1924 | 10 | 40.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.92 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.21 |
| Dawson, Andrew | IDD | 1124 | 7 | 28.6% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Dawson, Andrew | IDD | 2226 | 7 | 14.3% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Dean, Walter | CHM | 1221 | 17 | 70.6% | 4.17 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.08 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.58 | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.32 |
| Dechard, Elise | ARC | 2126 | 13 | 15.4% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 |
| Delogu, Franco | PSY | 1213 | 19 | 52.6% | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 4.40 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.83 |
| Delogu, Franco | PSY | 3223 | 10 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 3.80 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.93 |
| Delogu, Franco | PSY | 3713 | 20 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.10 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.12 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.13 |
| Deo, Purushottam | ECE | 5353 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 |
| DeRose, Giuseppe | EME | 5433 | 27 | 55.6% | 4.53 | 4.60 | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.60 | 4.53 | 4.56 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.63 |
| Deska, Thomas | MGT | 3033 | 9 | 33.3% | 4.67 | 3.67 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 |
| DiLaura, Lenard | ARI | 3123 | 10 | 90.0% | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.74 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.83 |
| DiLaura, Lenard | ARI | 4922 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 |
| Dilaura, Vicki | ART | 1133 | 20 | 70.0% | 4.50 | 4.29 | 4.36 | 4.64 | 4.57 | 4.50 | 4.43 | 4.64 | 4.29 | 4.64 | 4.21 | 4.64 | 4.71 | 4.52 |
| Dillard, Terrance | INT | 4013 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.17 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.67 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Dillon, Colleen | COM | 2103 | 20 | 85.0% | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4.29 | 4.47 | 4.59 | 4.47 | 4.50 | 4.65 | 4.71 | 4.59 | 4.53 | 4.71 | 4.53 | 4.62 |
| Driedger, Mark | EAE | 4024 | 6 | 100.0% | 3.20 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.20 | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.47 | 3.20 | 2.80 | 3.80 | 2.80 | 4.00 | 3.40 | 3.33 |
| Duranceau, Claudia | LDR | 2001 | 18 | 66.7% | 4.42 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.25 | 4.42 | 4.39 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.57 |
| Duranceau, Claudia | LDR | 4000 | 81 | 64.2% | 3.69 | 3.63 | 3.56 | 3.71 | 3.48 | 3.04 | 3.52 | 4.06 | 3.98 | 4.16 | 4.04 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 4.04 |
| Eash, Matthew | ATD | 3726 | 10 | 40.0% | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.92 |
| El-Bathy, Mohammed | MCS | 4663 | 16 | 75.0% | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.26 | 4.36 | 3.75 | 4.18 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 4.17 | 4.17 |
| El-Bathy, Mohammed | MCS | 5703 | 3 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| El-Essawi, Mohamed | ECE | 3523 | 8 | 87.5% | 4.00 | 3.71 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 4.05 | 4.71 | 3.71 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.00 | 4.24 |
| English, Derek | ATD | 2826 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| English, Derek | ATD | 1924 | 10 | 20.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.83 |
| English, Derek | IDD | 1124 | 7 | 28.6% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| English, Derek | IDD | 2226 | 7 | 28.6% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Eren, Serhat | EEM | 6763 | 20 | 80.0% | 4.63 | 4.69 | 4.63 | 4.69 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.52 |
| Eren, Serhat | EIE | 5983 | 19 | 94.7% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.39 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.45 | 4.72 | 4.61 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.72 | 4.67 | 4.65 |
| Evans Mach, Patrick | INT | 7213 | 19 | 94.7% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.35 | 4.06 | 4.44 | 4.35 | 4.44 | 4.28 | 4.76 | 4.39 | 4.71 | 4.50 | 4.48 |
| Evans, Bryce | GAM | 2313 | 12 | 33.3% | 3.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.21 |
| Evans, Bryce | GAM | 3143 | 6 | 83.3% | 5.00 | 4.60 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.97 |
| Evans, Bryce | GAM | 3413 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.94 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Evans, Karen | COM | 2443 | 13 | 69.2% | 4.75 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.47 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.41 |
| Evans, Karen | MBA | 5061T | 19 | 36.8% | 4.71 | 4.86 | 4.71 | 4.44 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.74 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.67 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.83 |
| Fadel-Bazzi, Nadia | MGT | 2113 | 21 | 47.6% | 4.50 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.38 | 4.60 | 4.10 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.37 |
| Faoro, Daniel | ARC | 2513 | 14 | 57.1% | 4.13 | 3.88 | 4.13 | 3.63 | 3.75 | 4.14 | 3.94 | 4.75 | 3.63 | 4.38 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 4.25 | 4.04 |
| Faoro, Daniel | ARC | 4126 | 16 | 62.5% | 4.10 | 4.20 | 4.30 | 3.40 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.08 | 4.70 | 4.10 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.33 |
| Faoro, Daniel | ARC | 4543 | 11 | 72.7% | 3.63 | 3.63 | 3.63 | 3.50 | 3.38 | 3.38 | 3.52 | 4.38 | 3.63 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 3.98 |
| Farlow, Mark | ARC | 5824 | 7 | 100.0% | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.83 | 4.86 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.90 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Farrehi, Khashayar | MCS | 3663 | 7 | 42.9% | 3.67 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 3.67 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.17 |
| Farrehi, Khashayar | MCS | 4653 | 9 | 55.6% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.20 | 4.40 |
| Faulkner, Ty | COM | 1001 | 17 | 29.4% | 3.80 | 4.20 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.50 | 3.80 | 4.17 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.81 |
| Faulkner, Ty | LDR | 2001 | 33 | 51.5% | 4.47 | 4.47 | 4.35 | 4.47 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.36 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.76 | 4.65 | 4.88 | 4.65 | 4.71 |
| Fawaz, Remie | BIO | 1153 | 9 | 77.8% | 3.43 | 3.43 | 3.57 | 3.14 | 3.43 | 3.33 | 3.38 | 3.86 | 2.57 | 3.29 | 3.29 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.17 |
| Fawcett, David | MCS | 2534 | 23 | 43.5% | 4.50 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.80 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.59 | 4.90 | 4.78 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.77 |
| Fawcett, David | MCS | 5993 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.46 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 |
| Feehan, Benje | ARC | 5824 | 6 | 83.3% | 3.40 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 2.60 | 3.20 | 3.80 | 3.47 | 4.40 | 3.20 | 3.80 | 3.00 | 4.40 | 3.80 | 3.77 |
| Fernandez, Vernon | EGE | 1102 | 26 | 53.8% | 4.00 | 4.29 | 4.14 | 3.79 | 3.93 | 4.14 | 4.05 | 3.79 | 3.79 | 3.69 | 3.86 | 3.79 | 3.71 | 3.75 |
| Fernandez, Vernon | EME | 4402 | 52 | 53.8% | 3.25 | 3.46 | 3.82 | 3.15 | 3.46 | 3.36 | 3.41 | 3.68 | 3.00 | 3.29 | 3.00 | 3.54 | 3.07 | 3.26 |
| Fernandez, Vernon | EME | 6213 | 37 | 62.2% | 4.35 | 4.57 | 4.39 | 4.39 | 4.43 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.43 | 4.35 | 4.39 | 4.35 | 4.57 | 4.39 | 4.41 |
| Finkelman, Louis | LLT | 1213 | 38 | 31.6% | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.53 | 4.67 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.67 | 4.68 |
| Finlay, Suzanne | ESL | 542 | 4 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.92 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Finlay, Suzanne | ESL | 142 | 4 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.67 |
| Fletcher, Robert | EME | 1011 | 66 | 57.6% | 4.00 | 4.05 | 4.11 | 3.89 | 3.97 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.26 | 3.74 | 3.87 | 3.76 | 4.21 | 3.92 | 3.96 |
| Fletcher, Robert | EME | 5983 | 30 | 50.0% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.73 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.66 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.93 | 4.73 | 4.79 |
| Floyd, Stacha | COM | 2103 | 38 | 60.5% | 4.17 | 3.83 | 4.22 | 3.96 | 3.61 | 3.91 | 3.95 | 4.30 | 4.09 | 4.22 | 4.04 | 4.09 | 4.09 | 4.14 |
| Frasch, Lewis | EGE | 3003 | 11 | 54.5% | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.17 | 4.83 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.50 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|------|
| | | | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average | |
| Frenkel, Cindy | LLT | 1223 | 17 | 29.4% | 3.80 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.27 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 |
| Fry, Jennifer | COM | 2103 | 19 | 47.4% | 4.44 | 4.11 | 4.44 | 4.00 | 4.22 | 3.89 | 4.19 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.33 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.13 |
| Gaber, Jody | LDR | 2001 | 36 | 66.7% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.21 | 4.33 | 3.92 | 3.83 | 4.16 | 4.17 | 4.46 | 4.42 | 4.38 | 4.54 | 4.29 | 4.38 | 4.38 |
| Gaber, Jody | MCO | 1003 | 12 | 33.3% | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.21 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.54 |
