

Summer 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	2843	Grant, Janice	16	18.8%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	4116	Brown, Tiffany	12	33.3%	4.00	4.00	4.25	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.04	4.25	3.50	3.25	4.00	4.25	3.50	3.75
	4116	Styczynski, Michael	12	50.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.83	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
	4183	Hennebury, Deirdre	11	18.2%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4443	Jovanovic, Julia	12	41.7%	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.20	4.27	5.00	4.60	4.60	4.40	5.00	4.40	4.67
	4443	Oranchak, Joseph	12	41.7%	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.40	3.60	4.00	4.27	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.20	4.20	4.43
	5013	Adhya, Anirban	11	45.5%	3.80	4.20	4.20	3.80	4.40	4.20	4.10	4.60	4.20	4.40	4.00	4.20	4.40	4.30
	5643	Hennebury, Deirdre	13	46.2%	4.17	4.33	4.50	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.22	4.33	4.67	4.50	4.50	4.60	4.33	4.47
	5913	Harmala, John	9	11.1%	4.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	1.00	3.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	3.67
	6912	Ward, Eric	15	53.3%	4.25	4.50	4.38	4.50	4.50	4.38	4.42	4.88	4.13	4.50	4.63	4.50	4.50	4.52
	6833	Colon, Jason	18	61.1%	4.91	4.55	4.91	4.45	4.55	4.55	4.65	4.91	4.82	4.64	4.45	5.00	4.73	4.76
	6833	Jones, Aaron	15	26.7%	4.25	4.25	4.00	3.25	4.25	4.25	4.04	4.50	4.00	4.00	3.75	4.25	4.00	4.08
	5023	Grant, Janice	4	50.0%	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	4.00	4.17	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.42
	5804	Altshuler, Joseph	9	11.1%	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.33
	5804	Corns, David	11	27.3%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.67	3.33	3.39	4.00	4.67	4.67	4.33	5.00	4.33	4.50
	5804	Dechard, Elise	9	88.9%	3.25	2.71	3.38	2.88	3.50	2.75	3.11	3.50	2.63	3.13	2.00	3.50	3.13	2.98
	5804	Dewhirst, Hannah	10	40.0%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.75	4.00	3.75	3.67	3.75	3.50	3.75	3.25	2.75	3.50	3.42
	5804	Kummer, Timothy	11	27.3%	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	3.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	5.00	4.33	4.44
	5804	McReynolds, Victoria	10	40.0%	3.25	3.00	3.00	2.50	3.00	2.75	2.92	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.75	1.75	2.00	1.83
	5804	Morrison, Zachary	9	11.1%	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.33
	5804	Plowright, Philip	49	36.7%	3.82	3.76	3.88	3.47	4.12	3.82	3.81	4.00	4.18	4.18	4.13	3.94	4.00	4.04
	5804	Schmidt, Ingrid	10	50.0%	3.20	2.80	3.00	3.20	3.60	3.40	3.20	2.60	2.00	2.00	2.80	2.20	2.20	2.30
	5743	Kim, Joongsub	6	83.3%	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.80	4.40	4.40	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.80	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.83
	5682	Adhya, Anirban	15	60.0%	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.89	3.78	3.87	4.33	3.67	3.56	3.78	4.00	3.78	3.85
ART	2523	Mohammad, Saima	11	18.2%	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.75
	3023	Rost, Steven	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	4.33	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
CHM	1154	Vamvouka, Magdalini	5	40.0%	3.50	3.00	3.50	3.50	2.50	2.50	3.08	3.50	2.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.00	3.25
	1213	Holubka, Joseph	6	66.7%	4.75	4.75	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1221	Fawaz, Remie	7	57.1%	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.46	4.00	4.50	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.42
COM	0094	Moyer, Daniel	9	44.4%	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.75	4.79	4.50	4.25	5.00	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.63
	1103	Moyer, Daniel	8	62.5%	4.80	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.80	4.57	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.53
	2103	Floyd, Stacha	21	38.1%	4.38	4.25	4.13	4.25	4.13	4.13	4.21	4.25	4.38	4.50	4.00	4.38	4.25	4.29
	2103	Martz, Patricia	10	30.0%	3.67	4.00	3.67	4.00	4.00	3.67	3.83	4.00	2.33	3.67	2.67	4.00	3.33	3.33
ECE	5263	Alsharif, Samer	21	90.5%	4.74	4.58	4.58	4.63	4.53	4.63	4.61	4.63	4.47	4.74	4.47	4.68	4.74	4.62
	5703	Bebawy, Mena	10	40.0%	4.75	4.75	5.00	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.71	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.71
	5913	Tocco, John	3	33.3%	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5293	Sarsam, Haitham	13	92.3%	4.92	4.75	4.75	4.92	4.92	4.75	4.83	4.92	4.83	4.92	4.75	5.00	4.92	4.89
	5103	Henson, Susan	11	90.9%	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.70	4.60	4.60	4.63	4.60	4.70	4.70	4.80	4.60	4.70	4.68