| Gaber, Jody | MCO | 3913 | 6 | 33.3% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Gaber, Jody | MCO | 4933 | 6 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.94 |
| Gaffka, Douglas | ATD | 4526 | 8 | 37.5% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.33 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Gerhart, Andrew | EME | 3123 | 31 | 64.5% | 4.60 | 4.65 | 4.60 | 4.65 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.62 | 4.75 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.71 |
| Gerhart, Andrew | EME | 4412 | 34 | 64.7% | 4.68 | 4.73 | 4.55 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.67 | 4.65 | 4.86 | 4.82 | 4.77 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 4.82 |
| Ghobrial, Rimon | ATD | 3726 | 10 | 40.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.96 |
| Ghoddoussi, Farhad | PHY | 2213 | 21 | 47.6% | 4.20 | 4.22 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.24 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Ghoddoussi, Farhad | PHY | 2221 | 11 | 63.6% | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.14 | 4.43 | 4.36 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.29 | 4.40 | 4.40 |
| Ghoddoussi, Farhad | PHY | 2231 | 17 | 70.6% | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.39 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.58 | 4.53 | 4.53 |
| Ghoddoussi, Farhad | PHY | 2421 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.79 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.46 | 4.46 |
| Gladyshev, Sergey | EEE | 4133 | 4 | 25.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Gladyshev, Sergey | EEE | 5134 | 2 | 100.0% | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.58 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Gordon, Aviva | COM | 1103 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.61 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.81 | 4.81 |
| Gould, Aaron | ATD | 3726 | 10 | 40.0% | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.92 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.88 |
| Graf, Benjamin | IDD | 2223 | 7 | 28.6% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Grant, Janice | ARC | 2843 | 12 | 41.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.97 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.97 | 4.97 |
| Grant, Janice | ARC | 5023 | 9 | 66.7% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.75 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.94 | 4.94 |
| Grant, Lindsey | IDD | 2226 | 7 | 28.6% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Grembi, Jason | INT | 5024 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.78 |
| Grevenmeyer, Bryce | TEE | 3103 | 12 | 33.3% | 4.25 | 4.67 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.36 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Gyure, Dale | ARC | 3623 | 29 | 69.0% | 4.35 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.25 | 4.10 | 4.15 | 4.24 | 4.75 | 4.55 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.53 | 4.53 |
| Gyure, Dale | ARC | 4183 | 26 | 57.7% | 4.13 | 4.00 | 3.87 | 3.73 | 3.93 | 3.93 | 3.93 | 4.67 | 4.27 | 4.07 | 3.93 | 4.33 | 4.27 | 4.26 | 4.26 |
| Haam, Songho | ARC | 1223 | 29 | 51.7% | 4.87 | 4.73 | 4.80 | 4.67 | 4.73 | 4.80 | 4.77 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.67 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| Haam, Songho | ARC | 2126 | 13 | 53.8% | 4.14 | 4.50 | 4.57 | 4.14 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.39 | 4.43 | 4.14 | 4.57 | 4.29 | 4.86 | 4.43 | 4.45 | 4.45 |
| Habba, Faris | ARC | 3513 | 13 | 100.0% | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.25 | 4.46 | 4.37 | 4.69 | 4.62 | 4.69 | 4.38 | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.63 | 4.63 |
| Hackett, Daniel | MCO | 4073 | 10 | 40.0% | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram | EGE | 2013 | 29 | 65.5% | 3.74 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.84 | 3.68 | 3.74 | 3.83 | 3.74 | 3.42 | 3.37 | 3.58 | 3.89 | 3.53 | 3.59 | 3.59 |
| Haghi-Tabrizi, Shahram | EME | 3013 | 18 | 33.3% | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.47 | 4.17 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Hakimzadeh, Roya | EEE | 5923 | 9 | 55.6% | 4.60 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.87 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.77 | 4.77 |
| Hall Sturgis, Stephanie | COM | 1103 | 12 | 58.3% | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.71 |
| Hall, Alan | ARC | 2843 | 11 | 100.0% | 4.18 | 4.09 | 4.36 | 4.09 | 4.00 | 4.27 | 4.17 | 4.45 | 4.27 | 4.20 | 4.09 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.28 | 4.28 |
| Hambir, Tesfu | TME | 3333 | 12 | 33.3% | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.38 |
| Hammond, Jason | TCE | 3013 | 14 | 57.1% | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.85 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 |
| Hammond, Jason | TCE | 3123 | 13 | 61.5% | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Hanna, Vance | ART | 2113 | 10 | 80.0% | 3.13 | 3.38 | 3.25 | 2.63 | 3.75 | 3.38 | 3.25 | 4.00 | 3.13 | 3.71 | 3.00 | 4.13 | 3.38 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Hanzel, Andrew | ATD | 4526 | 8 | 37.5% | 1.67 | 2.00 | 2.33 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.33 | 1.74 | 2.33 | 1.67 | 2.67 | 1.67 | 3.00 | 1.33 | 2.11 | 2.11 |
| Hanzel, Andrew | ATD | 3626 | 6 | 83.3% | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.60 | 1.40 | 1.80 | 1.20 | 1.47 | 1.80 | 1.40 | 1.80 | 1.20 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| Harmala, John | ARC | 5913 | 22 | 72.7% | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.06 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.23 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.06 | 4.19 | 4.38 | 4.13 | 4.28 | 4.28 |
| Harmala, John | ARC | 5952 | 10 | 50.0% | 3.80 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 4.20 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.67 | 3.67 |
| Helterhoff, Holly | COM | 2103 | 60 | 60.0% | 4.39 | 4.22 | 4.42 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.34 | 4.31 | 4.61 | 4.47 | 4.58 | 4.31 | 4.64 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| | | | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| Hennebury, Deirdre | ARC | 3613 | 24 | 54.2% | 4.46 | 4.62 | 4.46 | 4.54 | 4.31 | 4.08 | 4.41 | 4.77 | 4.62 | 4.77 | 4.54 | 4.77 | 4.54 | 4.67 |
| Hennebury, Deirdre | ARC | 5643 | 12 | 66.7% | 4.13 | 3.50 | 4.13 | 3.88 | 4.25 | 3.88 | 3.96 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Henson, Susan | ECE | 2103 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| Henson, Susan | ECE | 4032 | 23 | 73.9% | 3.94 | 3.80 | 4.06 | 3.44 | 3.88 | 4.12 | 3.83 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.06 | 4.06 | 3.88 | 3.94 | 3.95 |
| Henson, Susan | EGE | 1001 | 21 | 95.2% | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 4.02 | 4.50 | 4.35 | 4.60 | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.43 |
| Hermez, Munther | TME | 3113 | 23 | 87.0% | 4.05 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 3.95 | 3.85 | 4.01 | 3.95 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 4.10 | 3.70 | 3.83 |
| Hermez, Munther | TME | 3204 | 17 | 70.6% | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 3.83 | 4.08 | 4.08 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.08 | 4.08 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.17 |
| Herzog, Ronald | ARC | 5942 | 10 | 70.0% | 4.57 | 4.71 | 4.43 | 4.14 | 4.57 | 4.43 | 4.48 | 4.86 | 4.71 | 4.57 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.86 | 4.74 |
| Heyne, David | IDD | 1124 | 7 | 28.6% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Hier, Michelle | EGE | 1001 | 22 | 54.5% | 4.42 | 4.17 | 4.42 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.31 | 4.33 | 4.25 | 4.42 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.42 | 4.36 |
| Hoekstra, Ross | ARC | 3126 | 11 | 81.8% | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.31 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.57 |
| Hoekstra, Ross | ARC | 5013 | 7 | 57.1% | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 4.08 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.46 |
| Hollancid, Cleran | SSC | 2423 | 19 | 47.4% | 2.33 | 3.11 | 2.67 | 2.44 | 2.44 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 3.22 | 2.22 | 1.67 | 2.00 | 3.11 | 1.44 | 2.28 |
| Hsiao, Shih-Hui | INT | 2103 | 15 | 60.0% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.56 | 4.22 | 4.44 | 4.11 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.43 |
| Hsiao, Shih-Hui | INT | 4423 | 10 | 50.0% | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 3.80 | 4.10 | 4.80 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.20 | 4.37 |
| Hsiao, Shih-Hui | INT | 6043 | 10 | 70.0% | 4.71 | 4.86 | 4.57 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.62 | 4.71 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.64 |
| Hua, Kun | EEE | 4423 | 25 | 48.0% | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.25 | 4.22 | 4.58 | 4.55 | 4.58 | 4.45 | 4.58 | 4.42 | 4.51 |
| Hua, Kun | EEE | 6784 | 27 | 63.0% | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.47 | 4.41 | 4.29 | 4.47 | 4.40 |
| Huacuja, Roberto | ATD | 3626 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.73 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.87 |
| Husseini, Ghassan | MCS | 2313 | 13 | 69.2% | 4.78 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.81 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.89 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.76 |
| Islam, Muhammad | EIE | 3753 | 8 | 75.0% | 4.67 | 4.33 | 3.83 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.19 |
| Jaber, Nabih | EEE | 3011 | 7 | 14.3% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Jaber, Nabih | EEE | 4822 | 16 | 43.8% | 3.71 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.86 | 3.86 | 3.79 | 4.00 | 3.86 | 4.14 | 4.00 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.10 |
| Jaber, Nabih | EEE | 5924 | 11 | 90.9% | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.80 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.63 |
| Jaber, Nabih | EEE | 4842 | 3 | 33.3% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 3.17 |
| Jackson, Alanna | SSC | 2423 | 38 | 55.3% | 3.90 | 4.10 | 4.05 | 3.67 | 3.60 | 3.75 | 3.80 | 4.43 | 3.86 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 4.38 | 4.05 | 4.15 |
| Jadoun, Naela | MCS | 0044 | 9 | 55.6% | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.00 | 3.20 | 3.37 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.20 | 3.20 | 3.40 |
| Jadoun, Naela | MCS | 1224 | 18 | 44.4% | 3.63 | 3.38 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.25 | 3.13 | 3.44 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.13 | 3.63 | 3.50 | 3.13 | 3.31 |
| Jammoussi, Hassene | EME | 4603 | 17 | 52.9% | 3.63 | 3.25 | 3.38 | 3.63 | 3.50 | 3.13 | 3.42 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.78 | 3.89 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.89 |
| Jausen, Paul | LLT | 1223 | 29 | 89.7% | 4.58 | 4.62 | 4.58 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.53 | 4.77 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.77 | 4.69 | 4.70 |
| Jausen, Paul | LLT | 4513 | 20 | 90.0% | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.50 | 4.28 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.41 | 4.72 | 4.50 | 4.72 | 4.56 | 4.72 | 4.67 | 4.65 |
| Jawad, Badih | EME | 6153 | 13 | 46.2% | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.81 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 |
| Jing, Wuming | EME | 1011 | 13 | 61.5% | 2.75 | 2.88 | 3.63 | 3.13 | 2.25 | 2.38 | 2.83 | 3.13 | 2.88 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.88 | 2.75 | 3.40 |
| Jing, Wuming | EME | 3013 | 14 | 50.0% | 4.14 | 3.86 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 4.00 | 3.71 | 3.29 | 3.29 | 3.52 |
| Jing, Wuming | EME | 3214 | 16 | 68.8% | 4.09 | 3.82 | 3.82 | 4.00 | 4.09 | 3.91 | 3.95 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.45 | 4.18 | 4.45 | 4.09 | 4.27 |
| Johnson, Rickey | MBA | 7063 | 10 | 90.0% | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 5.00 | 4.56 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.81 |
| Jolly, Katherine | LDR | 2001 | 19 | 84.2% | 3.94 | 3.69 | 3.94 | 4.00 | 3.63 | 3.56 | 3.79 | 3.43 | 3.63 | 3.40 | 3.56 | 3.69 | 3.50 | 3.54 |
| Jones, Aaron | ARC | 2126 | 13 | 15.4% | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.92 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.92 |
| Jones, Aaron | ARC | 5824 | 13 | 38.5% | 3.80 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.87 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 3.80 |
| Jones-Williams, Tawanesha | TCE | 4133 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.73 |
| Jovanovic, Julia | ARC | 4443 | 40 | 52.5% | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.35 | 4.45 | 4.42 | 4.65 | 4.50 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.54 |
| Kain, Samuel | ECE | 5243 | 12 | 91.7% | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.55 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.91 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.94 |
| Kana, Ayman | MCS | 1074 | 20 | 35.0% | 3.00 | 2.86 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 3.50 | 3.14 | 3.21 | 3.71 | 2.71 | 2.86 | 3.57 | 3.29 | 2.86 | 3.17 |
| Kana, Ayman | TCE | 3093 | 11 | 36.4% | 3.75 | 3.25 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.83 | 4.00 | 3.25 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.79 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Kangas, Daniel | ATD | 1924 | 10 | 50.0% | 4.60 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.60 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.83 |
| Kao, Vivian | COM | 1103 | 36 | 66.7% | 4.21 | 4.04 | 4.00 | 4.04 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.06 | 4.33 | 4.21 | 4.21 | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4.21 | 4.24 |
| Karatas, Aslihan | ECE | 3213 | 9 | 100.0% | 3.89 | 3.78 | 4.11 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.91 | 4.22 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.89 | 4.22 | 4.00 | 4.06 |
| Karatas, Aslihan | ECE | 5113 | 5 | 100.0% | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.67 |
| Karatas, Aslihan | ECE | 5223 | 13 | 100.0% | 4.69 | 4.31 | 4.54 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.42 | 4.54 | 4.31 | 4.38 | 4.23 | 4.54 | 4.31 | 4.38 |
| Karian, Harutun | PHY | 2221 | 9 | 88.9% | 3.25 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.63 | 3.75 | 3.72 | 3.00 | 2.38 | 2.38 | 2.88 | 3.25 | 2.25 | 2.69 |
| Karian, Harutun | PHY | 2421 | 10 | 80.0% | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.38 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 4.08 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.04 |
| Karim, Ahsanul | EIE | 3453 | 11 | 72.7% | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.54 |
| Kelly, Erin | ARC | 3126 | 10 | 60.0% | 3.83 | 4.00 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.86 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.17 | 3.83 | 4.17 | 3.83 | 4.03 |
| Kfoury, Giscard | EME | 5983 | 16 | 68.8% | 3.36 | 2.73 | 3.09 | 3.45 | 2.91 | 2.82 | 3.06 | 3.73 | 3.00 | 3.18 | 4.09 | 4.09 | 3.09 | 3.53 |
| Kfoury, Giscard | ERE | 2024 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Kfoury, Giscard | ERE | 3024 | 4 | 100.0% | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.38 |
| Khosrovaneh, Abolhass | EME | 4003 | 34 | 58.8% | 4.05 | 3.95 | 4.15 | 4.00 | 4.05 | 4.00 | 4.03 | 4.30 | 3.95 | 3.85 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.97 |
| Khosrovaneh, Abolhass | EME | 6113 | 8 | 87.5% | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.31 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.36 |
| Kijanka, Rob | IDD | 2226 | 7 | 28.6% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Kim, Joongsub | ARC | 5724 | 10 | 80.0% | 3.88 | 4.13 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.38 | 4.13 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.25 |
| Kim, Joongsub | ARC | 5912 | 11 | 72.7% | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.42 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.46 | |
| Kim, Joongsub | ARI | 5083 | 4 | 100.0% | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.79 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.88 |
| Kim, Joongsub | ARI | 4123 | 12 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Kler, Marlene | SSC | 2303 | 26 | 69.2% | 4.06 | 4.06 | 3.94 | 4.28 | 4.00 | 4.11 | 4.07 | 4.28 | 4.33 | 4.06 | 4.33 | 3.72 | 4.17 | 4.15 |
| Kler, Marlene | SSC | 3583 | 21 | 52.4% | 4.18 | 3.91 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 3.82 | 4.00 | 4.06 | 4.45 | 4.09 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.24 |
| Klingensmith, Jason | ECE | 5283 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.31 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.58 |
| Kohnke, Anne | INT | 2143 | 7 | 28.6% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Kohnke, Anne | INT | 6113 | 21 | 52.4% | 4.27 | 4.55 | 4.27 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.29 | 4.18 | 4.36 | 4.00 | 4.18 | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.23 |
| Kohnke, Anne | INT | 6123 | 24 | 54.2% | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.23 | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.54 | 4.54 | 4.54 | 4.46 | 4.46 | 4.54 | 4.54 | 4.51 |
| Kojovic, Nikolina | EAE | 4623 | 12 | 75.0% | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.48 | 4.78 | 4.22 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.44 | 4.52 |
| Kojovic, Nikolina | EME | 5153 | 49 | 69.4% | 4.76 | 4.76 | 4.79 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.74 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.71 | 4.85 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 4.85 | 4.82 |
| Kolasa, William | EEE | 2114 | 18 | 66.7% | 3.58 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.83 | 3.67 | 3.58 | 3.72 | 4.33 | 3.17 | 3.17 | 3.75 | 3.45 | 3.17 | 3.50 |
| Kolasa, William | EEE | 5114 | 14 | 57.1% | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.27 |
| Koutsavdis, Evangelos | EME | 6543 | 20 | 65.0% | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.77 | 4.46 | 4.69 | 4.77 | 4.62 | 4.65 |
| Koutsavdis, Evangelos | EME | 7543 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 3.88 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.21 |
| Kowalkowski, Keith | ECE | 3723 | 20 | 95.0% | 4.21 | 3.89 | 4.16 | 3.84 | 3.79 | 3.74 | 3.94 | 4.63 | 4.16 | 4.11 | 4.16 | 4.16 | 3.95 | 4.19 |
| Kowalkowski, Keith | ECE | 4753 | 13 | 76.9% | 4.20 | 3.90 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.50 | 3.70 | 3.85 | 4.60 | 4.10 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 4.30 | 4.10 | 4.15 |
| Kowalkowski, Keith | ECE | 5753 | 8 | 100.0% | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.88 | 4.67 |
| Ku, Chih | INT | 2123 | 20 | 55.0% | 3.91 | 4.09 | 3.91 | 3.64 | 3.82 | 3.73 | 3.85 | 4.55 | 3.55 | 3.91 | 3.82 | 3.91 | 3.91 | 3.94 |
| Ku, Chih | INT | 4303 | 4 | 50.0% | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Ku, Chih | INT | 7253 | 36 | 83.3% | 4.53 | 4.60 | 4.57 | 4.47 | 4.47 | 4.47 | 4.52 | 4.43 | 4.50 | 4.47 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.53 | 4.49 |
| Kustarz, Michelle | LLT | 1223 | 32 | 68.8% | 4.18 | 4.05 | 4.05 | 4.09 | 3.82 | 3.91 | 4.02 | 4.43 | 4.41 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.30 |