Summer 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
HRM	3023	Cole, Matthew	11	36.4%	4.75	4.25	4.00	3.75	4.50	4.50	4.29	4.50	4.00	4.25	5.00	4.25	4.75	4.46
INT	2103	Alexandris, Athanasios	11	54.5%	4.83	4.67	4.67	4.83	4.83	4.83	4.78	4.50	4.17	4.67	4.83	4.40	4.67	4.55
	6043	Dillard, Terrance	17	47.1%	4.38	4.63	4.50	4.75	4.50	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.25	3.50	4.38	4.38	4.00	4.17
	6143	Evans Mach, Patrick	7	100.0%	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.71	4.86	4.86	4.81	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.88
	6253	Paryani, Kioumars	18	72.2%	4.00	4.15	4.15	4.31	4.23	4.15	4.17	4.15	4.23	4.38	4.38	4.38	4.23	4.29
	7213	Evans Mach, Patrick	15	100.0%	4.80	4.67	4.93	4.80	4.93	4.87	4.83	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93	4.93
	7223	Caushaj, Eralda	20	35.0%	3.57	4.00	3.86	3.71	3.43	3.71	3.71	3.67	3.33	3.83	3.83	4.00	3.67	3.72
	7593	Allen, Victor	13	100.0%	4.46	4.54	4.54	4.31	4.38	4.31	4.42	4.69	4.69	4.62	4.54	4.62	4.62	4.63
	7623	Padalino, Anthony	20	50.0%	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.40	4.30	4.50	4.45	4.50	4.60	4.44	4.50	4.40	4.60	4.50
	7233	Caushaj, Eralda	12	58.3%	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.86	4.88	4.86	4.86	4.71	5.00	4.86	4.86	4.86
LDR	2001	Faulkner, Ty	19	36.8%	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71	4.71
	2001	Jolly, Katherine	20	30.0%	3.67	3.33	3.67	4.17	3.67	3.17	3.61	3.60	3.17	3.17	3.67	3.50	3.50	3.42
	2001	Maiseloff, Stephen	14	57.1%	4.00	4.25	4.25	4.13	4.00	4.00	4.10	4.50	4.13	4.63	4.13	4.75	4.50	4.44
	2001	Shamir, Mirit	6	33.3%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.00	4.33	5.00	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50
	4000	Duranceau, Claudia	37	16.2%	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.17	4.00	4.14	4.67	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.64
	4000	Nation, Christopher	37	35.1%	4.62	4.62	4.54	4.38	4.23	4.38	4.46	4.62	4.62	4.69	4.62	4.62	4.62	4.63
LLT	1213	Kustarz, Michelle	18	55.6%	4.22	4.11	4.22	4.22	4.00	4.00	4.13	4.50	4.30	4.50	4.40	4.40	4.40	4.42
	1213	Schantz, Randy	15	53.3%	3.75	3.50	3.63	3.75	3.63	3.63	3.65	4.13	3.88	3.50	3.50	3.38	3.50	3.65
	1223	Brinker, Ludger	18	27.8%	4.00	4.00	4.20	4.00	3.80	3.80	3.97	4.00	4.00	4.80	4.80	4.80	4.00	4.40
	1223	Maier, Ashley	21	47.6%	3.90	3.80	3.60	3.90	3.90	3.50	3.77	3.90	4.00	4.20	4.10	4.10	4.20	4.08
	1223	Schantz, Randy	18	44.4%	3.88	4.13	3.75	3.13	3.75	3.88	3.75	4.25	3.63	3.88	3.38	4.00	4.00	3.85
	3613	Jaussen, Paul	5	40.0%	4.50	5.00	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.50	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4923	Brinker, Ludger	19	15.8%	3.00	3.67	3.67	3.00	3.33	3.33	3.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.61
MBA	6003	Tsebro, Pavlo	39	41.0%	4.44	4.44	4.44	4.25	4.38	4.38	4.39	4.38	4.31	4.38	4.44	4.38	4.38	4.38
	6043	Marx, Thomas	14	57.1%	4.63	4.75	4.75	4.63	4.63	4.75	4.69	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88	4.88
	6073	Marx, Thomas	11	54.5%	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	4.83	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.97
	6073	Omrani, Massood	23	30.4%	4.43	4.57	4.57	4.14	4.57	4.57	4.48	4.71	4.14	4.71	4.29	4.57	4.57	4.50
	7063	Allen, Victor	19	89.5%	4.59	4.41	4.65	4.65	4.47	4.47	4.54	4.59	4.71	4.29	4.59	4.47	4.41	4.51
	7063	Johnson, Rickey	7	42.9%	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	3.67	4.06	5.00	4.33	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.61	
MCO	3913	Gaber, Jody	8	50.0%	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.50	4.54	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.25	4.25	4.50	4.46
	4933	Gaber, Jody	5	60.0%	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
MCS	0054	Zendeli, Bashkim	4	100.0%	4.75	4.25	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.75	4.75	4.50	4.50	4.58
	1142	Stein, Gordon	17	17.6%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1224	Zendeli, Bashkim	15	40.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	1414	Alyass, Kussiy	2	50.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.17	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	1414	Yu, Na	7	14.3%	5.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.00	4.33