| Lamb, Katherine | TCE | 3033 | 10 | 100.0% | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.75 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.80 | 4.73 |
| Lamers, Sara | CRW | 2513 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| Lamers, Sara | LLT | 1223 | 34 | 61.8% | 4.10 | 4.10 | 4.05 | 4.05 | 3.90 | 4.00 | 4.03 | 4.48 | 4.24 | 4.14 | 4.19 | 4.10 | 4.24 | 4.23 |
| Lamers, Sara | LLT | 4523 | 8 | 62.5% | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.00 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.57 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.63 |
| Lancaster, Mary | ARC | 2116 | 8 | 37.5% | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.89 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.39 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lanyon, Jacob | ATD | 1924 | 10 | 30.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Lanyon, Jacob | IDD | 1124 | 7 | 42.9% | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.94 |
| Lanyon, Jacob | IDD | 2226 | 7 | 14.3% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| LeCarpentier, Gerald | BME | 1202 | 12 | 50.0% | 3.17 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.67 | 3.33 | 3.00 | 3.36 | 3.00 | 2.83 | 3.67 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.25 |
| LeCarpentier, Gerald | BME | 5093 | 4 | 50.0% | 2.50 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.75 |
| LeCarpentier, Gerald | EEE | 6994 | 3 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Levich, Michael | IDD | 2226 | 7 | 28.6% | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.25 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.75 |
| Li, Yawen | BME | 3213 | 17 | 58.8% | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.70 | 4.52 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.70 | 4.80 | 4.68 |
| Li, Yawen | BME | 4203 | 20 | 45.0% | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.22 | 4.28 | 4.44 | 4.22 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.41 |
| Li, Yawen | BME | 4201 | 20 | 40.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.08 | 4.38 | 4.00 | 4.63 | 3.88 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.23 |
| Linn, Robert | ARC | 5752 | 11 | 100.0% | 4.64 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.64 | 4.55 | 4.73 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.91 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.98 |
| Liu, Liping | EME | 5353 | 33 | 72.7% | 4.63 | 4.71 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.59 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.67 | 4.63 | 4.54 | 4.67 | 4.65 |
| Liu, Liping | EME | 6133 | 31 | 74.2% | 4.70 | 4.61 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.57 | 4.61 | 4.63 | 4.83 | 4.65 | 4.74 | 4.65 | 4.61 | 4.70 | 4.70 |
| Livernois, Michael | ECE | 4743 | 16 | 81.3% | 4.23 | 4.15 | 4.23 | 4.31 | 4.38 | 4.23 | 4.26 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.23 | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.31 | 4.37 |
| Lobbstael, Adam | ECE | 3424 | 16 | 75.0% | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.42 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.46 |
| Lobbstael, Adam | ECE | 5473 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.83 |
| Lowe, Gary | EEE | 4514 | 16 | 50.0% | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 4.38 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 4.73 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 5.00 | 4.88 | 4.94 |
| Lowry, Pamela | MCS | 2124 | 14 | 57.1% | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 3.86 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.29 | 4.71 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 3.50 | 3.88 | 3.98 |
| Maier, Ashley | LLT | 1213 | 19 | 68.4% | 4.08 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.18 | 4.46 | 4.38 | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.47 |
| Maiseloff, Stephen | COM | 1001 | 17 | 58.8% | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 |
| Makkawy, Abdel | ARC | 4543 | 14 | 50.0% | 3.14 | 3.57 | 3.71 | 3.14 | 3.43 | 2.83 | 3.33 | 3.43 | 2.43 | 2.86 | 2.71 | 3.86 | 2.71 | 3.00 |
| Makke, Alaa | EME | 3214 | 16 | 93.8% | 4.13 | 4.33 | 4.40 | 4.07 | 3.93 | 4.07 | 4.16 | 4.80 | 4.73 | 4.80 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.73 | 4.73 |
| Makki, Imad | EME | 5253 | 31 | 90.3% | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.26 | 4.39 | 4.29 | 4.32 | 4.31 | 4.48 | 4.41 | 4.44 | 4.48 | 4.44 | 4.30 | 4.43 |
| Malysz, Pawel | EME | 6473 | 29 | 86.2% | 3.92 | 3.84 | 3.48 | 4.25 | 3.80 | 3.84 | 3.84 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.96 | 4.12 | 4.04 | 3.84 | 3.96 |
| Marek, Stanley | EGE | 3003 | 30 | 60.0% | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.33 | 4.34 | 4.39 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.22 | 4.28 |
| Marek, Stanley | EME | 5143 | 21 | 61.9% | 4.31 | 4.54 | 4.54 | 4.46 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.36 | 4.31 | 4.46 | 4.54 | 4.62 | 4.38 | 4.46 | 4.46 |
| Maricak, Gretchen | ARC | 1223 | 16 | 43.8% | 2.80 | 3.80 | 3.20 | 2.50 | 2.40 | 2.60 | 2.90 | 3.20 | 2.60 | 2.80 | 3.00 | 2.80 | 2.60 | 2.83 |
| Martz, Patricia | COM | 2103 | 18 | 44.4% | 4.13 | 3.13 | 4.13 | 3.88 | 3.50 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 4.63 | 3.50 | 4.38 | 3.75 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.08 |
| Martz, Patricia | COM | 6103 | 6 | 66.7% | 3.00 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 2.50 | 3.50 | 2.75 | 3.04 | 3.25 | 2.50 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.17 |
| Marx, Thomas | ECN | 6023 | 13 | 69.2% | 4.22 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 5.00 | 4.89 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.77 |
| Marx, Thomas | MBA | 6073 | 14 | 42.9% | 4.33 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.83 | 4.56 | 4.83 | 4.50 | 4.83 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 4.75 |
| Massey, Katherine | BIO | 3201 | 16 | 62.5% | 3.80 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 4.10 | 4.03 | 4.10 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.80 | 3.87 |
| McKale, Dennis | EAE | 3613 | 8 | 87.5% | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 3.71 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.26 | 4.86 | 4.57 | 4.71 | 4.57 | 4.14 | 4.57 | 4.57 |
| McMillan, Andrew | IDD | 4526 | 3 | 33.3% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| McMillan, Andrew | IDD | 3326 | 6 | 33.3% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.58 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.83 |
| Mehdi, Hassan | EEE | 4333 | 4 | 75.0% | 5.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.94 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Meier, Wesley | INT | 4023 | 8 | 62.5% | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.57 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.87 |
| Mekjian, Gary | ECE | 5923 | 10 | 80.0% | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.94 | 4.50 | 3.75 | 3.38 | 4.38 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Meyer, Eric | BME | 3002 | 9 | 77.8% | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.33 | 4.43 | 4.14 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 4.24 |
| Meyer, Eric | BME | 4313 | 18 | 50.0% | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.54 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.85 |
| Meyer, Eric | BME | 5093 | 17 | 58.8% | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.45 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.52 |
| Meyer, Eric | BME | 1002 | 21 | 71.4% | 4.47 | 4.53 | 4.47 | 4.33 | 4.47 | 4.60 | 4.48 | 4.80 | 4.33 | 4.53 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.73 | 4.61 |
| Michaels, James | MCS | 1074 | 21 | 47.6% | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.47 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| Michalowski, Marie | ARC | 2323 | 12 | 41.7% | 3.60 | 3.80 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.40 | 3.83 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.80 |
| Mikalauskas, Christopher | IDD | 4526 | 3 | 33.3% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Mikalauskas, Christopher | IDD | 3326 | 6 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 3.33 | 3.67 | 4.33 | 4.06 | 4.67 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.39 |
| Mills, Hans | MCS | 1653 | 23 | 69.6% | 4.63 | 4.31 | 4.81 | 4.44 | 4.06 | 4.50 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.19 | 4.44 | 4.38 | 4.40 |
| Mohammad, Saima | ART | 2813 | 20 | 75.0% | 4.27 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.26 | 4.13 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.47 | 4.28 |
| Molloy, Sara | PSY | 1213 | 18 | 44.4% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.46 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.52 |
| Molloy, Sara | PSY | 3313 | 20 | 60.0% | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.36 | 4.39 | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.36 | 4.45 | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.32 |
| Moore, Dorian | ARC | 5762 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.10 | 4.80 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.27 |
| Morano, Heidi | EGE | 2123 | 26 | 50.0% | 4.38 | 3.77 | 3.85 | 4.15 | 4.08 | 3.85 | 4.01 | 4.54 | 4.46 | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.55 |
| Morgan-Paisley, Kineta | PSY | 1003 | 9 | 44.4% | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Morgan-Paisley, Kineta | PSY | 3633 | 12 | 83.3% | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.70 | 4.80 | 4.85 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 4.90 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.85 |
| Morgan-Paisley, Kineta | PSY | 4113 | 3 | 66.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Morgan-Paisley, Kineta | PSY | 4922 | 2 | 100.0% | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 |
| Morgan-Paisley, Kineta | PSY | 3713 | 20 | 45.0% | 4.56 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.70 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.85 |
| Morgan-Paisley, Kineta | PSY | 2343 | 8 | 75.0% | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.22 |
| Morris, John | MCS | 0054 | 19 | 63.2% | 3.92 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 3.92 | 3.75 | 3.92 | 3.94 | 4.17 | 3.83 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.02 |
| Morrisette, Jeffery | BIO | 3203 | 22 | 59.1% | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.54 | 4.69 | 4.62 | 4.69 | 4.54 | 4.77 | 4.69 | 4.67 | 4.69 | 4.54 | 4.62 | 4.67 |
| Morrisette, Jeffery | BIO | 3201 | 5 | 60.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Mortada, Jamil | MCS | 1414 | 18 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 4.89 | 4.80 |
| Mortada, Jamil | MCS | 1424 | 33 | 66.7% | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.14 | 4.23 | 4.14 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.64 | 4.32 | 4.41 | 4.55 | 4.36 | 4.32 | 4.43 |
| Moschelli, George | PHY | 2221 | 2 | 100.0% | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 |
| Moschelli, George | PHY | 2413 | 36 | 72.2% | 4.46 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.54 | 4.46 | 4.54 | 4.49 | 4.85 | 4.81 | 4.46 | 4.77 | 4.85 | 4.73 | 4.74 |
| Moschelli, George | PHY | 2421 | 17 | 70.6% | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.44 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.34 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.45 |
| Motaghi, Hamed | INT | 6043 | 23 | 47.8% | 4.09 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.18 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.32 | 4.50 | 4.09 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.28 |
| Moyer, Daniel | COM | 0094 | 24 | 45.8% | 4.27 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.64 | 4.27 | 4.45 | 4.45 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.55 | 4.36 | 4.44 |
| Moyer, Daniel | COM | 1103 | 18 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.55 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.41 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.47 |
| Mulder, Daniel | SSC | 2303 | 24 | 45.8% | 4.36 | 4.18 | 4.36 | 4.36 | 4.09 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.55 | 4.36 | 4.27 | 4.36 | 4.45 | 4.36 | 4.39 |
| Mulder, Daniel | SSC | 2403 | 16 | 62.5% | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.70 |
| Mullin, Thomas | EGE | 3012 | 73 | 67.1% | 4.18 | 4.20 | 4.31 | 4.29 | 3.88 | 3.92 | 4.13 | 4.51 | 4.35 | 4.47 | 4.49 | 4.27 | 4.31 | 4.40 |
| Mullin, Thomas | EIE | 2012 | 4 | 100.0% | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.46 |
| Mullin, Thomas | EME | 2012 | 39 | 53.8% | 4.52 | 4.38 | 4.57 | 4.48 | 4.45 | 4.43 | 4.46 | 4.81 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.76 | 4.71 | 4.76 | 4.74 |
| Murray, Neil | EIE | 6663 | 20 | 85.0% | 4.53 | 4.53 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.65 | 4.59 | 4.62 | 4.59 | 4.65 | 4.71 | 4.76 | 4.71 | 4.65 | 4.68 |
| Mynderse, James | EME | 5323 | 11 | 45.5% | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 3.75 | 3.40 | 4.20 | 3.99 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.30 |
| Mynderse, James | MSE | 6183 | 6 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.44 |
| Nagara, Keith | ATD | 4513 | 4 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Nagara, Keith | ATD | 1924 | 10 | 20.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Nagara, Keith | IDD | 1223 | 17 | 35.3% | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.46 |
| Nagara, Keith | IDD | 1124 | 7 | 42.9% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.56 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.44 |
| Nashlen, Thomas | ARC | 4114 | 4 | 50.0% | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.17 |
| Nashlen, Thomas | ARC | 4126 | 13 | 61.5% | 3.63 | 3.75 | 3.88 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.38 | 3.65 | 4.13 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 3.50 | 4.13 | 3.75 | 3.88 |
| Nasir, Mansoor | BME | 3103 | 23 | 39.1% | 4.00 | 3.89 | 3.78 | 3.89 | 3.89 | 3.78 | 3.87 | 4.44 | 4.22 | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.20 |
| Nasir, Mansoor | BME | 4022 | 18 | 44.4% | 3.88 | 3.50 | 4.38 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.12 | 3.71 | 3.50 | 4.14 | 3.57 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.79 |
| Nasir, Mansoor | BME | 4113 | 20 | 40.0% | 4.25 | 4.50 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.17 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.38 |
| Nasir, Mansoor | BME | 3101 | 23 | 39.1% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.00 | 4.22 | 4.56 | 4.22 | 4.33 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| | | | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| Pokharel, Rajendra | PHY | 2223 | 17 | 82.4% | 3.86 | 3.79 | 3.93 | 3.86 | 3.57 | 3.50 | 3.75 | 4.29 | 3.14 | 3.79 | 3.86 | 4.14 | 3.29 | 3.75 |
| Pokharel, Rajendra | PHY | 2421 | 17 | 47.1% | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.44 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.50 |
| Pokharel, Rajendra | PHY | 2423 | 51 | 84.3% | 3.60 | 3.67 | 3.63 | 3.95 | 3.63 | 3.35 | 3.64 | 4.12 | 3.02 | 3.74 | 3.81 | 3.95 | 3.30 | 3.65 |
| Powell, Jeffrey | LLT | 1213 | 40 | 67.5% | 4.41 | 4.37 | 4.33 | 4.26 | 4.07 | 4.15 | 4.27 | 4.63 | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4.37 | 4.48 | 4.44 | 4.52 |
| Powell, Jeffrey | SSC | 2423 | 20 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.48 | 4.80 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.48 |
| Preuss, Kevin | ATD | 3626 | 7 | 42.9% | 4.33 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.72 |
| Raghavan, Srikant | MGT | 3113 | 20 | 45.0% | 4.56 | 4.44 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.44 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.44 | 4.67 | 4.56 |
| Ragheb, Ashraf | ARC | 5592 | 4 | 100.0% | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Ragheb, Ashraf | EAE | 4024 | 6 | 100.0% | 3.50 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.33 | 3.83 | 3.83 | 3.69 | 3.67 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 3.33 | 4.33 | 3.67 | 3.67 |
| Rakouth, Heritianarisoa | TEE | 6333 | 10 | 50.0% | 3.80 | 3.20 | 2.60 | 2.80 | 3.20 | 2.80 | 3.07 | 2.80 | 1.80 | 2.20 | 2.40 | 2.20 | 1.60 | 2.17 |
| Rands, Marilyn | PHY | 2213 | 22 | 81.8% | 4.17 | 4.11 | 4.33 | 4.11 | 4.22 | 4.11 | 4.18 | 4.39 | 3.89 | 3.56 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.06 | 4.04 |
| Rands, Marilyn | PHY | 2223 | 24 | 91.7% | 4.50 | 4.45 | 4.50 | 4.55 | 4.36 | 4.45 | 4.47 | 4.77 | 4.68 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.86 | 4.77 | 4.76 |
| Ratliff, Nathaniel | ATD | 2933 | 8 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 |
| Reeves, Randall | ARC | 4126 | 13 | 61.5% | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.44 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.52 |
| Reimer, Donald | EGE | 2233 | 43 | 58.1% | 3.76 | 3.88 | 3.76 | 3.40 | 3.52 | 3.44 | 3.63 | 3.64 | 3.32 | 3.55 | 3.23 | 3.77 | 3.50 | 3.50 |
| Reimer, Donald | EGE | 3361 | 26 | 46.2% | 2.83 | 2.92 | 2.92 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 2.75 | 2.90 | 3.09 | 3.00 | 2.82 | 2.91 | 3.09 | 2.82 | 2.95 |
| Reimer, Donald | EGE | 3341 | 9 | 44.4% | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.21 |
| Reimer, Donald | EGE | 4311 | 10 | 40.0% | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 |
| Renehan, Bridget | EEE | 2111 | 16 | 50.0% | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.71 | 4.88 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.69 |
| Renehan, Bridget | EEE | 3121 | 8 | 12.5% | 2.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 2.00 | 1.00 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 1.83 |
| Rescoe, Sarah | COM | 3101 | 3 | 66.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Revels, Teresa | ESL | 122 | 3 | 100.0% | 3.33 | 3.67 | 3.33 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.53 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 |
| Revels, Teresa | ESL | 0522 | 4 | 100.0% | 3.75 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.50 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Riccobelli, Adam | ATD | 1924 | 10 | 40.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 4.33 |
| Rider, Joseph | ATD | 2823 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 |
| Riedel, Chris | EME | 3043 | 30 | 53.3% | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.31 | 4.38 | 4.48 | 4.94 | 4.75 | 4.56 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.69 | 4.74 |
| Riedel, Chris | EME | 4003 | 14 | 71.4% | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 4.90 | 4.77 | 4.90 | 4.90 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.90 | 5.00 | 4.95 |
| Riedel, Chris | EME | 5333 | 21 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.43 | 4.36 | 4.43 | 4.45 | 4.64 | 4.71 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.64 | 4.57 | 4.62 |
| Robie, Kyle | ATD | 2823 | 6 | 66.7% | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Rosen, Michael | MCS | 2523 | 26 | 46.2% | 3.50 | 3.92 | 4.08 | 4.08 | 3.75 | 3.58 | 3.82 | 3.64 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 4.08 | 4.75 | 3.58 | 4.05 |
| Rosen, Michael | MCS | 3863 | 26 | 76.9% | 3.90 | 3.70 | 4.00 | 3.85 | 3.79 | 3.65 | 3.82 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 3.90 | 4.07 |
| Rost, Steven | ART | 1133 | 15 | 73.3% | 3.27 | 3.73 | 3.55 | 3.27 | 4.09 | 4.00 | 3.64 | 4.27 | 3.36 | 4.18 | 3.73 | 4.36 | 3.91 | 3.97 |