Summer 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results

Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course							The Instructor						
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
	1514	Shaba, Amal	4	100.0%	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75	4.75
	2414	Yu, Na	19	36.8%	4.00	3.86	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.86	3.90	4.00	3.71	3.71	3.86	3.86	3.86	3.83
	2414	Zendeli, Bashkim	15	73.3%	4.36	4.27	4.45	4.36	4.36	4.18	4.33	4.55	4.36	4.36	4.45	4.45	4.45	4.44
	2423	Turfe, Atallah	8	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2514	Farrehi, Khashayar	4	75.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33
	3324	Bukaita, Wisam	9	100.0%	4.44	4.44	4.56	4.56	4.67	4.44	4.52	4.78	4.56	4.67	4.56	4.56	4.56	4.61
	3403	Bukaita, Wisam	24	100.0%	4.46	4.46	4.42	4.33	4.04	4.26	4.32	4.42	4.38	4.54	4.57	4.48	4.39	4.46
	3863	Rosen, Michael	7	28.6%	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	3.50	4.17	4.00	3.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.04
	4613	Brown, David	8	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	4653	Cally, John	10	10.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	3.67		4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	4663	El-Bathy, Mohammed	16	18.8%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	5993	Dillard, Terrance	2	100.0%	4.50	4.50	4.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	4.08	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.67
	2124	Vaynberg, Yelena	8	62.5%	4.40	4.60	4.60	4.40	4.20	4.00	4.37	4.40	4.40	4.60	4.20	4.60	4.60	4.47
	1074	Zendeli, Bashkim	9	77.8%	4.43	4.43	4.57	4.29	4.43	4.50	4.40	4.57	4.57	4.71	4.83	4.83	4.57	4.62
MGT	2203	Cole, Matthew	33	33.3%	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.64	4.55	4.45	4.55	4.64	4.45	4.55	4.73	4.55	4.73	4.61
	3103	Paryani, Kioumars	5	40.0%	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
PHY	2213	Ghoddoussi, Farhad	11	27.3%	4.67	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.33	5.00	4.33	4.67	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.44
	2221	Chamlagain, Bhim	5	40.0%	3.50	3.00	3.50	4.50	3.50	3.00	3.50	4.00	3.50	2.50	3.50	2.50	2.00	3.00
	2221	Pokharel, Rajendra	6	50.0%	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.33	4.33	4.17
	2223	Pokharel, Rajendra	13	69.2%	3.33	3.78	3.89	3.56	3.44	3.56	3.59	3.56	3.22	3.67	3.33	3.33	3.22	3.39
	2231	Zhou, Changgong	14	50.0%	4.14	4.14	4.00	4.00	3.71	3.86	3.98	3.86	3.86	4.14	3.86	3.86	4.00	3.93
	2413	Ahmadi, Parviz	32	9.4%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
	2413	Pokharel, Rajendra	14	85.7%	3.50	3.58	3.58	3.83	3.67	3.42	3.60	3.67	3.58	3.58	3.83	4.00	3.75	3.74
	2421	Chamlagain, Bhim	11	18.2%	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.00	4.42	4.50	4.00	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.42
	2421	Pokharel, Rajendra	8	62.5%	4.20	4.00	3.60	4.40	4.00	3.80	4.00	4.40	4.60	4.80	4.80	4.60	4.40	4.60