| Rudy, Gretchen | ART | 1133 | 16 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.29 | 4.57 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 3.88 | 4.28 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.60 |
| Rudy, Gretchen | ART | 4113 | 10 | 40.0% | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.83 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.00 |
| Sacchetti, David | TEE | 4214 | 19 | 84.2% | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.60 | 4.47 | 4.64 | 4.58 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.80 | 4.73 | 4.69 |
| Schantz, Randy | LLT | 1213 | 38 | 71.1% | 3.78 | 3.74 | 3.69 | 3.00 | 3.44 | 3.15 | 3.47 | 4.19 | 3.50 | 3.77 | 3.08 | 3.96 | 3.41 | 3.66 |
| Schihl, Peter | EME | 6413 | 30 | 53.3% | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.63 | 4.69 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.64 | 4.81 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.56 | 4.69 | 4.72 |
| Schlick, William | TEE | 2053 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.75 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.58 |
| Schlick, William | TEE | 2093 | 2 | 50.0% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Schmehl, Shannon | ARC | 5423 | 9 | 66.7% | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.53 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.62 |
| Schneider, Christopher | ART | 3033 | 10 | 80.0% | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.31 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.48 |
| Schneider, Scott | PHY | 2413 | 54 | 64.8% | 4.54 | 4.54 | 4.53 | 4.69 | 4.44 | 4.46 | 4.53 | 4.80 | 4.57 | 4.63 | 4.71 | 4.77 | 4.60 | 4.68 |
| Schwartz, Martin | ARC | 1012 | 34 | 55.9% | 4.42 | 4.53 | 4.37 | 4.69 | 4.00 | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.58 | 4.42 | 4.53 | 4.37 | 4.58 | 4.53 | 4.50 |
| Schwartz, Matthew | SSC | 2413 | 19 | 52.6% | 3.90 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 3.67 | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.81 | 4.80 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.10 | 4.80 | 4.30 | 4.48 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| Sepulveda, Roland | TME | 4113 | 9 | 77.8% | 4.71 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.86 | 4.71 | 5.00 | 4.88 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 5.00 | 4.86 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.93 |
| Serhane, Adam | ART | 3323 | 3 | 100.0% | 4.00 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.61 |
| Shaba, Amal | MCS | 1003 | 10 | 100.0% | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.30 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.30 | 4.30 |
| Shaba, Amal | MCS | 1514 | 19 | 94.7% | 4.56 | 4.39 | 4.28 | 4.06 | 4.39 | 4.56 | 4.37 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.06 | 4.56 | 4.56 | 4.44 |
| Shahwan, Khaled | EME | 5223 | 32 | 84.4% | 4.26 | 4.44 | 4.26 | 4.22 | 4.26 | 4.26 | 4.28 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.52 | 4.37 | 4.52 | 4.37 | 4.43 |
| Shahwan, Khaled | EME | 6983 | 16 | 87.5% | 4.64 | 4.07 | 4.57 | 4.71 | 4.71 | 4.50 | 4.54 | 4.86 | 4.64 | 4.79 | 4.64 | 4.86 | 4.64 | 4.74 |
| Shall, Scott | ARC | 5824 | 11 | 72.7% | 4.38 | 4.13 | 3.88 | 3.38 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.13 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.00 | 4.88 | 4.75 | 4.63 |
| Shamir, Lior | MCS | 4833 | 11 | 45.5% | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 |
| Shamir, Lior | MCS | 4843 | 7 | 85.7% | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.72 |
| Shamir, Lior | MCS | 7033 | 4 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.08 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Shamir, Mirit | LDR | 2001 | 20 | 60.0% | 3.92 | 3.58 | 3.75 | 3.92 | 3.75 | 3.67 | 3.76 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.25 |
| Shamir, Mirit | SSC | 3363 | 29 | 86.2% | 4.08 | 4.20 | 4.04 | 4.12 | 3.68 | 3.72 | 3.97 | 4.12 | 4.16 | 4.48 | 4.32 | 4.36 | 4.16 | 4.27 |
| Sharath, Anusha | CHM | 1154 | 12 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.17 | 4.14 |
| Shargel, Daniel | SSC | 2413 | 22 | 63.6% | 4.50 | 4.43 | 4.29 | 4.36 | 4.43 | 4.36 | 4.39 | 4.64 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.86 | 4.71 | 4.65 |
| Shargel, Daniel | SSC | 3613 | 39 | 64.1% | 4.12 | 4.12 | 4.08 | 3.96 | 3.84 | 3.92 | 4.01 | 4.16 | 4.20 | 4.16 | 3.96 | 4.16 | 4.08 | 4.12 |
| Shih, Kelchen | ARC | 3513 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.83 | 4.50 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Shih, Shan | EME | 6353 | 36 | 80.6% | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4.59 | 4.45 | 4.59 | 4.56 | 4.62 | 4.59 | 4.62 | 4.52 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.60 |
| Shortt, Duane | EIE | 3653 | 7 | 71.4% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.83 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.87 |
| Siddiq, Fauzia | BIO | 1213 | 20 | 75.0% | 3.87 | 3.93 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.90 | 4.07 | 3.73 | 3.93 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.80 | 3.87 |
| Siddiq, Fauzia | BIO | 1221 | 11 | 72.7% | 4.38 | 4.00 | 3.88 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 3.75 | 4.04 | 4.63 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.27 |
| Siddiq, Fauzia | BIO | 1223 | 21 | 81.0% | 4.44 | 4.41 | 4.24 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.29 | 4.36 | 4.41 | 4.18 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 4.35 | 4.24 | 4.32 |
| Siddiq, Fauzia | BIO | 1231 | 20 | 85.0% | 4.24 | 4.29 | 4.41 | 4.35 | 3.94 | 4.06 | 4.22 | 4.41 | 4.00 | 4.41 | 4.29 | 4.47 | 4.18 | 4.29 |
| Siddiqi, Muzaffer | EEE | 2123 | 20 | 65.0% | 2.54 | 3.08 | 3.08 | 2.38 | 2.85 | 2.62 | 2.76 | 3.46 | 2.31 | 2.62 | 2.62 | 2.46 | 2.38 | 2.64 |
| Siddiqi, Muzaffer | EEE | 2214 | 10 | 40.0% | 2.00 | 2.50 | 1.75 | 2.00 | 2.50 | 2.25 | 2.17 | 3.50 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 2.25 | 1.50 | 2.08 |
| Sigler, Kenneth | INT | 2114 | 12 | 25.0% | 2.33 | 2.33 | 3.00 | 3.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 2.61 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 2.33 | 2.33 | 3.00 |
| Sims, Russell | ATD | 3626 | 6 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.78 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Sirabonian, Mgrdich | PSY | 4213 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 3.25 | 3.75 | 3.75 | 3.25 | 3.25 | 3.54 | 4.75 | 3.75 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.00 | 4.29 |
| Sirabonian, Mgrdich | PSY | 3713 | 8 | 37.5% | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.50 | 3.72 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.78 |
| Sitaram, Patabhi | ARC | 4543 | 2 | 100.0% | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 2.50 | 3.00 | 2.50 | 2.58 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.42 |
| Sitaram, Patabhi | ARC | 5543 | 3 | 33.3% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 1.00 | 2.67 |
| Sitaram, Patabhi | EME | 3133 | 32 | 71.9% | 3.74 | 3.48 | 3.65 | 3.61 | 3.78 | 3.65 | 3.65 | 3.57 | 3.22 | 3.43 | 3.39 | 3.35 | 3.30 | 3.38 |
| Sitaram, Patabhi | EME | 5223 | 13 | 61.5% | 4.13 | 3.63 | 3.75 | 3.88 | 3.75 | 3.88 | 3.83 | 4.25 | 3.75 | 3.88 | 3.88 | 4.00 | 3.88 | 3.94 |
| Sky, Anthony | CHM | 1154 | 14 | 42.9% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.69 |
| Sky, Anthony | CHM | 1221 | 15 | 60.0% | 3.78 | 3.78 | 3.89 | 3.67 | 4.11 | 3.78 | 3.83 | 4.11 | 3.33 | 3.33 | 3.67 | 4.11 | 3.67 | 3.70 |
| Sky, Anthony | CHM | 4522 | 2 | 100.0% | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 |
| Sky, Anthony | CHM | 4723 | 5 | 100.0% | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 4.20 | 3.60 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 3.80 | 4.07 |
| Sledge, James | SSC | 2423 | 40 | 70.0% | 4.61 | 4.50 | 4.54 | 4.64 | 4.57 | 4.46 | 4.55 | 4.89 | 4.82 | 4.82 | 4.86 | 4.89 | 4.86 | 4.86 |
| Song, Wei | BME | 4223 | 5 | 60.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.94 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.33 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.94 |
| Spivak, Courtney | MCO | 1013 | 15 | 66.7% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 3.93 | 4.40 | 4.10 | 3.90 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.00 | 4.12 |
| Stavish, Corinne | COM | 2113 | 17 | 47.1% | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.00 | 4.13 |
| Stavish, Corinne | COM | 4963 | 3 | 66.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Stavish, Corinne | COM | 6523 | 4 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Stavros, Jacqueline | MGT | 2203 | 22 | 59.1% | 4.92 | 4.85 | 4.92 | 4.85 | 4.77 | 4.85 | 4.86 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.85 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.92 | 4.91 |
| Stein, Gordon | MCS | 1142 | 18 | 44.4% | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.75 | 4.88 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.77 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stein, Gordon | MCS | 4993 | 7 | 42.9% | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.56 |
| Stein, Gordon | MCS | 5993 | 3 | 100.0% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.72 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.94 |
| Stoolmiller, Karl | EAE | 4613 | 11 | 81.8% | 4.11 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.28 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| Stoolmiller, Karl | EAE | 4024 | 6 | 83.3% | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.83 | 4.60 | 4.00 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.47 |
| Stuart, Charles | EME | 3033 | 30 | 63.3% | 4.21 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.21 | 4.11 | 4.16 | 4.22 | 4.53 | 4.47 | 4.37 | 4.26 | 4.42 | 4.37 | 4.40 |