	2423	Ahmadi, Parviz	17	29.4%	3.40	3.80	4.00	3.40	3.40	3.60	3.60	4.00	3.00	3.60	3.60	3.80	3.20	3.53
	2431	Bozin, Keith	16	56.3%	4.11	3.67	3.56	3.78	3.78	3.67	3.76	3.89	3.78	3.67	3.78	4.11	3.89	3.85
PSY	1213	Molloy, Sara	13	7.7%	5.00	3.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	3.00	4.67
	4513	Morgan-Paisley, Kineta	10	20.0%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.50	3.50	3.50	3.92	4.50	4.50	3.00	4.50	5.00	4.50	4.33
	3713	Delogu, Franco	16	25.0%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
SSC	2303	Mulder, Daniel	10	30.0%	4.67	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.61	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67	4.67
	2413	Camp, Clifford	15	13.3%	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.50	4.00	3.92	4.50	3.50	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
	2413	Jackson, Alanna	9	22.2%	3.50	3.50	3.50	3.50	4.00	3.50	3.58	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50	4.50
	2423	Jackson, Alanna	16	31.3%	3.60	4.20	3.80	3.20	3.80	4.00	3.77	4.40	4.20	4.20	3.60	4.40	4.00	4.13
	2423	Powell, Jeffrey	17	58.8%	4.40	4.30	4.40	4.40	4.30	4.40	4.37	4.60	4.50	4.40	4.50	4.60	4.50	4.52
	3143	Powell, Jeffrey	10	30.0%	4.33	4.33	4.33	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.17	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.33	4.67	4.67	4.56
	4513	Barrett, Jason	5	60.0%	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.33	3.67	4.00	4.00	5.00	4.67	4.67	4.00	4.67	4.33	4.56
	3383	Kler, Marlene	18	33.3%	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.83	5.00	4.97

Summer 2017 Student Course Evaluation Results
Sorted by Course

Responses scored on Likert scale of: 5=Strongly Agree, 4=Agree, 3=Neutral, 2=Disagree, 1=Strongly Disagree, and a No Opinion choice available.

			Participation		The Course						The Instructor							
Subject Code	Course Number	Instructor Name	Class Size	Response Rate	The course was well structured with clear objectives and requirements.	The course materials (texts, audio/visual, supplemental materials) were appropriately chosen and useful relative to the course objectives.	The course assignments (homework, projects, tests and quizzes) were relevant based upon the course objectives, materials, and lectures.	The course had clear grading criteria supported by course objectives and content.	The pace, content, and design of the course challenged me to do my best work.	Overall, the course was effective.	Course Average	The instructor demonstrated a thorough knowledge of the course content.	The instructor clearly and effectively communicated course content and requirements.	The instructor addressed students' concerns professionally, respectfully and in a timely manner.	The instructor clearly communicated and impartially applied grading criteria.	The instructor was enthusiastic about the course content and working with students.	Overall, the instructor was effective.	Instructor Average
Total/Average			2,083	45.7%	4.33	4.29	4.34	4.25	4.26	4.22	4.28	4.45	4.30	4.36	4.33	4.39	4.33	4.36