| Stuart, Charles | EME | 3133 | 29 | 55.2% | 4.19 | 4.25 | 4.06 | 4.19 | 4.44 | 4.25 | 4.23 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.38 | 4.19 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.27 |
| Studstill, Jason | TIE | 4913 | 8 | 75.0% | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.53 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.75 |
| Styczynski, Michael | ARC | 4116 | 8 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| Styczynski, Michael | ARC | 5824 | 11 | 72.7% | 4.25 | 4.00 | 3.88 | 3.25 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.04 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 3.88 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.44 |
| Swanson, Karen | ARI | 3124 | 8 | 37.5% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 3.67 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.00 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 3.94 |
| Swantek, Beth | MCO | 3713 | 16 | 62.5% | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.50 | 4.60 | 4.70 | 4.67 | 4.70 | 4.50 | 4.80 | 4.70 | 4.70 | 4.80 | 4.70 |
| Swantek, Phillip | MCO | 3203 | 11 | 45.5% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.97 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.97 |
| Sweet, Benjamin | MCS | 4623 | 18 | 61.1% | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.27 | 4.00 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.18 | 4.45 | 4.27 | 4.50 | 4.27 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.41 |
| Sweet, Benjamin | MCS | 5103 | 4 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Szewczyk, Waldemar | TIE | 4913 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.53 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.56 |
| Thapa, Ranjeeta | PHY | 2221 | 4 | 25.0% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Thapa, Ranjeeta | PHY | 2421 | 32 | 59.4% | 3.37 | 3.58 | 3.74 | 3.58 | 3.32 | 3.26 | 3.47 | 3.26 | 2.74 | 3.00 | 2.95 | 3.21 | 2.68 | 2.97 |
| Thapa, Ranjeeta | PHY | 2431 | 37 | 70.3% | 3.35 | 3.23 | 3.27 | 3.42 | 3.23 | 3.24 | 3.29 | 3.77 | 3.35 | 3.46 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.27 | 3.47 |
| Thomas, David | GLG | 1103 | 31 | 54.8% | 4.18 | 4.12 | 4.12 | 3.94 | 4.12 | 3.94 | 4.07 | 4.24 | 4.35 | 4.24 | 4.29 | 4.18 | 4.24 | 4.25 |
| Timbre, Keith | MCS | 0054 | 12 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.67 | 4.61 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.72 |
| Timmons, Shannon | CHM | 2332 | 10 | 90.0% | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.89 | 4.89 | 4.78 | 4.80 | 4.89 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.78 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| Tiseo, Benedetto | ARC | 2323 | 6 | 66.7% | 2.50 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 2.00 | 2.67 | 2.67 | 2.75 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 3.25 | 3.00 | 3.50 | 3.00 | 3.13 |
| Tobos, Valentina | PHY | 2423 | 42 | 69.0% | 4.48 | 4.48 | 4.28 | 4.48 | 4.24 | 4.52 | 4.41 | 4.69 | 4.52 | 4.55 | 4.62 | 4.79 | 4.48 | 4.61 |
| Tobos, Valentina | PHY | 2431 | 20 | 80.0% | 4.13 | 4.00 | 3.88 | 4.19 | 4.31 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.56 | 4.25 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.44 | 4.25 | 4.35 |
| Tobos, Valentina | PHY | 4843 | 5 | 60.0% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.50 |
| Tocco, John | ECE | 4051 | 13 | 76.9% | 3.80 | 4.00 | 4.10 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 3.90 | 3.90 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.30 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.10 | 4.27 |
| Tocco, John | ECE | 4243 | 17 | 88.2% | 4.53 | 4.60 | 4.47 | 3.73 | 4.07 | 4.33 | 4.29 | 4.67 | 4.13 | 4.27 | 3.47 | 4.40 | 4.27 | 4.20 |
| Tocco, John | ECE | 6213 | 5 | 80.0% | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 |
| Tocco, John | ECE | 5273 | 25 | 56.0% | 4.86 | 4.79 | 4.86 | 4.71 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 4.82 | 5.00 | 4.79 | 4.57 | 4.64 | 4.79 | 4.79 | 4.76 |
| Tsebro, Pavlo | FIN | 3103 | 25 | 52.0% | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.62 | 4.54 | 4.38 | 4.54 | 4.58 | 4.77 | 4.69 | 4.62 | 4.62 | 4.69 | 4.77 | 4.69 |
| Tsebro, Pavlo | MBA | 6003 | 18 | 44.4% | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.40 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.27 |
| Tuo, Ling | ACC | 3053 | 9 | 77.8% | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 3.86 | 4.00 |
| Tuo, Ling | ACC | 6003 | 26 | 57.7% | 4.80 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 4.87 | 4.47 | 4.67 | 4.71 | 4.87 | 4.87 | 4.87 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.87 | 4.84 |
| Tuo, Ling | ACC | 2023 | 22 | 31.8% | 3.86 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 3.57 | 3.86 | 3.86 | 3.83 | 4.29 | 3.29 | 4.29 | 3.71 | 3.57 | 3.29 | 3.74 |
| Turfe, Atallah | MCS | 1414 | 9 | 66.7% | 3.17 | 3.83 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.20 | 3.58 | 4.50 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 3.50 | 2.50 | 3.47 |
| Turfe, Atallah | MCS | 2414 | 11 | 63.6% | 3.57 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.29 | 3.71 | 3.76 | 4.57 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.71 | 3.57 | 3.29 | 3.71 |
| Vandenbrink, Sean | ATD | 2933 | 8 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 |
| Vaynberg, Yelena | MCS | 1214 | 26 | 84.6% | 4.09 | 4.18 | 4.45 | 4.32 | 4.18 | 4.27 | 4.25 | 4.36 | 4.14 | 4.41 | 4.23 | 4.50 | 4.32 | 4.33 |
| Vaynberg, Yelena | MCS | 1414 | 25 | 92.0% | 4.48 | 4.43 | 4.52 | 4.57 | 4.39 | 4.48 | 4.48 | 4.61 | 4.43 | 4.61 | 4.52 | 4.65 | 4.52 | 4.56 |
| Vaynberg, Yelena | MCS | 1254 | 27 | 81.5% | 3.95 | 4.19 | 4.27 | 4.23 | 3.90 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.45 | 4.32 | 4.50 | 4.27 | 4.55 | 4.32 | 4.40 |
| Verma, Swati | MBA | 7083 | 9 | 88.9% | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 3.88 | 4.25 | 4.23 | 4.38 | 4.00 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.17 |
| Verma, Swati | MKT | 2013 | 26 | 80.8% | 4.14 | 4.24 | 4.33 | 4.48 | 4.14 | 4.10 | 4.24 | 4.38 | 4.05 | 4.10 | 4.19 | 4.10 | 4.10 | 4.15 |
| Verma, Swati | MKT | 4013 | 11 | 100.0% | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.64 | 4.55 | 4.45 | 4.55 | 4.53 | 4.73 | 4.55 | 4.55 | 4.82 | 4.36 | 4.73 | 4.62 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Villeneuve, Nicole | CHM | 4541 | 2 | 100.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Vliet, Kasey | ARC | 3823 | 16 | 50.0% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.63 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.31 |
| Vliet, Kasey | ARC | 2126 | 13 | 53.8% | 4.43 | 4.71 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 3.86 | 4.71 | 4.38 | 4.71 | 4.57 | 4.71 | 4.43 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.60 |
| Vogt, Philip | SSC | 2413 | 41 | 78.0% | 3.94 | 3.94 | 3.84 | 3.56 | 3.84 | 3.41 | 3.76 | 4.50 | 3.69 | 3.52 | 3.50 | 4.03 | 3.63 | 3.81 |
| Vogt, Philip | SSC | 3133 | 10 | 70.0% | 4.14 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 3.86 | 3.57 | 4.07 | 4.71 | 4.29 | 4.29 | 4.14 | 4.57 | 3.71 | 4.29 |
| Wallace, Sharon | LLT | 1213 | 41 | 65.9% | 4.15 | 4.07 | 4.22 | 4.15 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.10 | 4.41 | 4.37 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.37 | 4.22 | 4.36 |
| Walters, Filza | ARC | 3423 | 10 | 90.0% | 3.63 | 3.50 | 3.50 | 3.13 | 3.38 | 3.25 | 3.40 | 4.38 | 3.63 | 3.75 | 3.63 | 4.50 | 3.88 | 3.96 |
| Walters, Filza | EAE | 1093 | 17 | 76.5% | 4.08 | 4.38 | 4.31 | 4.23 | 3.77 | 4.38 | 4.19 | 4.77 | 3.92 | 4.46 | 4.23 | 4.77 | 4.23 | 4.40 |
| Ward, Eric | ARC | 2323 | 12 | 66.7% | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.71 |
| Watson, Donna | PSY | 1213 | 11 | 54.5% | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.63 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.00 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.63 |
| Weinstein, Melinda | COM | 3223 | 15 | 46.7% | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.57 | 3.43 | 3.57 | 3.55 | 4.00 | 3.86 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.86 | 3.95 |
| Weinstein, Melinda | LLT | 1213 | 55 | 61.8% | 4.29 | 4.18 | 4.09 | 3.88 | 3.79 | 3.88 | 4.02 | 4.62 | 4.15 | 4.12 | 3.91 | 4.53 | 4.15 | 4.25 |
| Weiss, Daniel | LLT | 1213 | 14 | 64.3% | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.22 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.33 | 4.37 |
| Welch, Elizabeth | ART | 1113 | 12 | 66.7% | 4.63 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.77 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.63 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 4.67 |
| West, Anna | LLT | 1223 | 19 | 73.7% | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.50 | 4.57 | 4.36 | 4.14 | 4.45 | 4.64 | 4.71 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.64 | 4.71 | 4.67 |
| Wilhelm, Stephanie | SSC | 2413 | 17 | 52.9% | 4.00 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.22 | 3.78 | 4.09 | 4.44 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 3.88 | 4.44 | 4.22 | 4.26 |
| Wilhelm, Stephanie | SSC | 2423 | 9 | 77.8% | 3.86 | 3.29 | 3.43 | 3.57 | 2.71 | 2.71 | 3.26 | 4.00 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 3.43 | 4.17 | 3.29 | 3.55 |
| Williams, John | EME | 4323 | 10 | 60.0% | 4.67 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.69 | 4.83 | 4.50 | 4.83 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.69 |
| Williams, Thomas | ARC | 1223 | 16 | 62.5% | 4.60 | 4.50 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.45 | 4.70 | 4.10 | 4.50 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.43 |
| Wojciechowski, Lea | COM | 2103 | 36 | 38.9% | 4.36 | 4.08 | 4.36 | 4.21 | 4.29 | 3.93 | 4.18 | 4.43 | 4.21 | 4.07 | 4.00 | 4.36 | 3.86 | 4.15 |
| Wong, Margaret | ARC | 3126 | 10 | 50.0% | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.40 | 3.00 | 3.60 | 3.60 | 3.43 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 3.80 | 3.20 | 3.80 | 3.60 | 3.67 |
| Woodworth, James | CHM | 1213 | 25 | 88.0% | 3.50 | 3.77 | 3.64 | 3.82 | 3.68 | 3.50 | 3.65 | 4.36 | 3.82 | 4.23 | 4.05 | 3.68 | 3.86 | 4.00 |
| Woodworth, James | CHM | 1221 | 19 | 100.0% | 4.11 | 4.16 | 4.16 | 4.26 | 4.11 | 4.26 | 4.18 | 4.53 | 4.32 | 4.16 | 4.26 | 4.00 | 4.42 | 4.28 |
| Xia, Jinjun | EEE | 3311 | 17 | 52.9% | 3.67 | 3.22 | 3.89 | 3.56 | 3.78 | 3.78 | 3.65 | 3.44 | 3.78 | 3.89 | 3.56 | 3.89 | 3.67 | 3.70 |
| Xia, Jinjun | EEE | 3314 | 20 | 50.0% | 3.60 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 3.60 | 3.40 | 3.50 | 3.62 | 3.70 | 3.00 | 3.80 | 3.30 | 3.80 | 3.30 | 3.48 |
| Xia, Jinjun | EEE | 4323 | 15 | 53.3% | 4.25 | 4.75 | 4.50 | 4.75 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.63 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.63 | 4.38 | 4.44 |
| Xia, Jinjun | EEE | 4713 | 19 | 31.6% | 4.50 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.14 | 4.67 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.00 | 4.25 |
| Xie, Xin | EGE | 2013 | 41 | 65.9% | 4.33 | 4.26 | 4.44 | 4.19 | 4.22 | 4.11 | 4.26 | 4.37 | 4.07 | 4.11 | 4.26 | 4.19 | 4.22 | 4.20 |
| Xie, Xin | EME | 3043 | 18 | 50.0% | 4.11 | 4.11 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.22 | 4.24 | 4.33 | 3.78 | 4.22 | 4.11 | 4.44 | 4.00 | 4.15 |
| Xie, Xin | EME | 5983 | 15 | 86.7% | 4.69 | 4.54 | 4.69 | 4.85 | 4.77 | 4.77 | 4.72 | 5.00 | 4.69 | 4.69 | 4.62 | 4.92 | 4.85 | 4.79 |
| Yamashiro, Janel | ART | 3343 | 12 | 58.3% | 2.43 | 2.57 | 2.43 | 2.71 | 2.29 | 2.43 | 2.48 | 3.00 | 2.86 | 2.57 | 3.00 | 2.86 | 2.43 | 2.79 |
| Yassine, Mahmoud | EME | 5143 | 28 | 82.1% | 4.57 | 4.48 | 4.52 | 4.48 | 4.43 | 4.39 | 4.48 | 4.70 | 4.43 | 4.65 | 4.57 | 4.35 | 4.48 | 4.53 |
| Yee, Kingman | EGE | 1023 | 31 | 61.3% | 3.06 | 3.22 | 3.12 | 3.50 | 2.94 | 2.61 | 3.06 | 3.63 | 2.78 | 3.13 | 3.37 | 2.39 | 2.47 | 2.97 |
| Yee, Kingman | EME | 2011 | 28 | 71.4% | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.60 | 4.25 | 4.45 | 4.35 | 4.49 | 4.74 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.35 | 4.35 | 4.41 |
| Yee, Kingman | EME | 3011 | 43 | 60.5% | 3.46 | 3.92 | 4.12 | 3.38 | 3.65 | 3.58 | 3.69 | 4.09 | 3.64 | 4.04 | 3.91 | 3.92 | 3.84 | 3.83 |
| Yee, Kingman | EME | 4253 | 64 | 60.9% | 4.08 | 4.06 | 4.05 | 4.21 | 4.21 | 4.08 | 4.11 | 4.38 | 4.16 | 4.37 | 4.29 | 4.32 | 4.32 | 4.31 |
| Yee, Kingman | EME | 4222 | 44 | 61.4% | 4.00 | 3.92 | 3.93 | 3.96 | 3.96 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 4.19 | 4.12 | 4.00 | 3.96 | 4.04 | 4.08 | 4.06 |
| Yu, Na | MCS | 2414 | 31 | 58.1% | 4.56 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.61 | 4.28 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.56 | 4.72 | 4.35 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.44 | 4.50 |
| Yu, Na | MCS | 3523 | 6 | 83.3% | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.67 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.60 | 4.60 | 4.53 |
| Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun | ECE | 3324 | 15 | 100.0% | 4.47 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.60 | 4.47 | 4.60 | 4.58 | 4.73 | 4.53 | 4.67 | 4.60 | 4.40 | 4.67 | 4.60 |
| Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun | ECE | 4544 | 17 | 94.1% | 4.44 | 4.40 | 4.44 | 4.31 | 4.13 | 4.31 | 4.34 | 4.56 | 4.31 | 4.31 | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.31 | 4.31 |
| Yuen, Edmund Ee-Mun | ECE | 4563 | 12 | 100.0% | 4.25 | 4.42 | 4.58 | 4.42 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.28 | 4.58 | 4.25 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.25 | 4.17 | 4.29 |
| Zalzali, Michael | MCS | 3403 | 25 | 72.0% | 3.72 | 3.44 | 3.72 | 3.94 | 3.22 | 3.50 | 3.59 | 4.00 | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.89 | 3.89 | 3.56 | 3.78 |

Spring 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Instructor

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available

| | | | Participation | | The Course | | | | | | | The Instructor | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|---|---|--|--------------------|
| Instructor Name | Subject Code | Course Number | Class Size | Response Rate | The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements. | The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives. | The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures. | The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content. | The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work. | Overall, the course was effective. | Course Average | The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content. | The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements. | The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner. | The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria. | The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students. | Overall, the instructor was effective. | Instructor Average |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Zalzali, Michael | MCS | 2124 | 19 | 63.2% | 4.67 | 4.58 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.58 | 4.58 | 4.68 | 4.92 | 4.83 | 4.75 | 4.83 | 4.92 | 4.75 | 4.83 |
| Zendeli, Bashkim | MCS | 1224 | 12 | 66.7% | 4.25 | 4.13 | 4.00 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.19 | 4.38 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.40 |
| Zendeli, Bashkim | MCS | 1424 | 28 | 78.6% | 4.18 | 3.95 | 4.18 | 4.09 | 4.00 | 3.82 | 4.04 | 4.45 | 4.14 | 4.14 | 4.05 | 4.14 | 4.09 | 4.17 |
| Zendeli, Bashkim | MCS | 1074 | 16 | 81.3% | 3.92 | 3.67 | 3.85 | 3.85 | 3.85 | 3.77 | 3.83 | 4.08 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.92 | 3.85 | 4.00 | 3.97 |
| Zhang, Jing | ATD | 3626 | 6 | 50.0% | 4.00 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.78 |
| Zhang, Yu | ACC | 5003 | 12 | 75.0% | 4.11 | 4.22 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.44 | 4.30 | 4.89 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.44 | 4.67 | 4.44 | 4.57 |
| Zhang, Yu | MBA | 6033 | 4 | 75.0% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.56 | 4.67 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.56 |
| Zhang, Yu | MBA | 7003 | 6 | 100.0% | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 | 4.83 |
| Zhou, Changgong | PHY | 2221 | 3 | 66.7% | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.42 |
| Zhou, Changgong | PHY | 2231 | 7 | 71.4% | 4.80 | 4.60 | 4.80 | 4.20 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 4.53 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.80 | 5.00 | 4.80 | 4.87 |
| Zhou, Changgong | PHY | 2421 | 16 | 75.0% | 4.17 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.08 | 4.08 | 3.92 | 4.13 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.27 | 4.25 | 4.25 | 4.29 |
| Zhou, Changgong | PHY | 2431 | 19 | 73.7% | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.08 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.57 | 3.91 | 4.29 | 3.93 | 3.92 | 3.77 | 3.36 | 3.64 | 3.82 |
| Zhou, Changgong | PHY | 4724 | 6 | 50.0% | 4.67 | 4.00 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.39 | 5.00 | 4.33 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.72 |
| Zhou, Meng | CHM | 1221 | 30 | 56.7% | 4.47 | 4.47 | 4.35 | 4.06 | 4.29 | 4.12 | 4.29 | 4.65 | 4.24 | 4.53 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 4.47 | 4.44 |
| Zhou, Meng | CHM | 1223 | 21 | 76.2% | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.38 | 4.38 | 4.19 | 4.19 | 4.31 | 4.63 | 4.13 | 4.38 | 4.56 | 4.38 | 4.25 | 4.39 |
| Zhu, Guang-Chong | MCS | 1424 | 30 | 60.0% | 4.44 | 4.17 | 4.28 | 4.22 | 4.17 | 4.28 | 4.26 | 4.89 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.78 | 4.89 | 4.61 | 4.69 |
| Zhu, Guang-Chong | MCS | 2423 | 16 | 87.5% | 4.57 | 4.50 | 4.57 | 4.57 | 4.50 | 4.43 | 4.52 | 5.00 | 4.57 | 4.64 | 4.71 | 4.86 | 4.69 | 4.74 |
| Zhu, Guang-Chong | MCS | 3863 | 17 | 100.0% | 4.65 | 4.71 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.65 | 4.59 | 4.65 | 4.76 | 4.71 | 4.76 | 4.82 | 4.88 | 4.76 | 4.78 |
| Zhu, Jianjun | MBA | 6053 | 31 | 41.9% | 4.31 | 4.08 | 4.46 | 4.00 | 4.23 | 4.25 | 4.22 | 4.46 | 4.00 | 4.38 | 3.92 | 4.23 | 4.23 | 4.21 |
| Zhu, Jianjun | MGT | 4213 | 12 | 50.0% | 4.33 | 4.33 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.42 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.33 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.17 | 4.31 |
| Zingalie, Anthony | ATD | 3626 | 7 | 28.6% | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.50 | 4.25 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.50 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.58 |
| Zurawski, Erik | MCS | 1142 | 8 | 37.5% | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 5.00 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.67 | 4.72 |
| Zurawski, Erik | MCS | 1623 | 6 | 66.7% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 4.92 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.75 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.96 |
| Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie | BIO | 4813 | 5 | 100.0% | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.00 | 3.80 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 4.07 | 4.40 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.60 | 4.20 | 4.20 |
| Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie | BIO | 4812 | 5 | 100.0% | 3.80 | 4.00 | 4.20 | 4.20 | 3.60 | 4.00 | 3.97 | 4.40 | 3.80 | 4.20 | 3.80 | 4.40 | 4.20 | 4.13 |
| Zwiesler-Vollick, Julie | BME | 5093 | 2 | 50.0% | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 4.83 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 |
| Grand Total/Average | | | 11,139 | 63.1% | 4.24 | 4.23 | 4.27 | 4.20 | 4.15 | 4.15 | 4.20 | 4.46 | 4.23 | 4.31 | 4.26 | 4.37 | 4.27 | 4.31 |